PARTS OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

ARE OF POOR REPRODUCTIVE QUALITY

CERTAINES PARTIES DU DOCUMENT ORIGINAL

SONT DE PIÈTRE QUALITÉ DE REPRODUCTION

MANITOBA PROVINCIAL PARKS
VISITOR SURVEY
(1986)

MANITOBA
NATURAL RESOURCES

Economics & Program Review Section
Resource Allocation & Economics Branch
March, 1987

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Although the overall coordination of planning and implementation of the 1986 Park Visitor Survey was the responsibility of the Economics and Program Review Section, the survey could not have been successfully completed without the cooperation of the following people whose assistance is gratefully acknowledged:

Parks Branch	Mr. Jim Potton, (Former) Director Ms. Claudia Engel, (Acting) Director Mr. Gord Davidson, Chief, Program Management Mr. Art Hoole, (Former) Chief, Planning Mr. Bob Gould, Administrative Officer Mr. Ken Porteous, Visitor Services Specialist Mr. Rod MacCharles, Visitor Services Specialist Mr. Roger Schroeder, Visitor Services Specialist
Regional Services Branch	Mr. Wayne Fisher, Headquarters Manager
Systems Section	Ms. Betty Miller, Programmer Analyst
Public Information Services	Ms. Marg Cox, Administration
Manitoba Bureau of Statistics	Mr. Dave Greenwood, Head, Statistical Services

Special acknowledgement is given to Mrs. Dianne Jamieson who summarized the written comments on the questionnaires and to Ms. Mihalee Wright and Ms. Sue Dembo who typed the final report.

The assistance and cooperation of the many Regional Services staff who distributed and collected the questionnaires is also acknowledged.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	iii
Executive Summary	vi
Section I - Summary of Findings	1
A. Notes to Findings	3
B. Summary of Findings	5
Section II - Basic Survey Results	11
1. 1986 Survey Distribution and Returns	13
2. Type of Campsite Preferred by Campground Users	14
3. Main Factor Attracting, by Visitor Category	15
4. Time of the Week Visit Took Place, by Visitor Category	16
5. Park Facilities/Programs/Areas used	17
6. Rating of Existing Services and Facilities, by Park, by Visitor Category, Comparison to Previous Year(s)	
i) Park Maintenance/Cleanliness	18
ii) Nature/Hiking Trails	19
iii) Picnic Facilities	20
iv) Garbage Collection	21
v) Campsites	22
vi) Commercial Accommodation Facilities	23
vii) Concession Stands	24
viii) Stores	25
ix) Restaurants	26
x) Amphitheatre Programs	27
xi) Museum/Visitor Centre	28

Page

xii) Roads
A) Campground and/or Subdivision Roads 29
B) Highways in Park 29
xiii) Park Signs (Road and Pedestrian) 30
xiv) Riding Stables 31
xv) Childrens Playground 32
xvi) Shower Facilities 33
xvii) Fish Cleaning Stations 34
7. A), B) Rating of Washroom Cleanliness, Reason for Problem . . 35
8. A), B) Rating of Beach Condition, Reason for Problem 36
9. Rating of Traffic Speed Problem 37
10. A) Rating of Rowdiness Problem 38
10. B) In dealing with those persons causing any problems, how should Park Staff behave? 39
11. A) Rating of Overall Helpfulness and Courtesy of Park Staff, Comparison to Previous Years 40
11. A) Rating of Overall Helpfulness and Courtesy of Park Staff, by Park, by Visitor Category, Comparison to Previous Years 41
11. B) Rating of Services Provided by Categories of Park Staff, Comparison to Previous Years 42
11. B) Rating of Services Provided by Categories of Park Staff, by Park, by Visitor Category, Comparison to Previous Year(s)
i) Park Gate Staff 44
ii) Campground Office Staff 45
iii) Park Patrol 46
iv) Beach Patrol 47
v) Visitor Services Attendants/Naturalists 48
vi) Maintenance Staff 49
vii) Natural Resource Officer/Park Ranger 50
12. A) Availability of Printed Information, Comparison to Previous Year 51
12. B) Rating of Quality of Existing Park Brochures/Maps, Comparison to Previous Years 51

Page

12. A) Availability of Printed Information, by Park, by Visitor Category, Comparison to Previous Year 52
12. B) Rating of Quality of Existing Park Brochures/Maps, by Park by Visitor Category, Comparison to Previous Year 53
12. D) Type of Information it is Important to Have Available, by Visitor Category 54
12. D) Type of Information it is Important to Have Available, by Park 55
13. Additional Facilities Wanted, by Visitor Category, Comparison to Previous Years 56
13. Additional Facilities Wanted, by Park 57
14. Cost Reduction Option Choices 61
15. Rating of Whether Fees are Reasonable 62
16. A) Total Days Spent at Manitoba Provincial Parks 63
16. B) Days Spent in Winter in Parks 64
16. A), B) Average Number of Days Spent in Parks, by Visitor Category 65
17. A) Rating of Overall Experience, by Visitor Category, Comparison to Previous Years 66
17. A) Rating of Overall Experience, by Park, Comparison to Previous Year 67
18. A) Place of Residence 68
B) Distance Travelled, by Visitor Category 69
19. Age Category 70
20. Total Household Income, by Visitor Category 71
21. Number of Adults and Children in Party 72

Section III Written Comments Summary 73

Section IV Survey Proposal 97

Section V Weighting System 107

Section VI Questionnaire 113

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. Performance Indicators

The Park Visitor Survey provides Manitoba Natural Resources with feed-back on the degree of visitor satisfaction with existing Parks services and facilities. Several key questions included in successive surveys have been designated as "PERFORMANCE INDICATORS" which serve as a measure of how successfully Parks Branch and Regional Services are delivering some of their programs. Attached to this summary is a comparison of Parks Branch management's forecast 1986/87 Performance Indicator results with actual 1986 survey results(1) as well as results from the 1984 and 1982 surveys.

Significant improvements have been made in the following Performance Indicator results:

	1986 "Needs Improvement" Rating	1984 "Needs Improvement" Rating
Park Maintenance/Cleanliness	(7%)	(10%)
Picnic Facilities	(6%)	(9%)
Garbage Collection	(5%)	(8%)
Concession Stands	(15%)	(23%)
Washroom Cleanliness	(11%)	(16%)

Significant improvements have also been made in the public satisfaction ratings of the following park services (which have not been designated as Performance Indicators):

	1986 "Needs Improvement" Rating	1984 "Needs Improvement" Rating
Commercial Accommodation Facilities	(8%)	(13%)
Stores	(11%)	(18%)
Restaurants	(10%)	(17%)

(1) Weighted survey results are shown in brackets.

Progress has not been made in alleviating certain concerns of park users, as measured by the following Performance Indicators:

	1986 "Needs Improvement" Rating	1984 "Needs Improvement" Rating
Roads	(20%)	(20%)
Printed Information Quality	(14%)	(14%)
Information availability	(29%)	(29%)

	1986 "Very Significant" or "Somewhat Significant"	1984 "Very Significant" or "Somewhat Significant"
Traffic Speed Problem	(23%)	(24%)
Rowdyism Problem	(11%)	(11%)

2. Staff Performance

The survey provides a very important evaluation of how well Department staff are serving the public and whether staff are doing a better or worse job than in the past. Staff performance appears to have improved because the percentage of park users who stated that staff "Need Improvement" has declined in a number of categories since the 1984 survey:

	1986 "Needs Improvement" Rating	1984 "Needs Improvement" Rating
Overall helpfulness and courtesy of staff	(3%)	(5%)
Park Gate Staff	(1%)	(2%)
Campground Office Staff	(2%)	(2%)
Park Patrol	(8%)	(8%)
Beach Patrol	(6%)	(9%)
Visitor Services Attendants/ Naturalists	(2%)	(3%)

It is also important to note that a higher percentage of park users consider staff performance to be "Very Good" than "Satisfactory" in all categories except Beach Patrol and Visitor Services Attendants/Naturalists. In the "Overall Helpfulness and Courtesy of Staff" category (49%) rated staff performance as "Very Good" compared to (37%) who rated it "Satisfactory".

The detailed site tables contained in Section II "Basic Survey Results" can be reviewed to reveal specific parks or campgrounds where staff ratings are in need of improvement or where staff performance has been outstanding, for example at Iskwasum where (94%) rated "Overall Helpfulness and Courtesy" as "Very Good" or at Wallace Lake where (86%) rated it "Very Good".

3. Beach Rating

The 1986 survey asked park users to rate the condition of the beach, which is the main factor attracting visitors (52%) and by far the most used facility (71%). (11%) rated Beach Conditions as "Not Acceptable". The two most common reasons given for this rating - Lake bottom (7%) and Sand (5%) are largely beyond management control.

4. Additional Facilities Wanted

"Bicycle Trails", a new facility option in the 1986 survey was selected as the second most popular additional facility wanted (21%), after "Shower Facilities" (26%).

5. Cost Reduction Options

"Closing smaller less frequently used waysides, campgrounds and parks" was the most popular (43%) cost reduction option, followed by "Eliminating the Provision of Free Firewood" (36%) and "Later Opening of Campgrounds" (35%) and "Earlier Closing of Campgrounds" (35%).

6. 1986 Fees Reasonable?

A majority of park users indicated all park fees to be "Fair", rather than "Too High" or "Too Low".

7. Days Spent in Parks

Park users spent an average of (18.4) days in Provincial Parks in 1985, of which only (2.1) days were spent in winter months.

PARKS BRANCH

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR COMPARISON
Forecast 86/87 Results vs. 1986, 1984, 1982 Park Visitor Surveys
(Weighted Results in Brackets) (Note 1.)

	Forecast 1986/87	Actual Results 1986	1984	1982	Page No.
VISITOR SERVICES SECTION					
1. Commercial Visitor Services					
Concession Acceptability (% Needs Improvement)	10%	(15%)	(23%)	11%	24
2. Public Information					
Information Quality (% Needs Improvement)	12%	(14%)	(14%)	11%	51, 53
Information Availability (% Not Readily Available)	25%	(29%)	(29%)	-	51, 52
3. Interpretive Program					
Nature/Hiking Trails (% Needs Improvement)	9%	(6%)	(8%)	12%	19
Amphitheatre Programs (% Needs Improvement)	7%	(4%)	(6%)	-	27
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT					
1. Public Safety & Security					
Traffic Control (% Rating Traffic Speed as Very Significant or Somewhat Significant problem.)	25%	(23%)	(24%)	26%	37
Rowdiness Control (% Rating Rowdiness as Very Significant or Somewhat Significant Problem.)	12%	(11%)	(11%)	13%	38
2. Operations & Maintenance					
Facility & Service Acceptability (% Needs Improvement Rating)					
Roads (Note 1.)	25%	(20%)	(20%)	25%	29
Campsites	10%	(9%)	(8%)	11%	22
Washrooms Cleanliness	11%	(11%)	(16%)	11%	35
Garbage Collection	6%	(5%)	(8%)	6%	21
Park Maintenance/Cleanliness	6%	(7%)	(10%)	10%	18
Picnic Facilities	6%	(6%)	(9%)	7%	20
OVERALL BRANCH					
1. Visitor Satisfaction					
(% Rating Overall Experience as not Enjoyable)	-	(1.3%)	(2%)	2%	66, 67
2. Staff Helpfulness					
(% Rating Overall Helfulness and Courtesy of Park Staff as Needs Improvement)	-	(3%)	(5%)	4%	40, 41

Note 1.
Weighted survey results, available for 1986 and 1984 surveys, better reflect the views of all park users than unweighted results which tend to have a disproportionately large number of cottagers and campers. A considerable difference in results can occur when one visitor category's views differ markedly from another's e.g. "roads" where the weighted result is lower than the unweighted results - 25% in 1986 and 27% in 1984 - reflecting the higher degree of road dissatisfaction by cottagers and seasonal campers than day-users or campers.

X

SECTION I
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. Notes to Findings:

1. At Hecla/Grindstone, questionnaires were mailed to cottagers at Grindstone resulting in much higher returns from cottagers (76 returns or 22% of total Hecla returns) than were experienced in 1984 (only 15 returns or 5% of total Hecla returns). This increase in cottager returns reduces the comparability of the two year's results for Hecla because cottagers would be providing their views on Grindstone Provincial Recreation Park rather than Hecla Provincial Park, on at least some questions.

2. At Falcon Lake, only campers at the Beach Campground were surveyed in 1986 in order to be consistent with the 1984 survey.

3. Less than 40 questionnaires were returned for eight of the smaller sites: Duck Mountain Other (4), Gyles (28), Reed (20), Tulabi Falls (14), Nopiming Other (5), Max Lake (21), William Lake (22). Results for these locations are therefore not reliable and caution should be used in drawing any conclusions from them.

4. The reliability of the results varies inversely with the number of returns analysed - the larger the number the more reliable the results. At a 95% confidence interval the total results for all sites surveyed are accurate to within $\pm$ 1.5%. This means, for example, that when 77.2% of all respondents to Question 17 indicates their overall experience was "Very Enjoyable" that there is a 95% likelihood that the actual percentage of park users who feel this way is between 75.7% and 78.7%. The range of accuracy widens for individual sites because fewer returns are received. Results for a site like Falcon Lake with a large number of returns (587) are accurate to $\pm$ 4% at a 90% confidence level, while results for a site like Asessippi with 106 returns are accurate only to within $\pm$ 8%. Caution should therefore be used in drawing conclusions from results which indicate changes from previous surveys or differences between sites which are within this range of accuracy.

Results for individual Visitor Categories by site tend to have a wide range of accuracy because there are often so few respondents in these categories.

These results should therefore be cross-referenced to the number of returns in the "1986 Survey Distributions and Returns" table located at the beginning of the "Basic Survey Results" on page 13.

5. Since a different mix of parks were surveyed in each of 1981, 1982, 1984 and 1986 the overall results are not necessarily comparable, although estimated visitor usage at sites surveyed in 1984 represented approximately 75% of total visitor usage of all sites surveyed in 1986. Differences in the "mix" of Visitor Categories could also affect the comparability of results from different years for the same site.

6. Since only 37 Parks/Campgrounds were surveyed in 1986, compared to 22 in 1984, caution should be used in drawing conclusions about all Provincial Parks/Campgrounds. Smaller, less frequently used sites are underrepresented.

7. Since the questionnaires were distributed in the last two weeks in July (as well as in the first week or two of August at less popular sites) the results may not be representative of visitors to Parks earlier or later in the season.

8. Because surveys were mailed directly to cottagers and seasonal campers in 1986 a much larger proportion of these users returned questionnaires. It is therefore advisable to compare the weighted results for 1986 and 1984 because the weighting method is designed to correct for differences in return rates. (Weighted results are always shown in brackets.) The return rate from day users was dissappointing - only 657 returns or 13.67% of total returns - requiring a high weight to correct for the lack of returns from this Visitor Category which is estimated to make up 58% of total Park visitor days. See Section V "Weighting System" (page 107) for a description of how the weights were calculated.

9. Due to a change in the computer software used to analyse results, the results shown by individual Visitor Category are calculated as a percentage of all survey respondents instead of as a percentage of respondents to the specific question. This usually results in a slightly lower percentage (consistent for all Visitor Categories), unless there are a large number of non-respondents to a question in which case the percentage could be significantly lower. In all cases results compared to previous years have been recalculated to be consistent with 1984 and earlier surveys.

10. This "Summary of Findings" Section reviews the survey results for all sites, but does not deal with the differences between individual sites. In order to identify specific sites where results differ from the average for all sites one should refer to the detailed tables in Section II "Basic Survey Results". (pages 11 to 72)

B. Summary of Findings:

1. A total of 21,667 questionnaires were distributed of which 4,844 were returned in time for processing, a return rate of 22.4%. See "1986 Survey Distribution and Returns" for a detailed breakdown of numbers distributed and returned by site and by Visitor Category. (page 13)

2. The main factor attracting visitors, especially day users, is "Beach" (52%) followed closely by "Close to Home" (50%). (page 15)

3. By far the most used facility was "Beach" (71%). (page 17)

4. Significant improvements over 1984 results were shown in the overall ratings of the following park services and facilities:

	1986 Needs Improvement Rating	1984 Needs Improvement Rating	
Park Maintenance/Cleanliness	(7%)	(10%)	(page 18)
Picnic Facilities	(6%)	(9%)	(page 20)
Garbage Collection	(5%)	(8%)	(page 21)
Commercial Accommodation Facilities	(8%)	(13%)	(page 23)
Concession Stands	(15%)	(23%)	(page 24)
Stores	(11%)	(18%)	(page 25)
Restaurants	(10%)	(17%)	(page 26)

5. Roads continue to be the facility most users feel need improvement - (20%) of respondents indicate that both "Highways in Park" and "Campground and/or Subdivision Roads" need improvement, unchanged from 1984. (page 29)

6. There was a significant improvement in the rating of Washroom Cleanliness. Only (11%) indicated that Washroom Cleanliness was "Not Acceptable", compared to (16%) in 1984. (page 35)

7. (11%) of respondents rated Beach Conditions as "Not Acceptable". (page 36) The most common reasons given for this rating were:

Lake Bottom	7%
Sand	5%
Dogs	4%
Cleanliness	4%

8. The rating of Traffic Speed as a "Very Significant" or "Somewhat Significant" problem (23%) remains virtually unchanged from 1984 (24%). (page 37)

9. The rating of the Rowdiness Problem as "Very Significant" or "Somewhat Significant" (11%) is unchanged from 1984. There is no significant change in views on how strict Park Staff should be in dealing with those persons causing problems - (29%) want staff to "Be Stricter", only (3%) want staff to "Be More Lenient". (pages 38, 39)

10. There was a reduction in the "Needs Improvement" rating (3%) of "overall helfulness and courtesy of Park Staff" compared to 1984 (5%), but the range of accuracy (± 1.5%) of the results does not allow one to conclude that there has necessarily been an improvement. A significant improvement occurred in the "Needs Improvements" rating of Beach Patrol (6%) from the 1984 rating (9%). Small reductions occurred in the "Needs Improvement" ratings of "Park Gate Staff" and "Visitor Services Attendants/Naturalists". "Park Patrol" is the category with the highest "Needs Improvement" rating (8%). (pages 40 to 47)

11. The "Needs Improvement" rating for the two new staff categories added to the 1986 survey are both relatively low: Maintenance Staff (3%), Natural Resource Officer/Park Ranger (3%). (pages 49, 50)

12. There was virtually no change in the rating of the availability or quality of printed information in Parks: (29%) indicated printed information was not readily available and (14%) indicated the quality of Park brochures/maps "Needs Improvement". (pages 51 to 53)

13. The type of printed information selected as most important to have available, (page 54) in order of overall preference:

Map of area	74%
What to see/do at this Park	56%
Park rules/regulations	52%
What to see/do in the area surrounding this Park	45%
Nature information	45%
Historical information	32%
Brochures for children	25%

14. "Bicycle Trails", a new facility option in the 1986 survey, was selected as the second most popular "Additional Facility Wanted" (21%) after "Shower Facilities" (26%). (page 56) The ten most popular selections were:

1.	Shower Facilities	(26%)
2.	Bicycle Trails	(21%)
3.	More Electrical Campsites	(16%)
4.	Store	(14%)
5.	Nature/Hiking Trails	(13%)
6.	Picnic Shelters	(12%)
7.	Childrens Playground	(12%)
8.	Laundromat	(10%)
9.	Restaurant	(10%)
10.	Cabin Rentals	(10%)

15. The most popular options for reducing the costs of operating Parks were:

	Total of First & Second Choices
1. Closing smaller less frequently used waysides, campgrounds and parks	(43%)
2. Eliminating the provision of free firewood	(36%)
3. Later opening of campgrounds (eg. June 15)	(35%)
4. Earlier closing of campgrounds (eg. Sept 2)	(35%)
5. Reducing the frequency of grass cutting	(24%)

Almost no respondents selected "Reducing the frequency of washroom cleaning" (1%) and relatively few selected "Reducing the frequency of garbage collection (7%) or "Reducing the frequency of beach grooming" (10%). (page 61)

Note: Because this survey was distributed in July and because more popular campgrounds tend to be over-represented, these results may not be representative of all Park users, particularly the users who visit Parks earlier or later in the season.

16. A majority of respondents who answered the question on fees indicated all fees to be "Fair". Significantly more respondents indicated that the "Seasonal Entrance Fee" (9%), the "Electrical Campsite Fee" (12%) and the "Fully Serviced Campsite Fee" (13%) were "Too Low" than indicated they were "Too High" (4%). Significantly more respondents indicated that the "Unserviced Campsite Fee" was "Too High" (13%) than "Too Low" (2%). (page 62)

17. Respondents spent an average of (18.4) days in Provincial Parks in 1985, of which only (2.1) days were spent in winter months. (page 65) The average number of days spent by Visitor Category is as follows:

	Last Year	Last Winter
Day Users	11.3	1.5
Cottagers	41.0	6.6
Transient Campers	12.8	1.1
Seasonal Campers	35.7	1.8
Guest in Commerical Accommodation	10.4	2.7

18. Although there was a slight decrease in the "Not Enjoyable" rating - (1.3%) vs. (2%) in 1984 - of visitors' overall experience the range of accuracy of results does not allow one to conclude there has necessarily been an improvement. However, there was a significant increase in the "Very Enjoyable" rating - (77.2%) vs. (65%) in 1984. (page 66)

19. The residence of park users (page 68) is as follows:

Winnipeg	(52%)
Manitoba location other than Winnipeg	(35%)
Saskatchewan	(3%)
Ontario	(2%)
Province other than Manitoba, Saskatchewan or Ontario	(3%)
Minnesota	(1%)
North Dakota	(1%)
Other location outside of Canada	(4%)

20. Park visitors travelled an average of (162 km) from their homes. (page 69) The average distance travelled by Visitor Category is as follows:

Day User	137 km
Cottager	159 km
Transient Camper	213 km
Seasonal Camper	116 km
Guest in Commercial Accommodation	226 km

21. The average age of park visitors was (40.0) years. (page 70) The average age by Visitor Category was:

Day User	36.0 years
Cottager	51.6 years
Transient Camper	40.5 years
Seasonal Camper	42.3 years
Guest in Commerical Accommodation	38.2 years

22. The average household income of park visitors in 1985 was ($37,300). (page 71)
The average household income by Visitor Category was:

Day User	$35,200
Cottager	$41,500
Transient Camper	$34,600
Seasonal Camper	$37,300
Guest in Commercial Accommodation	$39,800

SECTION II
BASIC SURVEY RESULTS

1986 SURVEY DISTRIBUTION AND RETURNS

PARK and LOCATION G = has gate	NO. OF SURVEYS DISTRIBUTED					NO. OF SURVEYS RETURNED						
	Seasonal Campsites	Cottagers	Transient Campers	Day Users & Other	TOTAL	Seasonal Campsites	Cottagers	Transient Campers	Day Users	Guest In Com. Acc.	Other	TOTAL
Duck Mtn. Prov. Park												
1. Blue Lake	45	11	250	110	416	12	2	54	1	-	1	70
2. Childs Lake	57	46	250	61	414	15	12	40	4	-	2	73
3. Wellman Lake	16	87	133	-	236	7	19	24	-	-	3	53
4. Other						2	-	2	-	-	-	4
Grass River Prov. Park												
5. Gyles			50 E	10 E	60	-	-	27	-	-	1	28
6. Iskwasum			70 E	10 E	80	-	-	40	1	-	-	41
7. Reed			35 E	10 E	45	-	1	17	1	1	-	20
Nopiming Prov. Park												
8. Beresford		79	112 E	-	191	-	30	28	-	-	-	58
9. Bird Lake		119	44 E	20 E	183	-	43	11	2	-	-	56
10. Black Lake			250	20	270	-	-	59	2	-	-	61
11. Tulabi Falls			52	-	52	-	-	13	-	1	-	14
12. Other						1	2	1	-	-	1	5
Turtle Mtn. Prov. Park												
13. Adam Lake	2		810	350	1,162	1	1	203	32	-	6	243
14. Max Lake	11	17	40	-	68	-	9	10	-	1	1	21
Whiteshell Prov. Park												
15. Big Whiteshell	112	178	150	(Rennie)	440	55	56	66	11	6	4	198
16. Brereton Lake	57	348	125	500 Gate	1,030	28	137	14	16	13	5	213
17. Caddy Lake	30	150	150		330	12	49	31	2	7	3	104
18.G Falcon Lake (excl. overflow)	154	785	500	700	2,139	52	253	203	41	26	12	587
				(Seven Sisters)								
19. Nutimik Lake	115	157	127	459 Gate	858	48	54	37	12	4	1	156
20. Opapiskaw	39		134		173	15	-	18	3	-	1	37
21. Otter Falls		81	176		257	1	18	32	8	3	1	63
22.G West Hawk	145	375	460	500	1,480	28	116	52	17	18	5	236
23. Other				950 Comm. Operators	950	43	17	11	20	14	16	121
Other Parks/Campgrounds												
24. Asessippi			250	350	600	-	-	73	29	1	3	106
25. Bakers Narrows	12	180	170	57	419	5	34	60	9	1	2	111
26.G Birds Hill			500	375	875	-	3	184	22	-	2	211
27. Clearwater Lake	29	268	201	350	848	15	74	51	39	2	6	187
28.G Grand Beach	16	520	500	700	1,736	10	161	63	85	2	4	325
29.G Hecla/Grindstone	7	295	500	700	1,502	8	76	172	53	24	9	342
30.G Paint Lake	94	147	208	522	971	31	40	48	42	1	7	169
31. Rainbow Beach	23		116	130	269	9	1	29	13	1	1	54
32. St. Ambroise			250	50 E	300	-	-	77	5	-	-	82
33.G St. Malo			500	700	1,200	1	2	119	85	-	3	210
34.G Stephenfield	17		250	700	967	16	-	80	58	-	1	155
35. Spruce Woods			500	350	850	-	-	215	28	-	-	243
36. Wallace Lake	8	45	128 E	20	201	3	19	32	2	-	-	56
37. William Lake	1		84 E	10	95	-	-	21	1	-	-	22
38. Other						45	16	16	13	1	18	109
TOTAL	990	3,888	8,075	8,714	21,667	463	1,245	2,233	657	127	119	4,844
Category Returns as a % of Total Returns						9.6%	25.7%	46.1%	13.6%	2.6%	2.5%	100%
Category Return Rate (% returned)						46.8%	32.0%	27.7%		10.4%		22.4%

E = Estimated

2. B. Type of campsite preferred by campground users (%)

Unserviced site	45%
Electrical site	36%
Fully serviced site	19%

Non-respondents = 1,771

3. Main Factor Attracting-Total of First, Second and Third Choices (%) For Total Results (Weighted Results in Brackets) and Results by Visitor Category, Listed in Order of Preference for Weighted Results.

	All Users*		Day Users	Cottager	Guest In Comm. Accom.	Transient Camper	Seasonal Camper
1. Beach	36	(52)	56	22	31	37	39
2. Close to Home	38	(50)	54	30	26	38	41
3. Scenic Area/Unique Natural Feature	24	(26)	21	26	28	24	19
4. Campground	35	(24)	15	0	4	61	45
5. Wilderness Atmosphere	24	(22)	17	28	14	26	20
6. Cottage	23	(17)	2	83	8	0	0
7. Variety of Things To Do Here	17	(16)	11	19	41	16	20
8. Fishing	20	(15)	12	17	17	21	38
9. Picnic Facilities	5	(15)	21	-	1	2	2
10. Visiting Friends/Relatives	12	(13)	18	8	16	11	15
11. Close to Trael Route	11	(12)	11	6	3	16	5
12. Boating	11	(11)	11	17	12	8	27
13. No Particular Reason	5	(8)	10	2	5	5	2
14. Hiking Trails	5	(7)	8	2	4	8	2
15. Other	7	(6)	6	4	8	9	8
16. Sports Facilities	5	(4)	5	5	15	5	4
17. Availability of Commercial Accommodation	3	(2)	2	2	55	2	1

* Non-respondents: First Choice - 67
Second Choice - 273
Third Choice - 547

4. Time of the Week Visit Took Place (%) Results by Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets:)

	Week-Day	Week-End	Both
Day User	46	42	13
Cottager	4	18	78
Guest in Commercial Accommodation	21	7	72
Transient Camper	28	31	41
Seasonal Camper	2	22	76
Total	22	28	50
Weighted	(33)	(33)	(34)

Non-respondents = 286

5. Park Facilities/Programs/Areas used (%) listed in order of usage for weighted results. (Weighted results in brackets.)

		All Users
1.	Beach	67 (71)
2.	Store(s)	40 (30)
3.	Change Rooms	15 (28)
4.	Picnic Facilities	18 (27)
5.	Nature/Hiking Trails	35 (26)
6.	Childrens Playground	27 (24)
7.	Concession Stand	17 (24)
8.	Showers	29 (21)
9.	Boat Launch/Marina	26 (17)
10.	Restaurant(s)	18 (15)
11.	Service Station(s)	16 (11)
12.	Games Area/Tennis Courts	13 (10)
13.	Golf Course	11 (8)
14.	Laundromat	8 (6)
15.	Canoe Routes	6 (4)
16.	Amphitheatre Program	7 (4)
17.	Museum/Visitor Centre	7 (4)
18.	All Terrain Vehicle Trails	3 (3)

6. Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets):

1) Park Maintenance/Cleanliness

	1986	1984	1982	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
				DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	4 (3)	(4)	-	-	-	2	17
2. CHILD'S LAKE	8 (3)	-	-	-	8	3	20
3. WELLMAN	10 (10)	(7)	17	-	11	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	7 (7)	(35)	-	-	7	-	-
6. ISKWASUM	2 (1)	-	-	-	-	3	-
7. REED LAKE *	22 (62)	-	-	-	-	18	-
8. BERESFORD	5 (10)	-	-	-	-	10	-
9. BIRD LAKE	24 (12)	-	-	-	21	27	-
10. BLACK LAKE	3 (1)	-	-	-	-	3	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	29 (31)	-	-	-	-	31	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	20 -	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	1 (1)	(3)	2	-	-	1	-
14. MAX LAKE *	5 (10)	-	-	-	-	-	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	4 (5)	(4)	-	-	5	3	2
16. BRERETON	6 (5)	-	-	-	5	7	14
17. CADDY LAKE	8 (8)	-	-	-	8	-	33
18. FALCON LAKE	9 (9)	(11)	16	7	8	8	15
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	16 (14)	(13)	-	0	15	5	29
20. OPAPISKAW *	8 (13)	-	-	-	-	6	7
21. OTTER FALLS	7 (6)	(10)	15	-	-	13	-
22. WEST HAWK	6 (6)	-	-	6	-	8	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	13 (15)	-	-	10	0	9	21
24. ASSESSIPPI	4 (7)	(1)	2	10	-	-	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	6 (3)	(8)	4	-	9	5	-
26. BIRDS HILL	11 (7)	(7)	11	5	-	12	-
27. CLEARWATER	15 (15)	-	-	15	12	12	27
28. GRAND BEACH	18 (10)	(18)	24	7	23	14	10
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	10 (20)	(3)	4	4	38	1	-
30. PAINT LAKE	4 (1)	(13)	9	0	0	4	10
31. RAINBOW BEACH	2 (0)	-	-	0	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	11 (3)	-	-	-	-	12	-
33. ST. MALO	3 (2)	(4)	9	1	-	4	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	3 (5)	(4)	2	5	-	3	0
35. SPRUCE WOODS	1 (1)	(1)	2	0	-	1	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	2 (1)	(11)	-	5	-	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	7 -	-	-	-	-	-	7
TOTAL	8 (7)	(10)	10	5	12	5	14

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Program Management
(% Needs Improvement Rating) 86/87 Forecast . . . 6%

6. Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets):

ii) Nature/Hiking Trails

	1986	1984	1982	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
				DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	13 (11)	(5)	-	-	-	9	17
2. CHILD'S LAKE	16 (7)	-	-	-	17	5	20
3. WELLMAN	24 (30)	(31)	27	-	26	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	7	-
5. GYLES PARK *	11 (11)	(2)	-	-	-	5	-
6. ISKWASUM	8 (8)	-	-	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
8. BERESFORD	16 (21)	-	-	-	17	7	-
9. BIRD LAKE	15 (10)	-	-	-	14	9	-
10. BLACK LAKE	9 (9)	(22)	-	-	-	7	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	4 (2)	(4)	3	0	-	4	-
14. MAX LAKE *	13 (24)	-	-	-	22	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	11 (11)	(8)	-	-	11	5	9
16. BRERETON	8 (8)	-	-	6	6	0	7
17. CADDY LAKE	6 (8)	-	-	-	8	0	8
18. FALCON LAKE	6 (6)	(7)	8	0	4	4	8
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	5 (5)	(9)	-	8	4	0	2
20. OPAPISKAW *	10 (8)	-	-	-	-	6	13
21. OTTER FALLS	2 (3)	-	-	6	-	0	-
22. WEST HAWK	6 (6)	(7)	11	6	4	6	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	6 (7)	-	-	5	6	0	5
24. ASSESSIPPI	3 (1)	(6)	19	0	-	3	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	9 (4)	(18)	16	0	6	7	-
26. BIRDS HILL	2 (1)	(2)	3	-	-	2	-
27. CLEARWATER	9 (11)	-	-	8	9	4	7
28. GRAND BEACH	7 (8)	(7)	11	6	4	3	20
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	14 (18)	(13)	21	0	25	9	-
30. PAINT LAKE	8 (4)	(18)	22	2	3	15	6
31. RAINBOW BEACH	3 (0)	-	-	0	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	17 (5)	-	-	-	-	17	-
33. ST. MALO	3 (4)	(15)	17	4	-	2	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	10 (7)	(9)	9	3	-	9	13
35. SPRUCE WOODS	4 (2)	(6)	3	0	-	4	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	8 (3)	(20)	-	-	16	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	4 -	-	-	-	-	-	5
TOTAL	8 (6)	(8)	12	3	8	5	8

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Visitor Services
(% Needs Improvement) 86/87 Forecast . . . 9%

6. Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets)

iii) Picnic Facilities

	1986	1984	1982	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
				DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	2 (1)	(3)	-	-	-	2	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	0	0
3. WELLMAN	12 (16)	(20)	16	-	16	4	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	19 (19)	(3)	-	-	-	15	-
6. ISKWASUM	7 (7)	-	-	-	-	5	-
7. REED LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
8. BERESFORD	6 (8)	-	-	-	7	4	-
9. BIRD LAKE	5 (5)	-	-	-	5	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	2 (1)	(2)	-	-	-	2	-
11. KULABI FALLS *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	1 (0)	(1)	5	0	-	0	-
14. MAX LAKE *	6 (12)	-	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	7 (3)	(9)	-	0	0	2	13
16. BRERETON	5 (5)	-	-	6	2	7	4
17. CADDY LAKE	6 (7)	-	-	-	8	3	0
18. FALCON LAKE	4 (4)	(6)	9	5	2	1	4
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	5 (7)	(18)	-	8	7	0	2
20. OPAPISKAW *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	0
21. OTTER FALLS	4 (4)	-	-	6	-	3	-
22. WEST HAWK	4 (5)	(5)	6	6	5	2	0
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	5 (2)	-	-	0	0	0	5
24. ASSESSIPPI	0 (0)	(3)	3	0	-	0	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	4 (2)	(3)	2	-	6	2	-
26. BIRDS HILL	4 (1)	(2)	4	0	-	4	-
27. CLEARWATER	9 (10)	-	-	8	11	2	13
28. GRAND BEACH	10 (11)	(17)	11	9	8	5	10
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	8 (14)	(3)	7	9	21	1	-
30. PAINT LAKE	7 (11)	(2)	6	12	5	4	3
31. RAINBOW BEACH	5 (9)	-	-	8	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	6 (2)	-	-	-	-	6	-
33. ST. MALO	4 (3)	(6)	7	2	-	4	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	5 (7)	(2)	6	7	-	3	0
35. SPRUCE WOODS	2 (1)	(3)	1	0	-	2	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	2 (1)	(18)	-	-	5	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	9 -	-	-	-	-	-	7
TOTAL	5 (6)	(9)	7	5	6	2	5

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor survey.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Program Management
(% Needs Improvement Rating) 86/87 Forecast . . . 6%

6. iv) Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets): Garbage Collection

	1986	1984	1982	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
				DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	4 (4)	0	-	-	-	0	-
2. CHILD'S LAKE	3 (1)	-	-	-	-	3	7
3. WELLMAN	4 (8)	0	16	-	11	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	0 (0)	(1)	-	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	3 (1)	-	-	-	-	3	-
7. REED LAKE *	24 (13)	-	-	-	-	24	-
8. BERESFORD	4 (7)	-	-	-	7	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	5 (3)	-	-	-	5	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	2 (1)	-	-	-	-	2	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	7 (8)	-	-	-	-	8	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	20 -	-	-	0	-	0	-
13. ADAM LAKE	1 (0)	(1)	3	-	-	1	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	4 (3)	(7)	-	0	4	5	4
16. BRERETON	5 (5)	-	-	0	7	0	0
17. CADDY LAKE	5 (5)	-	-	-	8	3	0
18. FALCON LAKE	7 (8)	(11)	13	7	8	5	4
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	3 (2)	(2)	-	0	0	5	4
20. OPAPISKAW *	3 (9)	-	-	-	-	0	0
21. OTTER FALLS	8 (8)	-	-	-	0	16	-
22. WEST HAWK	5 (6)	(7)	4	6	6	4	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	1 (1)	-	-	0	0	0	2
24. ASSESSIPPI	4 (4)	(3)	5	3	-	4	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	5 (2)	(1)	2	-	6	3	-
26. BIRDS HILL	1 (0)	(5)	6	0	-	1	-
27. CLEARWATER	14 (16)	-	-	10	24	6	0
28. GRAND BEACH	8 (11)	(14)	7	11	7	2	0
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	4 (7)	(3)	2	0	11	2	-
30. PAINT LAKE	5 (6)	(8)	10	5	13	0	-
31. RAINBOW BEACH	3 (0)	-	-	0	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	12 (3)	-	-	-	-	12	-
33. ST. MALO	3 (3)	(2)	5	2	-	3	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	2 (1)	(2)	8	-	-	4	0
35. SPRUCE WOODS	2 (1)	(12)	6	0	-	2	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	0 (0)	(6)	-	-	0	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	10 (4)	-	-	-	-	10	-
38. OTHER *	4 -	0	-	-	-	-	2
TOTAL	5 (5)	(8)	6	4	8	3	3

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Program Management
(% Needs Improvement Rating) 86/87 Forecast . . . 6%

6. Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets)

v) Campsites

	1986	1984	1982	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1) DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	8 (5)	(6)	-	-	-	7	8
2. CHILD'S LAKE	9 (3)	-	-	-	0	5	20
3. WELLMAN	18 (22)	(3)	16	-	16	8	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	21 (22)	0	-	-	-	22	-
6. TSKWASUM	8 (4)	-	-	-	-	8	-
7. REED LAKE *	17 (9)	-	-	-	-	18	-
8. BERESFORD	4 (4)	-	-	-	3	4	-
9. BIRD LAKE	18 (9)	-	-	-	14	18	-
10. BLACK LAKE	0 (0)	(1)	-	-	-	0	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	31 (33)	-	-	-	-	31	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	-	-	3	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	7 (5)	(1)	5	-	-	7	-
14. MAX LAKE *	18 (8)	-	-	-	0	20	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	9 (8)	(8)	-	9	0	5	20
16. BRERETON	11 (15)	-	-	19	5	29	7
17. CADDY LAKE	18 (17)	-	-	12	-	19	25
18. FALCON LAKE	15 (14)	(11)	15	5	3	20	23
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	16 (13)	(18)	-	0	2	22	27
20. OPAPISKAW *	16 (14)	-	-	-	-	17	13
21. OTTER FALLS	11 (10)	-	-	-	6	16	-
22. WEST HAWK	11 (11)	(11)	22	0	3	23	11
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	21 (15)	-	0	0	6	18	35
24. ASSESSIPPI	4 (2)	(6)	8	0	-	5	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	16 (15)	(8)	8	-	9	17	-
26. BIRDS HILL	12 (16)	(7)	5	14	-	10	-
27. CLEARWATER	11 (10)	-	-	8	7	6	33
28. GRAND BEACH	9 (6)	(7)	13	2	3	16	20
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	5 (6)	(5)	8	6	4	5	-
30. PAINT LAKE	15 (5)	(13)	24	0	8	21	26
31. RAINBOW BEACH	4 (0)	-	-	0	-	7	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	10 (3)	-	-	-	-	11	-
33. ST. MALO	13 (9)	(5)	11	5	-	17	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	9 (8)	(5)	7	5	-	11	0
35. SPRUCE WOODS	7 (8)	(4)	7	7	-	7	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	0 (0)	(17)	-	-	0	-	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	10 (4)	-	-	-	-	10	-
38. OTHER *	13 -	-	-	-	-	-	12
TOTAL	11 (9)	(8)	11	4	5	11	19

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Program Management
(% Needs Improvement) 86/87 Forecast . . . 10%

6. vi) Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets
Commercial Accommodation Facilities

	1986	1984	1982	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)				
				DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER	GUESTS IN COM. ACCOM. FACILITIES
1. BLUE LAKE	9 (11)	(21)	-	-	-	8	0	-
2. CHILD'S LAKE	7 (20)	-	-	-	17	0	0	-
3. WELLMAN	10 (18)	(65)	24	-	16	0	-	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	0 (0)	(16)	-	-	-	0	-	-
6. ISKWASUM	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
7. REED LAKE *	22 (9)	-	-	-	-	12	-	-
8. BERESFORD	2 (4)	-	-	-	3	0	-	-
9. BIRD LAKE	10 (9)	-	-	-	5	18	-	-
10. BLACK LAKE	2 (2)	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	8 (9)	-	-	-	-	8	-	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	4 (4)	0	6	3	-	2	-	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	5 (7)	(9)	-	9	5	2	4	-
16. BRERETON	4 (8)	-	-	13	3	0	0	0
17. CADDY LAKE	7 (7)	-	-	-	6	0	8	-
18. FALCON LAKE	12 (11)	(13)	20	5	13	2	10	15
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	4 (3)	(2)	-	0	0	5	4	-
20. OPAPISKAW *	3 (2)	-	-	-	-	0	7	-
21. OTTER FALLS	4 (4)	-	-	-	6	3	-	-
22. WEST HAWK	5 (3)	(9)	12	0	3	2	4	11
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	7 (2)	-	-	0	6	9	5	14
24. ASSESSIPPI	4 (2)	(9)	12	0	-	4	-	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	10 (5)	(15)	9	-	15	3	-	-
26. BIRDS HILL	0 (0)	(5)	5	0	-	0	-	-
27. CLEARWATER	12 (9)	-	-	0	14	4	20	-
28. GRAND BEACH	24 (18)	(20)	15	11	25	2	0	-
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	5 (5)	(20)	10	2	4	8	3	8
30. PAINT LAKE	9 (4)	(7)	18	0	13	6	10	-
31. RAINBOW BEACH	10 (1)	-	-	0	-	3	-	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	3 (16)	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
33. ST. MALO	7 (7)	(12)	14	5	-	3	-	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	3 (5)	(1)	5	3	-	1	0	-
35. SPRUCE WOODS	1 (1)	(7)	3	0	-	1	-	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	3 (1)	(10)	-	-	0	3	-	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	13 (5)	-	-	-	-	10	-	-
38. OTHER *	2 -	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
TOTAL	7 (8)	(13)	12	4	10	2	5	9

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No responses shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

6. Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets)

vii) Concession Stands

	1986	1984	1982	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1) DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	5 (3)	(23)	-	-	-	6	-
2. CHILD'S LAKE	2 (18)	-	-	-	0	0	0
3. WELLMAN	7 (11)	(43)	9	-	11	-	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	0 (0)	(16)	-	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
8. BERESFORD	2 (4)	-	-	-	3	-	-
9. BIRD LAKE	7 (7)	-	-	-	5	9	-
10. BLACK LAKE	2 (2)	(1)	-	-	-	2	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	0 -	-	-	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	3 (4)	(4)	1	3	-	2	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	4 (2)	(13)	-	0	4	0	2
16. BRERETON	5 (5)	-	-	0	5	0	0
17. CADDY LAKE	6 (7)	-	-	8	-	0	0
18. FALCON LAKE	4 (4)	(13)	8	2	3	1	10
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	3 (3)	(5)	-	0	2	3	2
20. OPAPISKAW *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	0
21. OTTER FALLS	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	0	-
22. WEST HAWK	7 (6)	(16)	9	0	5	8	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	5 (1)	-	-	0	6	9	2
24. ASSESSIPPI	4 (2)	(23)	6	0	-	4	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	8 (3)	(22)	2	-	6	7	-
26. BIRDS HILL	3 (14)	(7)	8	14	-	1	-
27. CLEARWATER	15 (16)	-	-	13	14	6	7
28. GRAND BEACH	41 (36)	(41)	22	29	40	16	10
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	14 (11)	(27)	28	8	4	12	-
30. PAINT LAKE	4 (6)	(13)	15	5	5	2	0
31. RAINBOW BEACH	11 (14)	-	-	8	3	8	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	11 (33)	-	-	11	-	7	-
33. ST. MALO	10 (12)	(37)	18	7	-	5	0
34. STEPHENFIELD	7 (10)	(19)	10	-	-	1	-
35. SPRUCE WOODS	2 (1)	(13)	1	-	0	0	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	0 (0)	(9)	-	-	-	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	6 -	-	-	-	-	-	5
TOTAL	8 (15)	(23)	11	9	9	4	4

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.

(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Visitor Services
(% Needs Improvement) 86/87 Forecast . . . 10%

6. viii) Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets): Stores

	1986	1984	1982	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
				DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	7 (9)	(30)	-	-	-	7	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	11 (21)	-	-	-	8	8	-
3. WELLMAN	18 (19)	(34)	9	-	21	13	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	0 (0)	0	-	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	17 (8)	-	-	-	-	12	-
8. BERESFORD	5 (4)	-	-	-	3	4	-
9. BIRD LAKE	10 (6)	-	-	-	5	27	-
10. BLACK LAKE	5 (5)	(2)	-	-	-	3	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	8 (9)	-	-	-	-	8	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	67 -	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	4 (4)	(8)	2	3	-	2	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	5 (3)	(12)	-	0	4	2	7
16. BRERETON	10 (9)	-	-	0	11	0	11
17. CADDY LAKE	7 (7)	-	-	-	4	0	33
18. FALCON LAKE	3 (4)	(8)	6	5	4	1	4
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	4 (3)	(2)	-	0	4	3	4
20. OPAPISKAW *	3 (2)	-	-	-	-	0	7
21. OTTER FALLS	2 (2)	-	-	-	0	3	-
22. WEST HAWK	9 (9)	(9)	9	12	7	8	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	13 (9)	-	-	0	0	18	21
24. ASSESSIPPI	6 (6)	(15)	7	3	0	4	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	29 (22)	(23)	26	-	35	12	-
26. BIRDS HILL	3 (5)	(11)	6	5	-	2	-
27. CLEARWATER	16 (17)	-	-	8	19	2	13
28. GRAND BEACH	39 (25)	(30)	40	15	50	13	20
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	22 (19)	(35)	35	6	11	22	-
30. PAINT LAKE	9 (9)	(15)	26	7	10	10	3
31. RAINBOW BEACH	7 (1)	-	-	0	-	3	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	3 (16)	-	-	1	-	-	-
33. ST. MALO	4 (1)	(14)	10	0	-	4	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	6 (10)	(6)	4	7	-	3	0
35. SPRUCE WOODS	4 (2)	(11)	1	0	-	3	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	21 (10)	(40)	-	-	26	6	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	4 -	-	-	-	-	-	5
TOTAL	10 (11)	(18)	14	5	14	5	9

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

6. Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets)

ix) Restaurants

	1986	1984	1982	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)				
				DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER	GUESTS IN COM. ACCOM. FACILITIES
1. BLUE LAKE	2 (2)	(9)	-	-	-	2	0	-
2. CHILD'S LAKE	5 (19)	-	-	-	0	3	0	-
3. WELLMAN	6 (5)	(28)	15	-	5	0	-	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	0 (0)	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
6. ISKWASUM	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
7. REED LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
8. BERESFORD	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	0	-	-
9. BIRD LAKE	3 (2)	-	-	-	-	9	-	-
10. BLACK LAKE	2 (2)	(1)	-	-	-	2	-	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	2 (4)	(4)	1	3	-	1	-	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	10 (10)	(11)	-	9	-	2	9	-
16. BRERETON	13 (11)	-	-	0	10	0	14	0
17. CADDY LAKE	9 (11)	-	-	-	14	0	0	-
18. FALCON LAKE	18 (18)	(30)	32	12	23	6	8	23
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	9 (10)	(3)	-	8	9	3	8	-
20. OPAPISKAW *	3 (2)	-	-	-	-	0	7	-
21. OTTER FALLS	2 (2)	-	-	-	6	0	-	-
22. WEST HAWK	17 (15)	(20)	22	0	23	6	7	0
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	7 (0)	-	-	0	12	18	0	7
24. ASSESSIPPI	3 (1)	(12)	2	0	-	3	-	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	14 (16)	(25)	6	-	15	7	-	-
26. BIRDS HILL	6 (1)	(14)	10	0	-	4	-	-
27. CLEARWATER	12 (13)	-	-	8	12	0	7	-
28. GRAND BEACH	28 (18)	(26)	24	11	33	5	30	-
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	12 (11)	(22)	18	13	7	9	-	13
30. PAINT LAKE	4 (3)	(1)	26	2	5	4	3	-
31. RAINBOW BEACH	3 (0)	-	-	0	-	3	-	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
33. ST. MALO	2 (2)	(10)	9	1	-	2	-	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	4 (7)	(9)	6	5	-	1	0	-
35. SPRUCE WOODS	1 (1)	(7)	0	0	-	1	-	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	0 (0)	(4)	-	-	0	0	-	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-	-
38. OTHER *	0 -	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
TOTAL	10 (10)	(17)	13	5	16	3	6	9

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

6. Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets):

x) Amphitheatre Programs

	1986	1984	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
			DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	0 (0)	(2)	-	-	0	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	5 (20)	-	-	8	0	0
3. WELLMAN	6 (11)	0	-	11	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	0 (0)	0	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	-
8. BERESFORD	2 (4)	-	-	3	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	2 (1)	-	-	0	9	-
10. BLACK LAKE	0 (0)	(2)	-	-	0	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	7 (9)	(12)	6	-	5	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	13 (15)	(10)	18	7	9	9
16. BRERETON	5 (7)	-	6	4	0	0
17. CADDY LAKE	1 (2)	-	-	2	0	0
18. FALCON LAKE	4 (3)	(9)	0	2	1	10
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	9 (7)	(11)	0	6	3	13
20. OPAPISKAW *	3 (2)	-	-	-	0	7
21. OTTER FALLS	5 (2)	-	-	0	3	-
22. WEST HAWK	5 (6)	(9)	6	4	2	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	2 (0)	-	0	-	0	0
24. ASSESSIPPI	2 (1)	(4)	0	0	1	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	3 (1)	(8)	-	0	2	-
26. BIRDS HILL	6 (7)	(5)	5	-	4	-
27. CLEARWATER	3 (5)	-	5	1	0	0
28. GRAND BEACH	4 (4)	(8)	2	3	2	0
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	5 (4)	(6)	0	1	5	0
30. PAINT LAKE	7 (2)	(7)	0	3	8	10
31. RAINBOW BEACH	4 (0)	-	0	-	3	0
32. ST. AMBROISE	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	-
33. ST. MALO	2 (2)	0	1	-	2	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	1 (0)	(7)	0	-	0	6
35. SPRUCE WOODS	5 (2)	(6)	0	-	4	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	0 (0)	(2)	-	0	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	0 -	-	-	-	-	0
TOTAL	4 (4)	(6)	2	3	3	5

* Returns for these locations are inadequate to be reliable.

(1) No response is show where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Visitor Services
(% Needs Improvement) 86/87 Forecast . . . 7%

6. xi) Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets)
Museum/Visitor Centre

	1986	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
		DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	0 (0)	-	-	0	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	6 (24)	-	0	3	0
3. WELLMAN	6 (16)	-	5	-	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
8. BERESFORD	7 (12)	-	10	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	3 (3)	-	2	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	2 (2)	-	-	2	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	1 (0)	0	-	0	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	3 (2)	0	2	0	4
16. BRERETON	5 (8)	13	4	0	0
17. CADDY LAKE	3 (2)	-	2	0	0
18. FALCON LAKE	2 (2)	0	2	0	0
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	2 (2)	0	2	0	4
20. OPAPISKAW *	0 (0)	-	-	0	0
21. OTTER FALLS	0 (0)	-	0	0	-
22. WEST HAWK	8 (7)	0	8	6	0
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	1 (5)	5	0	0	0
24. ASSESSIPPI	2 (1)	0	-	1	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	2 (0)	-	0	2	-
26. BIRDS HILL	0 (0)	0	-	0	-
27. CLEARWATER	3 (7)	8	1	0	0
28. GRAND BEACH	5 (2)	1	5	0	0
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	4 (4)	2	3	3	13
30. PAINT LAKE	5 (8)	7	0	4	3
31. RAINBOW BEACH	0 (0)	0	-	0	0
32. ST. AMBROISE	0 (0)	-	-	0	0
33. ST. MALO	1 (1)	0	-	2	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	1 (2)	2	-	0	0
35. SPRUCE WOODS	10 (4)	0	-	7	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	0 (0)	-	0	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	0 -	-	-	-	0
TOTAL	3 (3)	2	3	1	1

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

6. Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets):

xiii) Roads

	A) CAMPGROUND/SUBDIVISION				B) HIGHWAYS IN PARK (2)		1986 (A) "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)				1986 (B) "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
	1986(A)		1986(B)		1984	1982	DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER	DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	9	(7)	31	(53)	(19)	-	-	-	5	25	-	-	30	8
2. CHILD'S LAKE	13	(6)	18	(40)	-	-	-	25	8	13	-	0	10	20
3. WELLMAN	35	(43)	49	(55)	(43)	36	-	47	13	-	-	53	21	57
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0	(0)	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	0	(0)	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	0	(0)	14	(4)	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	6	-
8. BERESFORD	28	(34)	51	(66)	-	-	-	30	18	-	-	60	25	-
9. BIRD LAKE	54	(56)	63	(66)	-	-	-	58	18	-	-	60	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	7	(4)	44	(21)	(29)	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	37	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	23	(25)	40	(40)	-	-	-	-	23	-	-	-	31	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	67	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	8	(14)	3	(4)	(23)	2	16	-	5	-	3	-	2	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0	(0)	6	(11)	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	32	(31)	62	(58)	(48)	-	9	50	8	29	36	52	39	73
16. BRERETON	40	(41)	44	(41)	-	-	19	19	14	29	31	29	43	50
17. CADDY LAKE	30	(32)	43	(46)	-	-	-	39	10	17	-	33	29	25
18. FALCON LAKE	33	(32)	13	(13)	(34)	31	10	53	9	19	5	18	2	4
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	36	(33)	58	(58)	(47)	-	17	26	27	50	50	56	41	54
20. OPAPISKAW *	13	(11)	50	(41)	-	-	-	-	17	7	-	-	44	53
21. OTTER FALLS	10	(9)	58	(52)	-	-	-	6	16	-	-	44	56	-
22. WEST HAWK	19	(17)	24	(25)	(31)	24	0	30	6	0	24	28	8	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	21	(30)	49	(51)	-	-	25	18	9	21	50	29	45	30
24. ASSESSIPPI	43	(34)	27	(26)	(49)	39	21	-	42	-	17	-	21	-
25. NAKERS NARROWS	23	(27)	10	(4)	(13)	21	-	18	18	-	-	6	8	-
26. BIRDS HILL	5	(6)	4	(5)	(2)	2	5	-	4	-	5	-	3	-
27. CLEARWATER	39	(44)	17	(18)	-	-	33	52	12	40	13	15	2	-
28. GRAND BEACH	23	(21)	17	(19)	(18)	28	15	22	16	30	16	6	17	50
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	32	(37)	48	(43)	(56)	79	26	43	20	-	47	24	41	88
30. PAINT LAKE	22	(17)	10	(16)	(30)	24	10	40	15	23	17	8	6	3
31. RAINBOW BEACH	5	(13)	0	(0)	-	-	8	-	3	-	0	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	19	(5)	8	(2)	-	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	6	-
33. ST. MALO	11	(6)	2	(2)	(8)	8	2	-	15	-	1	-	2	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	7	(7)	8	(7)	(15)	12	5	-	5	13	5	-	5	13
35. SPRUCE WOODS	5	(3)	7	(5)	(11)	5	0	-	6	-	4	-	6	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	22	(10)	18	(9)	(62)	-	-	26	16	-	-	21	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	17	(68)	9	(74)	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	23	-	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	-	-	17
TOTAL	23	(20)	25	(20)	(20)	25	12	39	11	25	16	25	13	32

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.
(2) No distinction between campground/cottage subdivision roads and highways was made in 1984 and 1982.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Program Management
(% Needs Improvement) 86/87 Forecast . . . 25%

6. xiii) Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets)
Park Signs (Roads & Pedestrian)

	1986	1984	1982	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1) DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	2 (1)	(11)	-	-	-	2	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	6 (2)	-	-	-	8	5	0
3. WELLMAN	21 (28)	(34)	18	-	32	-	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	50 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	5 (5)	(4)	-	-	-	4	-
6. ISKWASUM	3 (1)	-	-	-	-	3	-
7. REED LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
8. BERESFORD	2 (4)	-	-	-	3	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	9 (5)	-	-	-	9	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	4 (4)	(21)	-	-	-	3	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	3 (5)	(1)	9	6	-	1	-
14. MAX LAKE *	12 (14)	-	-	-	-	10	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	8 (8)	(8)	-	9	7	3	9
16. BRERETON	6 (7)	-	-	6	6	0	7
17. CADDY LAKE	3 (2)	-	-	-	0	3	17
18. FALCON LAKE	5 (7)	(13)	16	10	4	4	6
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	12 (14)	(15)	-	17	13	11	6
20. OPAPISKAW *	19 (17)	-	-	-	-	17	20
21. OTTER FALLS	9 (10)	-	-	-	6	9	-
22. WEST HAWK	10 (9)	(12)	11	0	7	15	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	11 (7)	-	-	5	12	0	7
24. ASSESSIPPI	5 (5)	(9)	6	3	-	4	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	5 (2)	(8)	18	-	6	3	-
26. BIRDS HILL	13 (23)	(13)	12	23	-	11	-
27. CLEARWATER	8 (7)	-	-	3	11	4	7
28. GRAND BEACH	16 (11)	(12)	16	7	14	17	10
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	9 (10)	(16)	20	9	9	7	-
30. PAINT LAKE	3 (5)	(7)	17	5	3	2	3
31. RAINBOW BEACH	5 (0)	-	-	0	-	3	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	8 (32)	-	-	-	-	5	-
33. ST. MALO	7 (7)	(10)	15	6	-	7	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	10 (8)	(6)	14	5	-	13	6
35. SPRUCE WOODS	10 (11)	(9)	9	11	-	9	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	5 (2)	(12)	0	-	11	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	5 (2)	-	-	-	-	5	-
38. OTHER *	14 -	-	-	-	-	-	15
TOTAL	8 (10)	(11)	13	7	8	6	8

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

6. xiv) Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets): Riding Stables

	1986	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
		DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	0 (0)	-	-	0	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	0 (0)	-	-	0	0
3. WELLMAN	3 (6)	-	0	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	33 (0)	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
8. BERESFORD	0 (0)	-	0	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	0 (0)	-	0	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	4 (4)	3	-	2	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	1 (1)	0	0	2	0
16. BRERETON	3 (5)	6	2	0	0
17. CADDY LAKE	0 (0)	-	0	0	0
18. FALCON LAKE	2 (2)	0	1	2	2
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	3 (3)	0	2	3	2
20. OPAPISKAW *	0 (0)	-	-	0	0
21. OTTER FALLS	2 (3)	-	6	0	-
22. WEST HAWK	1 (1)	0	2	0	0
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	0 (0)	0	0	0	0
24. ASSESSIPPI	2 (1)	6	-	0	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	3 (1)	-	3	2	-
26. BIRDS HILL	2 (1)	0	-	2	-
27. CLEARWATER	3 (3)	3	1	0	0
28. GRAND BEACH	5 (4)	2	3	5	0
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	1 (0)	0	0	0	-
30. PAINT LAKE	4 (3)	2	0	2	6
31. RAINBOW BEACH	7 (0)	0	-	3	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
33. ST. MALO	1 (1)	0	-	2	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	1 (2)	2	-	0	0
35. SPRUCE WOODS	4 (2)	0	-	3	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	0 (0)	-	0	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	0 -	-	-	-	0
TOTAL	2 (2)	1	1	1	1

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

6. Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets

xv) Childrens Playground

	1986	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
		DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	5 (7)	-	-	2	8
2. CHILD'S LAKE	2 (0)	-	0	0	0
3. WELLMAN	20 (24)	-	21	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	25 (25)	-	-	22	-
6. ISKWASUM	3 (1)	-	-	3	-
7. REED LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
8. BERESFORD	0 (0)	-	0	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	0 (0)	-	0	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	5 (5)	-	-	3	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	0	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	7 (3)	-	-	6	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	22 (18)	9	7	15	31
16. BRERETON	27 (24)	13	10	21	54
17. CADDY LAKE	23 (17)	-	8	19	50
18. FALCON LAKE	11 (11)	7	4	9	13
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	18 (18)	8	13	14	17
20. OPAPISKAW *	27 (21)	-	0	11	40
21. OTTER FALLS	14 (15)	-	11	6	-
22. WEST HAWK	10 (8)	0	5	12	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	22 (17)	5	6	18	28
24. ASSESSIPPI	5 (4)	3	-	3	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	7 (3)	-	3	7	-
26. BIRDS HILL	15 (5)	0	-	12	-
27. CLEARWATER	17 (13)	8	11	18	13
28. GRAND BEACH	8 (6)	4	7	5	-
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	10 (11)	6	9	8	-
30. PAINT LAKE	10 (9)	7	5	13	10
31. RAINBOW BEACH	9 (1)	0	-	7	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	12 (33)	-	-	9	-
33. ST. MALO	34 (37)	31	-	24	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	18 (18)	10	-	15	13
35. SPRUCE WOODS	4 (6)	7	-	3	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	22 (9)	-	21	13	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	0	0
38. OTHER *	16 -	-	-	-	15
TOTAL	13 (11)	9	7	9	20

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

6. Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets)

xvi) Shower Facilities

	1986	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
		DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	11 (10)	-	-	11	-
2. CHILD'S LAKE	17 (40)	-	8	3	13
3. WELLMAN	25 (28)	-	21	8	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	5 (5)	-	-	4	-
6. ISKWASUM	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	27 (73)	-	-	12	-
8. BERESFORD	0 (0)	-	0	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	0 (0)	-	0	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	2 (2)	-	-	2	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	33 -	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	16 (9)	3	-	13	-
14. MAX LAKE *	13 (25)	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	19 (16)	18	5	14	22
16. BRERETON	15 (14)	6	6	14	29
17. CADDY LAKE	20 (16)	-	10	23	25
18. FALCON LAKE	26 (24)	10	2	33	54
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	22 (20)	8	11	16	35
20. OPAPISKAW *	21 (19)	-	-	22	13
21. OTTER FALLS	11 (11)	-	11	9	-
22. WEST HAWK	16 (16)	0	6	19	36
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	23 (24)	10	0	36	30
24. ASSESSIPPI	6 (8)	7	-	3	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	11 (4)	-	6	13	-
26. BIRDS HILL	23 (11)	5	-	21	-
27. CLEARWATER	16 (17)	18	4	14	13
28. GRAND BEACH	38 (22)	9	50	22	10
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	6 (5)	2	3	7	13
30. PAINT LAKE	11 (9)	7	8	15	6
31. RAINBOW BEACH	14 (1)	0	-	14	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	3 (1)	-	-	3	-
33. ST. MALO	40 (27)	13	-	44	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	16 (16)	10	-	13	13
35. SPRUCE WOODS	15 (12)	7	-	13	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	3 (1)	-	0	3	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	7 (2)	-	-	5	-
38. OTHER *	6 -	-	-	-	5
TOTAL	18 (16)	9	11	15	24

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

6. Rating of Existing Services & Facilities - % "Needs Improvement" Ratings by Park/Campground and Visitor Category (Weighted Results in Brackets)

xvii) Fish Cleaning Stations

	1986	1986 "Needs Improvement" rating by Visitor Category (1)			
		DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	7 (8)	-	-	6	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	22 (10)	-	25	8	33
3. WELLMAN	13 (8)	-	0	13	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	67 (0)	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	4 (4)	-	-	4	-
6. ISKWASUM	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	6 (3)	-	-	6	-
8. BERESFORD	2 (0)	-	0	4	-
9. BIRD LAKE	2 (2)	-	2	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	4 (2)	-	-	3	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	8 (8)	-	-	8	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	2 (1)	0	-	1	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	10 (10)	9	7	2	15
16. BRERETON	11 (12)	13	5	0	21
17. CADDY LAKE	17 (14)	-	6	16	42
18. FALCON LAKE	6 (5)	0	3	3	10
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	10 (7)	0	2	3	21
20. OPAPISKAW *	32 (30)	-	-	6	53
21. OTTER FALLS	13 (12)	-	6	9	-
22. WEST HAWK	5 (5)	0	3	2	11
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	10 (9)	-	0	0	21
24. ASSESSIPPI	6 (5)	3	-	5	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	0 (0)	-	0	0	-
26. BIRDS HILL	0 (0)	0	-	0	-
27. CLEARWATER	7 (6)	0	9	0	13
28. GRAND BEACH	5 (4)	2	4	3	0
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	3 (3)	0	3	3	-
30. PAINT LAKE	3 (6)	7	0	0	3
31. RAINBOW BEACH	13 (32)	23	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	1 (0)	-	-	1	-
33. ST. MALO	1 (2)	1	-	1	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	13 (13)	9	-	9	13
35. SPRUCE WOODS	0 (0)	0	-	0	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	12 (6)	-	11	6	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	11 (4)	-	-	10	-
38. OTHER *	25 -	-	-	-	32
TOTAL	7 (6)	3	4	3	18

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

7. A), B) Rating of Washroom Cleanliness as "Not Acceptable" by Park/Campground and by Visitor Category With Stated Reason for Rating (Weighted Results in Brackets):

	Not Acceptable Ratings						1986 Visitor Category Rating (1)				Reason For Not Acceptable Rating (1)						
	1986		1984	1982	1981	1980	DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER	Not Cleaned Often Enough	Not Cleaned Well Enough	Vandalism	Insects	Odour	State of Repair	Other
1. BLUE LAKE	9	(7)	(10)	-	18	-	-	-	6	25	6	-	-	-	1	-	1
2. CHILD'S LAKE	9	(3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	27	-	-	-	1	-	-	3
3. WELLMAN	20	(15)	(22)	19	-	-	-	5	25	-	6	-	-	6	4	-	4
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	4	(4)	(47)	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. ISKWASUM	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. REED LAKE *	21	(60)	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	10	-	-	-	10	-	-
8. BERESFORD	2	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
9. BIRD LAKE	10	(4)	-	-	-	-	-	2	36	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-
10. BLACK LAKE	8	(3)	(2)	-	1	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	64	(69)	-	-	-	-	-	-	69	-	-	-	-	-	71	-	-
12. OTHER NORTHERN *	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	5	(17)	(6)	2	2	-	25	-	1	-	1	1	-	2	1	-	1
14. MAX LAKE *	6	(10)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	7	(6)	(3)	-	-	-	9	5	5	5	3	1	-	2	2	1	1
16. BRERETON	2	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	4	1	-	-	-	2	-	1
17. CADDY LAKE	26	(13)	-	-	-	-	-	4	48	50	4	-	-	-	18	-	4
18. FALCON LAKE	10	(11)	(10)	12	11	11	15	1	16	15	5	1	1	1	1	1	1
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	6	(6)	(8)	-	-	-	8	4	5	8	3	2	1	3	-	-	1
20. OPAPISKAW *	16	(25)	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	7	5	-	-	-	5	-	8
21. OTTER FALLS	24	(23)	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	-	11	3	-	3	3	-	-
22. WEST HAWK	5	(4)	(10)	11	16	21	-	6	2	7	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	19	(17)	-	-	-	-	10	12	9	28	2	2	-	2	7	2	6
24. ASSESSIPPI	5	(8)	(6)	5	3	-	10	-	1	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	3
25. BAKERS NARROWS	3	(9)	(17)	4	-	2	-	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26. BIRDS HILL	8	(6)	(7)	9	5	3	5	-	8	-	4	1	2	2	-	1	2
27. CLEARWATER	24	(24)	-	-	-	-	31	8	33	40	2	3	3	4	5	-	7
28. GRAND BEACH	12	(13)	(25)	19	30	32	13	12	11	-	4	4	2	1	1	1	1
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	8	(11)	(4)	-	-	-	13	17	2	-	2	-	-	-	4	-	1
30. PAINT LAKE	14	(13)	(31)	6	6	-	14	10	23	1	-	2	1	1	5	2	4
31. RAINBOW BEACH	4	(17)	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	-	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	28	(22)	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	-	4	1	-	-	26	-	2
33. ST. MALO	6	(7)	(21)	16	-	-	7	-	6	-	1	1	1	-	3	-	1
34. STEPHENFIELD	4	(5)	(3)	4	-	-	5	-	3	6	2	-	-	2	2	-	-
35. SPRUCE WOODS	9	(6)	(7)	-	-	-	4	-	9	-	-	1	-	2	7	-	2
36. WALLACE LAKE	10	(4)	(10)	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	5	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
38. OTHER *	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	10	(11)	(16)	11	9	-	12	6	10	13	3	1	1	2	4	1	3

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses in a category.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Program Management
(% Needs Improvement) 86/87 Forecast . . . 11%

B A), B) Rating of Beach Condition, by Park/Campground, by Visitor Category With Stated Reason for "Not Acceptable" Rating (Weighted Results in Brackets).

	Very Good	Acceptable	Not Acceptable Rating		Not Acceptable Rating By Visitor Category (1)				Reason For Not Acceptable Rating (1)										
					DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER	Dogs	Liquor	Loud Radios	Boats/ Water Ski	Lake Bottom	Beach Supervision	Sail-Boards	Sand	Clean-liness	Activities	Water	Other
1	BEAR LAKE	51	34	11	(8)	-	-	11	17	-	-	-	6	-	4	-	13	-	-	-	3
2	CHIEF'S LAKE	10	68	14	(5)	-	17	13	13	3	-	-	3	8	-	-	7	-	-	-	5
3	WHITMAN	25	63	13	(12)	-	11	0	41	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	9	4	-	-	-
4	OTHER DUCK MTN *	25	75	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	GYLES PARK *	33	48	19	(19)	-	-	19	-	14	-	-	-	18	-	-	7	7	-	-	-
6	ISKWASUM	14	19	14	(14)	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	10	-	-	7	-
7	REED LAKE *	18	29	12	(13)	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	RERESCOPE	7	9	23	(26)	-	20	14	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	3	-	-	-	7
9	BIRD LAKE	13	23	19	(12)	-	12	36	-	-	5	7	-	11	4	-	5	4	-	-	5
10	BLACK LAKE	53	36	8	(3)	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
11	THEARE FALLS *	21	50	29	(31)	-	-	31	-	14	-	-	14	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	OTHER WAPPENING *	20	60	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	ADAM LAKE	67	25	3	(1)	-	-	3	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	2	1
14	MAX LAKE *	33	39	17	(16)	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	29	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
15	BIG WHITESHELL	40	44	8	(6)	-	5	3	16	6	1	3	2	10	2	-	2	2	-	3	1
16	BRERETON	34	48	9	(10)	-	9	-	11	5	-	1	2	4	1	1	1	1	-	2	4
17	CADDY LAKE	21	47	8	(6)	-	6	-	25	4	-	3	2	9	-	-	2	2	-	2	-
18	FALCON LAKE	46	40	5	(4)	-	6	1	13	3	1	2	3	3	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
19	WHITEMUD LAKE	27	52	15	(12)	-	4	32	19	6	2	6	5	15	4	-	4	1	2	3	3
20	GRASSY NARROWS *	25	56	11	(15)	-	-	-	13	-	-	8	5	-	-	-	8	5	-	5	-
21	OTTER FALLS	33	53	7	(6)	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	3	8	-	3	3	-	-	-	-
22	WEST HAWK	52	31	6	(6)	-	7	4	11	4	1	3	3	3	-	-	2	2	-	-	-
23	OTHER WHITESHELL	39	38	7	(4)	-	-	-	12	7	2	2	2	6	2	-	-	-	-	3	2
24	ASSESSIPPI	32	48	8	(16)	21	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	5	2	-	2	3
25	BAKERS NARROWS	28	50	13	(19)	22	-	15	40	5	-	-	5	8	2	-	4	3	-	-	-
26	BIRDS HILL	32	42	10	(10)	9	-	9	-	2	1	-	1	6	1	-	7	2	1	6	1
27	CLEARWATER	14	41	19	(23)	31	8	18	40	12	5	4	4	6	3	3	7	2	1	2	3
28.	GRAND BEACH	47	29	25	(12)	8	42	3	-	12	18	15	2	2	10	5	6	17	12	2	2
29.	HECLA/GRINDSTONE	31	42	16	(24)	9	41	9	-	4	2	1	3	10	2	2	6	11	1	4	4
30.	PAINT LAKE	21	47	16	(12)	10	10	23	19	2	2	2	3	14	2	-	14	3	-	4	1
31.	RAINBOW BEACH	43	38	9	(19)	15	-	-	-	7	-	-	4	11	-	-	4	2	-	6	-
32.	ST. AMBROISE	41	44	15	(4)	-	-	16	-	5	2	-	-	12	-	-	2	9	-	-	-
33.	ST. MALO	47	46	8	(10)	11	-	6	-	6	1	2	-	6	1	1	9	3	-	10	1
34.	STEPHENFIELD	25	55	17	(18)	17	-	16	13	1	-	-	-	14	-	-	9	2	-	12	1
35.	SPRUCE WOODS	41	44	8	(6)	-	-	8	-	2	1	1	-	7	-	-	3	-	-	6	1
36.	WALLACE LAKE	48	40	6	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
37.	WILLIAM LAKE *	60	35	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
38.	OTHER *	20	53	22	-	-	-	-	27	3	-	-	3	15	-	-	7	-	-	13	3
	TOTAL	38	41	11	(11)	9	13	8	17	4	2	3	2	7	2	1	5	4	1	3	2

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

9. Is Traffic Speed a Significant Problem At This Park/Campground? (%) (Weighted Results in Brackets For Very Significant (v.s.), Somewhat Significant (s.s.) and Total v.s. and s.s. Total v.s. and s.s. Compared for 1986, 1984 (Weighted) and 1982, 1981 (Unweighted):

	Very Significant		Somewhat Significant		Not Significant	No Opinion	1986 Total V.S. & S.S.		1984 Total V.S. & S.S.	1982 Total V.S. & S.S.	1981 Total V.S. & S.S.
1. BLUE LAKE	3	(2)	11	(8)	80	6	14	(10)	(26)	-	14
2. CHILD'S LAKE	1	(0)	11	(4)	79	9	12	(5)	-	-	-
3. WELLMAN	8	(9)	21	(30)	67	4	29	(39)	(30)	35	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	25	(50)	-	-	75	-	25	(50)	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	7	(8)	19	(19)	70	4	26	(27)	(2)	-	-
6. ISKWASUM	5	(5)	-	-	93	3	5	(5)	-	-	-
7. REED LAKE *	11	(6)	11	(3)	68	11	21	(9)	-	-	-
8. BERESFORD	7	(12)	16	(25)	70	7	23	(28)	-	-	-
9. BIRD LAKE	6	(3)	24	(12)	54	17	30	(15)	-	-	-
10. BLACK LAKE	3	(34)	8	(3)	87	2	11	(37)	(9)	-	4
11. TULABI FALLS *	0	(0)	21	(23)	79	-	21	(23)	-	-	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	40	-	20	-	20	20	60	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	6	(4)	11	(14)	76	7	17	(18)	(9)	21	11
14. MAX LAKE *	0	(10)	11	(7)	83	6	11	(7)	-	-	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	8	(8)	10	(13)	73	8	19	(21)	(22)	-	-
16. BRERETON	12	(12)	25	(24)	52	11	37	(36)	-	-	-
17. CADDY LAKE	5	(3)	13	(10)	63	20	18	(13)	-	-	-
18. FALCON LAKE	11	(12)	23	(21)	59	8	34	(33)	(35)	36	19
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	11	(10)	17	(16)	60	12	28	(26)	(34)	-	-
20. OPAPISKAW *	5	(5)	19	(16)	65	11	24	(20)	-	-	-
21. OTTER FALLS	10	(10)	5	(6)	73	13	15	(15)	-	-	-
22. WEST HAWK	3	(4)	14	(14)	69	14	17	(18)	(25)	31	23
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	8	(4)	13	(15)	70	10	21	(19)	-	-	-
24. ASSESSIPPI	11	(9)	10	(18)	69	11	20	(26)	(20)	20	15
25. BAKERS NARROWS	13	(21)	14	(14)	64	9	27	(35)	(44)	37	-
26. BIRDS HILL	6	(5)	9	(9)	79	6	15	(14)	(16)	23	18
27. CLEARWATER	7	(7)	19	(25)	66	8	26	(31)	-	-	-
28. GRAND BEACH	9	(4)	23	(18)	58	10	32	(22)	(29)	32	22
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	8	(8)	14	(16)	67	11	21	(24)	(19)	16	19
30. PAINT LAKE	11	(9)	27	(23)	50	12	38	(32)	(11)	37	36
31. RAINBOW BEACH	8	(19)	16	(1)	69	6	25	(20)	-	-	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	12	(18)	26	(22)	60	2	38	(39)	-	-	-
33. ST. MALO	6	(5)	15	(10)	70	9	21	(15)	(16)	26	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	9	(8)	12	(6)	66	13	21	(14)	(24)	27	-
35. SPRUCE WOODS	6	(7)	14	(10)	69	12	19	(17)	(25)	19	12
36. WALLACE LAKE	4	(1)	8	(35)	79	9	11	(36)	(24)	-	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	10	(4)	10	(61)	76	5	19	(65)	-	-	-
38. OTHER *	14	-	15	-	64	7	19	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	8	(8)	16	(15)	66	9	24	(23)	(24)	26	17

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Program Management
(% V.S. & S.S. Problem) 86/87 Forecast . . . 25%

10. A) Rating of Rowdiness Problem by Park/Campground and by Visitor Category, With Comparison To 1984, 1982 and 1981 Results (Weighted Results in Brackets):

	Very Significant (V.S.)		Somewhat Significant (S.S.)		1986 Total V.S. & S.S.		1984 Total V.S. & S.S.	1982 Total V.S. & S.S.	1981 Total V.S. & S.S.	1986 Total V.S. & S.S. By Visitor Category (1) DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	3	(2)	12	(7)	14	(9)	(13)	-	4	-	-	13	17
2. CHILD'S LAKE	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3. WELLMAN	2	(0)	6	(8)	8	(8)	(15)	15	-	-	11	4	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	4	(4)	15	(15)	19	(19)	-	-	-	-	-	19	-
6. ISKWASUM	5	(5)	3	(3)	8	(8)	-	-	-	-	-	8	-
7. REED LAKE *	11	(13)	11	(6)	22	(19)	-	-	-	-	-	18	-
8. BERESFORD	0	(0)	11	(13)	11	(13)	-	-	-	-	13	7	-
9. BIRD LAKE	8	(3)	11	(6)	19	(9)	-	-	-	-	9	55	-
10. BLACK LAKE	5	(34)	10	(3)	15	(38)	(1)	-	4	-	-	14	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	21	(23)	29	(31)	50	(54)	-	-	-	-	-	54	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	20	-	0	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	3	(3)	3	(1)	6	(4)	(8)	11	5	3	-	7	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0	(0)	6	(4)	6	(4)	-	-	-	-	-	10	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	4	(3)	8	(9)	12	(12)	(17)	-	-	18	4	14	16
16. BRERETON	1	(1)	7	(6)	8	(7)	-	-	-	-	7	14	7
17. CADDY LAKE	1	(0)	16	(13)	17	(13)	-	-	-	-	16	23	8
18. FALCON LAKE	4	(3)	10	(10)	14	(13)	(12)	16	13	5	9	19	23
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	7	(5)	9	(7)	16	(12)	(16)	-	-	-	6	32	19
20. OPAPISKAW *	0	(0)	11	(15)	11	(15)	-	-	-	-	-	11	7
21. OTTER FALLS	3	(4)	5	(4)	8	(9)	-	-	-	-	-	13	-
22. WEST HAWK	3	(4)	7	(7)	10	(11)	(14)	18	23	12	9	12	11
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	4	(3)	10	(5)	14	(8)	-	-	-	-	18	9	26
24. ASSESSIPPI	6	(6)	10	(8)	15	(15)	(8)	4	7	14	-	16	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	6	(10)	17	(15)	23	(25)	(13)	17	-	22	21	22	-
26. BIRDS HILL	6	(2)	11	(3)	18	(5)	-	-	-	-	-	19	-
27. CLEARWATER	3	(4)	9	(11)	12	(14)	(10)	12	12	15	12	10	7
28. GRAND BEACH	8	(5)	13	(6)	21	(11)	(11)	17	23	6	21	32	60
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	2	(2)	7	(6)	9	(7)	(10)	10	7	4	3	13	25
30. PAINT LAKE	2	(4)	12	(9)	15	(12)	(12)	13	25	12	13	19	13
31. RAINBOW BEACH	4	(19)	2	(0)	6	(19)	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	6	(2)	9	(2)	15	(4)	-	-	-	-	-	16	-
33. ST. MALO	5	(4)	9	(7)	15	(11)	(7)	15	-	9	-	17	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	4	(4)	7	(5)	11	(8)	(10)	16	-	7	-	11	19
35. SPRUCE WOODS	1	(3)	10	(5)	11	(7)	(19)	10	5	4	-	12	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	0	(0)	15	(5)	15	(5)	(12)	-	-	-	21	13	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	5	(2)	9	(4)	14	(6)	-	-	-	-	-	14	-
38. OTHER *	3	-	3	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
TOTAL	4	(4)	9	(6)	13	(11)	(11)	13	-	8	11	15	16

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses in a visitor category.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Program Management
(% V.S. & S.S. Problem) 86/87 Forecast . . . 12%

10. B) In dealing with those persons causing any problems, how should Park Staff behave? (%) (Weighted results in brackets.)

	1986 Results	1984 Results	1982 Results	1981 Results	1980 Results
Be more lenient	3 (3)	(5)	3	3	6
About the same	34 (29)	(32)	30	24	53
Be stricter	29 (28)	(25)	30	34	32
No opinion	34 (40)	(39)	37	40	9

Non-respondents = 479

11. A) Rating of overall helpfulness and courtesy of Park Staff at this Park/Campground (%) with comparison to 1984 and 1982 survey results. (Weighted results in brackets.)

	1986	1984	1982
Very good	56 (49)	(48)	49
Satisfactory	33 (37)	(34)	36
Needs Improvement	4 (3)	(5)	4
No opinion	7 (11)	(13)	11

Non-respondents = 176

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Overall Parks Branch (% Needs Improvement)

11. A) Rating of Overall Helpfulness and Courtesy of Park Staff At This Park/Campground (%) With Comparison To 1984, 1982 "Needs Improvement" Rating and "Needs Improvement" Results for 1986 by Visitor Category, (Weighted Results in Brackets):

	Very Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	1984 Needs Improvement	1982 Needs Improvement	1986 "Needs Improvement Results by Visitor Category (1) DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	66 (77)	30 (20)	3 (2)	(3)	-	-	-	4	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	54 (65)	42 (33)	3 (1)	-	-	-	8	3	0
3. WELLMAN	55 (42)	35 (39)	2 (4)	(8)	7	-	5	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	75 (100)	0 (0)	25 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	89 (89)	11 (11)	0 (0)	(18)	-	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	88 (94)	13 (6)	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	35 (18)	35 (64)	30 (18)	-	-	-	-	35	-
8. BERESFORD	58 (42)	32 (42)	2 (0)	-	-	-	0	4	-
9. BIRD LAKE	38 (43)	31 (40)	6 (3)	-	-	-	7	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	68 (56)	25 (41)	5 (2)	(0)	-	-	-	5	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	36 (31)	50 (54)	7 (8)	-	-	-	-	8	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	40 -	20 -	20 -	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	74 (65)	23 (33)	0 (0)	(0)	4	0	-	0	-
14. MAX LAKE *	71 (59)	24 (30)	6 (11)	-	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	51 (46)	35 (32)	4 (5)	(6)	-	9	7	2	2
16. BRERETON	44 (40)	42 (47)	3 (2)	-	-	0	3	0	7
17. CADDY LAKE	41 (28)	43 (50)	10 (15)	-	-	-	0	29	0
18. FALCON LAKE	52 (53)	39 (38)	5 (5)	(4)	7	2	4	6	10
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	51 (48)	34 (31)	5 (4)	(3)	-	-	6	3	8
20. OPAPISKAW *	62 (58)	30 (31)	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	0
21. OTTER FALLS	49 (46)	39 (44)	3 (2)	-	-	-	6	0	-
22. WEST HAWK	50 (50)	38 (37)	5 (4)	(5)	5	0	6	4	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	49 (54)	26 (20)	9 (7)	-	-	0	0	9	21
24. ASSESSIPPI	62 (60)	34 (36)	3 (1)	(2)	6	0	-	3	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	67 (49)	24 (33)	0 (0)	(0)	2	-	0	0	-
26. BIRDS HILL	67 (48)	28 (37)	1 (0)	(0)	3	-	-	2	-
27. CLEARWATER	44 (33)	36 (39)	5 (5)	-	-	3	8	2	7
28. GRAND BEACH	36 (35)	46 (42)	10 (6)	(6)	4	4	9	17	20
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	68 (60)	21 (27)	5 (5)	(3)	1	4	5	4	-
30. PAINT LAKE	49 (43)	43 (48)	4 (3)	(10)	2	2	8	2	3
31. RAINBOW BEACH	68 (70)	30 (21)	0 (0)	-	-	0	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	57 (59)	38 (39)	1 (0)	-	-	-	-	1	-
33. ST. MALO	60 (48)	29 (36)	4 (3)	(9)	5	2	-	5	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	59 (52)	34 (38)	3 (3)	(1)	4	3	-	3	-
35. SPRUCE WOODS	69 (62)	26 (28)	1 (1)	(1)	4	0	-	1	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	60 (86)	17 (6)	2 (1)	(20)	-	-	5	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	82 (92)	18 (8)	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	63 -	33 -	2 -	(0)	3	-	-	-	2
TOTAL	56 (49)	33 (37)	4 (3)	(5)	4	2	5	3	7

* Returns from these sites were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses in a category.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Overall Parks Branch (% Needs Improvement)

11. B) Rating of the services provided by the following categories of Park Staff which are available at this Park/Campground (%) with comparison to previous years results. (Weighted results in brackets.)

i) Park Gate Staff	1986	1984	1981
Very good (Excellent in 1981)	61 (58)	(65)	53
Satisfactory	21 (27)	(22)	34
Needs Improvement	1 (1)	(2)	4
No opinion or not available here	17 (14)	(11)	9

Non-respondents = 447

ii) Campground Office Staff	1986	1984	1981
Very good (Excellent in 1981)	50 (39)	(36)	38
Satisfactory	22 (20)	(21)	33
Needs Improvement	3 (2)	(2)	4
No opinion or not available here	25 (39)	(41)	26

Non-respondents = 691

iii) Park Patrol	1986	1984	1981
Very good (Excellent in 1981)	39 (31)	(32)	32
Satisfactory	30 (30)	(37)	37
Needs Improvement	8 (8)	(7)	8
No opinion or not available here	23 (31)	(23)	23

Non-respondents = 736

11. B) continued

iv) Beach Patrol	1986	1984
Very good	25 (21)	(29)
Satisfactory	24 (29)	(30)
Needs Improvement	6 (6)	(9)
No opinion or not available here	45 (44)	(33)

Non-respondents = 1046

v) Visitor Services Attendants/Naturalists	1986	1984
Very good	18 (14)	(19)
Satisfactory	17 (17)	(17)
Needs Improvement	3 (2)	(3)
No opinion or not available here	62 (67)	(60)

Non-respondents = 1245

vi) Maintenance Staff	1986
Very good	36 (25)
Satisfactory	35 (24)
Needs Improvement	5 (3)
No opinion or not available here	24 (48)

Non-respondents = 784

vii) Natural Resource Officer/Park Ranger	1986
Very good	30 (25)
Satisfactory	25 (24)
Needs Improvement	3 (3)
No opinion or not available here	42 (48)

Non-respondents = 926

11. B) Rating of Services Provided by Staff At This Park/Campground (1) With Comparison To 1984 and 1981 "Needs Improvement" Rating and "Needs Improvement" Results for 1986 by Visitor Category, (Weighted Results In Brackets):

i) Park Gate Staff

	1986 Results			1984	1981	1986 "Needs Improvement" Results by Visitor Category (1			
	Very Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement	DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	44 (44)	13 (11)	2 (1)	(3)	7	-	-	2	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	51 (64)	18 (23)	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	0	0
3. WELLMAN	33 (21)	21 (26)	0 (0)	(7)	-	-	0	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	33 (50)	33 (50)	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	13 (9)	4 (4)	0 (0)	(0)	-	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	47 (21)	6 (3)	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	23 (7)	15 (7)	15 (7)	-	-	-	-	12	-
8. BERESFORD	26 (12)	2 (4)	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	12 (57)	0 (0)	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	45 (48)	8 (36)	2 (1)	(1)	-	-	-	2	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	8 (8)	15 (8)	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	25 -	25 -	0 -	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	59 (46)	14 (15)	0 (0)	(0)	-	0	-	0	-
14. MAX LAKE *	0 (0)	7 (4)	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	67 (71)	26 (24)	2 (1)	(2)	-	0	0	3	2
16. BRERETON	74 (72)	22 (24)	1 (1)	-	-	0	1	0	0
17. CADDY LAKE	67 (58)	24 (40)	4 (2)	-	-	-	0	13	0
18. FALCON LAKE	73 (73)	24 (23)	1 (1)	(3)	6	2	2	0	2
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	74 (74)	22 (20)	2 (3)	(0)	-	8	0	5	0
20. OPAPISKAW *	64 (58)	36 (42)	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	0
21. OTTER FALLS	63 (64)	30 (30)	3 (3)	-	-	-	6	3	-
22. WEST HAWK	67 (66)	26 (26)	2 (2)	(0)	-	0	2	4	0
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	65 (67)	26 (21)	2 (0)	-	-	0	0	18	0
24. ASSESSIPPI	27 (18)	13 (7)	0 (0)	(1)	7	0	-	0	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	52 (26)	13 (33)	0 (0)	(0)	-	-	0	0	-
26. BIRDS HILL	74 (55)	23 (41)	1 (0)	(0)	-	-	-	1	-
27. CLEARWATER	25 (14)	9 (11)	1 (1)	-	-	0	1	0	0
28. GRAND BEACH	63 (56)	35 (39)	1 (3)	(1)	-	4	0	0	0
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	72 (57)	8 (6)	1 (1)	(0)	6	2	1	1	0
30. PAINT LAKE	68 (59)	31 (37)	1 (2)	(6)	8	2	0	2	0
31. RAINBOW BEACH	60 (76)	18 (1)	2 (0)	-	-	0	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	58 (74)	30 (23)	4 (1)	-	-	-	-	4	-
33. ST. MALO	68 (66)	30 (32)	2 (1)	(3)	-	0	-	3	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	73 (75)	24 (24)	0 (0)	(2)	-	0	-	0	0
35. SPRUCE WOODS	52 (47)	19 (19)	1 (1)	-	-	0	-	1	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	22 (79)	8 (2)	3 (1)	(6)	-	-	0	3	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	53 (20)	12 (4)	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	44 -	18 -	2 -	(0)	-	-	-	-	2
TOTAL	61 (58)	21 (27)	1 (1)	(2)	4	1	1	1	1

* Returns from these sites were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses in a category.

11. B) Rating of Services Provided by Staff At This Park/Campground (%) With Comparison To 1984 and 1981 "Needs Improvement" Rating and "Needs Improvement" Results for 1986 by Visitor Category, (Weighted Results in Brackets):

ii) Campground Office Staff

	1986 Results						1984	1981	1986 "Needs Improvement" Results by Visitor Category (1)			
	Very Good		Satisfactory		Needs Improvement		Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement	DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	67	(76)	21	(14)	6	(4)	(0)	7	-	-	7	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	68	(71)	19	(23)	0	(0)	-	-	-	0	0	0
3. WELLMAN	51	(41)	37	(39)	0	(0)	(13)	-	-	0	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0	(0)	67	(100)	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	38	(35)	8	(9)	0	(0)	(2)	-	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	73	(73)	15	(15)	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	38	(17)	25	(66)	31	(17)	-	-	-	-	29	-
8. BERESFORD	56	(35)	18	(20)	4	(4)	-	-	-	3	4	-
9. BIRD LAKE	26	(50	9	(6)	0	(0)	-	-	-	0	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	68	(84)	19	(10)	5	(3)	(1)	-	-	-	5	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	8	(8)	31	(25)	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	40	-	20	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	59	(41)	18	(27)	2	(1)	(0)	-	0	-	2	-
14. MAX LAKE *	35	(30)	12	(7)	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	60	(55)	20	(18)	2	(2)	(1)	-	0	4	0	2
16. BRERETON	34	(31)	19	(24)	1	(1)	-	-	0	1	0	0
17. CADDY LAKE	27	(20)	26	(23)	10	(5)	-	-	-	2	23	0
18. FALCON LAKE	45	(44)	24	(21)	5	(4)	(2)	7	2	2	6	8
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	47	(44)	17	(14)	2	(2)	(0)	-	0	0	3	4
20. OPAPISKAW *	65	(59)	29	(30)	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	0	0
21. OTTER FALLS	43	(39)	33	(34)	2	(2)	-	-	-	0	3	-
22. WEST HAWK	37	(39)	24	(24)	3	(2)	(5)	8	0	3	4	0
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	28	(21)	20	(20)	7	(4)	-	-	0	6	18	9
24. ASSESSIPPI	54	(40)	28	(19)	1	(1)	(5)	-	0	-	1	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	71	(41)	16	(25)	0	(0)	(0)	-	-	0	0	-
26. BIRDS HILL	66	(37)	21	(24)	3	(1)	(2)	-	0	-	3	-
27. CLEARWATER	32	(20)	21	(21)	1	(1)	-	-	0	1	2	0
28. GRAND BEACH	29	(14)	30	(19)	8	(5)	(3)	7	2	5	17	10
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	58	(45)	10	(9)	2	(2)	(1)	6	2	1	1	-
30. PAINT LAKE	47	(34)	32	(36)	1	(0)	(0)	-	0	0	2	0
31. RAINBOW BEACH	66	(72)	23	(2)	2	(0)	-	-	0	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	46	(42)	23	(6)	4	(1)	-	-	-	-	4	-
33. ST. MALO	60	(42)	18	(17)	3	(2)	(2)	-	1	-	3	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	57	(44)	21	(24)	0	(0)	(1)	-	-	-	0	0
35. SPRUCE WOODS	61	(54)	24	(21)	5	(3)	(2)	-	0	-	6	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	60	(88)	14	(4)	5	(1)	(12)	-	-	5	3	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	81	(92)	19	(8)	0	(0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	52	-	21	-	2	-	(0)	-	-	-	-	2
TOTAL	50	(39)	22	(20)	3	(2)	(2)	4	1	2	4	3

* Returns from these sites were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses in a category.

II. B) Rating of Services Provided by Staff At This Park/Campground (%) With Comparison To 1984 and 1981 "Needs Improvement" Rating and "Needs Improvement" Results for 1986 by Visitor Category, (Weighted Results in Brackets):

iii) Park Patrol

	1986 Results			1984	1981	1986 "Needs Improvement" Results by Visitor Category (1)			
	Very Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement	DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	47 (46)	22 (22)	8 (7)	(10)	9	-	-	2	25
2. CHILD'S LAKE	50 (65)	27 (26)	5 (2)	(7)	-	-	8	3	7
3. WELLMAN	38 (26)	33 (38)	8 (14)	-	-	-	16	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	33 (0)	33 (100)	33 (0)	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	32 (32)	14 (14)	5 (5)	(2)	-	-	-	4	-
6. ISKWASUM	35 (35)	10 (10)	3 (3)	-	-	-	-	3	-
7. REED LAKE *	14 (4)	36 (15)	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
8. BERESFORD	47 (34)	9 (12)	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	23 (47)	27 (18)	10 (6)	-	-	-	5	27	-
10. BLACK LAKE	36 (70)	20 (9)	0 (0)	(3)	24	-	-	0	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	7 (8)	50 (46)	7 (8)	-	-	-	-	8	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	25 -	0 -	0 -	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	40 (33)	26 (38)	2 (1)	(10)	6	0	-	2	-
14. MAX LAKE *	38 (27)	19 (27)	0 (0)	-	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	31 (28)	33 (28)	15 (12)	(12)	-	0	11	15	20
16. BRERETON	26 (26)	35 (38)	8 (7)	-	-	0	7	0	7
17. CADDY LAKE	23 (19)	26 (23)	10 (9)	-	-	-	8	10	8
18. FALCON LAKE	36 (33)	36 (35)	7 (6)	(6)	10	2	6	4	12
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	42 (38)	31 (30)	9 (7)	(9)	-	0	0	16	13
20. OPAPISKAW *	45 (36)	42 (48)	3 (3)	-	-	-	-	6	0
21. OTTER FALLS	25 (23)	41 (40)	14 (15)	-	-	-	11	6	-
22. WEST HAWK	31 (31)	31 (30)	10 (9)	(9)	14	0	10	10	7
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	25 (23)	29 (28)	10 (8)	-	-	0	6	0	21
24. ASSESSIPPI	57 (52)	24 (27)	3 (1)	(2)	5	0	-	3	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	37 (20)	26 (50)	10 (4)	(1)	-	-	12	8	-
26. BIRDS HILL	57 (36)	20 (30)	4 (1)	(7)	6	0	-	3	-
27. CLEARWATER	33 (31)	34 (34)	15 (14)	-	-	8	18	6	20
28. GRAND BEACH	32 (22)	38 (28)	13 (8)	(8)	11	4	12	21	20
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	42 (36)	21 (25)	6 (7)	(7)	7	2	9	3	-
30. PAINT LAKE	43 (33)	37 (45)	7 (6)	(3)	13	5	10	4	6
31. RAINBOW BEACH	29 (2)	33 (11)	25 (69)	-	-	62	-	14	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	53 (34)	36 (45)	5 (2)	-	-	-	-	5	-
33. ST. MALO	46 (33)	28 (24)	3 (1)	(13)	-	0	-	5	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	63 (50)	21 (28)	5 (3)	(1)	-	2	-	8	0
35. SPRUCE WOODS	50 (40)	29 (27)	4 (2)	(3)	-	0	-	4	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	24 (7)	19 (5)	5 (2)	(20)	-	-	0	3	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	55 (81)	25 (10)	10 (4)	-	-	-	-	10	-
38. OTHER *	43 -	31 -	6 -	(0)	-	-	-	-	5
TOTAL	39 (31)	30 (30)	8 (8)	(7)	8	3	9	5	12

* Returns from these sites were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses in a category.

11. B) Rating of Services Provided by Staff At This Park/Campground (%) With Comparison To 1984 "Needs Improvement" Rating and "Needs Improvement" Results for 1986 by Visitor Category, (Weighted Results in Brackets):

iv) Beach Patrol

	1986 Results			1984	1986 "Needs Improvement" Results by Visitor Category (1)			
	Very Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement	DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	16 (15)	16 (14)	3 (4)	(7)	-	-	0	17
2. CHILD'S LAKE	18 (6)	8 (3)	0 (0)	-	-	0	0	0
3. WELLMAN	3 (2)	16 (22)	0 (0)	(8)	-	0	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0 (0)	0 (0)	33 (0)	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	9 (9)	5 (5)	0 (0)	(18)	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	18 (18)	0 (0)	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	0 (0)	8 (4)	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	-
8. BERESFORD	5 (5)	0 (0)	2 (4)	-	-	3	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	5 (5)	3 (3)	3 (2)	-	-	0	9	-
10. BLACK LAKE	11 (11)	11 (11)	2 (2)	(4)	-	-	2	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	0 (0)	17 (9)	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0 -	0 -	0 -	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	45 (52)	29 (34)	4 (4)	(0)	3	-	4	-
14. MAX LAKE *	6 (4)	6 (4)	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	9 (9)	10 (7)	10 (8)	(14)	0	7	11	7
16. BRERETON	8 (10)	17 (17)	6 (7)	-	6	3	14	7
17. CADDY LAKE	8 (7)	7 (7)	3 (3)	-	-	2	0	8
18. FALCON LAKE	26 (27)	36 (34)	4 (3)	(4)	0	2	2	12
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	15 (12)	24 (25)	7 (8)	(12)	8	4	8	6
20. OPAPISKAW *	10 (8)	23 (26)	0 (0)	-	-	-	0	0
21. OTTER FALLS	13 (10)	15 (12)	10 (9)	-	-	0	9	-
22. WEST HAWK	26 (23)	31 (33)	4 (4)	(8)	0	2	8	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	7 (6)	6 (6)	5 (4)	-	0	0	0	9
24. ASSESSIPPI	10 (9)	7 (3)	4 (4)	(5)	3	-	3	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	11 (4)	16 (48)	6 (13)	(17)	-	6	3	-
26. BIRDS HILL	40 (23)	25 (41)	2 (0)	(7)	0	-	2	-
27. CLEARWATER	7 (9)	16 (18)	13 (14)	-	10	11	0	13
28. GRAND BEACH	24 (17)	40 (38)	21 (14)	(10)	9	25	13	10
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	38 (30)	15 (12)	2 (2)	(4)	0	3	1	-
30. PAINT LAKE	29 (28)	34 (42)	3 (3)	(18)	2	8	0	0
31. RAINBOW BEACH	12 (1)	27 (4)	4 (22)	-	8	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	9 (3)	17 (5)	7 (2)	[illegible]	-	-	6	-
33. ST. MALO	46 (43)	36 (36)	4 (4)	(11)	4	-	4	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	45 (36)	32 (36)	4 (4)	(3)	3	-	3	6
35. SPRUCE WOODS	44 (52)	32 (26)	[illegible] (2)	(7)	0	-	3	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	9 (3)	[illegible] (1)	[illegible] (2)	(10)	-	5	[illegible]	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0 (0)	13 (5)	[illegible] (2)	[illegible]	-	[illegible]	[illegible]	-
38. OTHER *	15 -	17 -	7 -	[illegible]	-	-	[illegible]	[illegible]
12. [illegible]				-			[illegible]	
13. TOTAL	25 (21)	24 (29)	6 [illegible] (6)	(9)	4 [illegible]	6 [illegible]	3 [illegible]	6
14. [illegible]			[illegible]	-	-	-		-
15. [illegible]				[illegible]	0	[illegible]		[illegible]
[illegible]			[illegible]	-	0	[illegible]	0	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	-	-	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	0	[illegible]		

Returns from these sites were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses in a category.

11. B) Rating of Services Provided by Staff At This Park/Campground (%) With Comparison To 1984 "Needs Improvement" Rating and "Needs Improvement" Results for 1986 by Visitor Category, (Weighted Results in Brackets):

v) Visitor Services Attendants/Naturalists

	1986 Results						1984	1986 "Needs Improvement" Results by Visitor Category (1)			
	Very Good		Satisfactory		Needs Improvement		Needs Improvement	DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	13	(13)	5	(6)	2	(2)	(2)	-	-	2	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	16	(6)	5	(1)	0	(0)	-	-	0	0	0
3. WELLMAN	6	(4)	13	(16)	10	(9)	(0)	-	5	8	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0	(0)	0	(0)	33	(0)	(4)	-	-	0	-
5. GYLES PARK *	5	(5)	5	(5)	0	(0)	(18)	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	21	(21)	4	(4)	0	(0)	-	-	-	0	-
7. REED LAKE *	0	(0)	9	(0)	9	(4)	-	-	-	6	-
8. BERESFORD	16	(10)	0	(0)	4	(4)	-	-	3	4	-
9. BIRD LAKE	3	(2)	3	(2)	0	(0)	(9)	-	0	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	2	(2)	2	(2)	2	(2)	(0)	-	-	2	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	0	(0)	8	(0)	17	(18)	-	-	-	15	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0	-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	20	(20)	15	(19)	1	(3)	(0)	3	-	0	-
14. MAX LAKE *	25	(8)	13	(23)	0	(0)	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	11	(11)	17	(13)	2	(2)	(4)	0	2	2	2
16. BRERETON	13	(12)	21	(28)	3	(2)	-	0	1	0	11
17. CADDY LAKE	8	(8)	7	(7)	3	(2)	-	-	2	3	0
18. FALCON LAKE	19	(18)	22	(20	3	(2)	(3)	0	3	1	4
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	32	(29)	24	(23)	3	(3)	(1)	0	4	3	2
20. OPAPISKAW *	7	(5)	33	(36)	0	(0)	-	-	-	0	0
21. OTTER FALLS	14	(12)	14	(10)	4	(4)	-	-	0	6	-
22. WEST HAWK	16	(17)	21	(22)	2	(3)	(4)	6	3	0	0
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	15	(20)	7	(10)	4	(2)	-	0	6	0	5
24. ASSESSIPPI	15	(9)	14	(14)	0	(0)	(2)	0	0	0	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	15	(24)	16	(26)	0	(0)	(0)	0	0	0	-
26. BIRDS HILL	26	(16)	14	(12)	1	(0)	-	0	-	1	-
27. CLEARWATER	6	(5)	13	(11)	4	(5)	-	3	5	0	0
28. GRAND BEACH	13	(5)	24	(14)	4	(3)	(3)	1	3	5	10
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	26	(19)	16	(13)	4	(5)	(2)	4	4	3	-
30. PAINT LAKE	20	(14)	24	(30)	4	(5)	(1)	5	3	2	3
31. RAINBOW BEACH	0	(0)	26	(33)	0	(0)	-	0	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	4	(1)	4	(16)	1	(0)	-	-	-	1	-
33. ST. MALO	17	(18)	21	(20)	1	(0)	(1)	0	-	1	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	12	(14)	16	(13)	3	(2)	(4)	2	-	0	13
35. SPRUCE WOODS	41	(43)	20	(23)	2	(1)	(3)	0	-	2	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	3	(1)	6	(1)	3	(1)	-	-	0	3	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	41	(16)	24	(9)	6	(2)	-	-	-	5	-
38. OTHER *	11	-	9	-	2	-	(0)	-	-	-	2
TOTAL	18	(14)	17	(17)	3	(2)	(3)	1	2	2	3

* Returns from these sites were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses in a category.

11. B) Rating of Services Provided by Staff At This Park/Campground (%) and "Needs Improvement" Results for 1986 by Visitor Category, (Weighted Results in Brackets):

vi) Maintenance Staff

	1986 Results			1986 "Needs Improvement" Results by Visitor Category (1)			
	Very Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	46 (60)	31 (22)	5 (4)	-	-	2	8
2. CHILD'S LAKE	45 (64)	43 (31)	0 (0)	-	0	0	0
3. WELLMAN	37 (30)	27 (30)	15 (17)	-	16	0	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	33 (0)	33 (100)	33 (0)	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	70 (69)	15 (15)	4 (4)	-	-	4	-
6. ISKWASUM	71 (71)	11 (11)	3 (3)	-	-	3	-
7. REED LAKE *	27 (11)	47 (79)	20 (11)	-	-	18	-
8. BERESFORD	30 (16)	30 (36)	2 (4)	-	3	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	19 (11)	21 (48)	14 (9)	-	9	18	-
10. BLACK LAKE	38 (38)	28 (28)	2 (2)	-	-	2	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	15 (17)	54 (50)	23 (25)	-	-	23	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	25 -	0 -	0 -	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	42 (36)	31 (32)	0 (0)	0	-	0	-
14. MAX LAKE *	56 (47)	22 (33)	6 (10)	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	32 (28)	35 (27)	6 (4)	0	4	6	4
16. BRERETON	21 (17)	44 (48)	2 (2)	0	1	7	4
17. CADDY LAKE	21 (15)	36 (31)	5 (6)	-	4	0	17
18. FALCON LAKE	28 (26)	45 (42)	6 (7)	7	3	7	8
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	36 (33)	36 (32)	8 (7)	0	7	0	15
20. OPAPISKAW *	32 (27)	35 (37)	3 (3)	-	-	6	0
21. OTTER FALLS	20 (16)	52 (52)	7 (8)	-	0	9	-
22. WEST HAWK	28 (25)	42 (45)	3 (4)	6	3	0	7
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	31 (25)	26 (27)	8 (6)	0	0	0	16
24. ASSESSIPPI	49 (42)	26 (22)	0 (0)	0	-	0	0
25. BAKERS NARROWS	45 (39)	32 (45)	2 (1)	-	3	2	-
26. BIRDS HILL	44 (37)	23 (28)	6 (5)	5	-	5	-
27. CLEARWATER	22 (18)	43 (45)	15 (16)	15	9	4	33
28. GRAND BEACH	27 (19)	44 (35)	8 (7)	5	8	10	0
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	39 (31)	23 (20)	4 (8)	0	13	1	-
30. PAINT LAKE	35 (32)	43 (40)	6 (7)	7	3	4	10
31. RAINBOW BEACH	58 (60)	24 (3)	6 (18)	8	-	0	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	39 (25)	41 (40)	9 (2)	-	-	9	-
33. ST. MALO	51 (41)	31 (30)	2 (2)	1	-	2	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	38 (35)	36 (32)	8 (5)	3	-	6	19
35. SPRUCE WOODS	51 (46)	29 (33)	1 (1)	0	-	1	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	44 (13)	26 (7)	3 (1)	-	0	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	64 (84)	27 (12)	0 (0)	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	46 -	35 -	5 -	-	-	-	2
TOTAL	36 (25)	35 (24)	5 (3)	4	5	3	10

* Returns from these sites were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses in a category.

11. B) Rating of Services Provided by Staff At This Park/Campground (%) and "Needs Improvement" Results for 1986 by Visitor Category, (Weighted Results in Brackets):

vii) Natural Resource Officer/Park Ranger

	1986 Results						1986 "Needs Improvement" Results by Visitor Category (1)			
	Very Good		Satisfactory		Needs Improvement		DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	30	(51)	21	(16)	3	2	-	-	2	8
2. CHILD'S LAKE	35	(62)	37	(29)	0	(0)	-	0	0	0
3. WELLMAN	43	(32)	28	(40)	8	(5)	-	0	4	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0	(0)	67	(100)	0	(0)	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	29	(29)	24	(24)	0	(0)	-	-	0	-
6. ISKWASUM	47	(22)	9	(4)	6	(56)-(Note (2)	-	-	3	-
7. REED LAKE *	17	(4)	0	(0)	8	(4)	-	-	6	-
8. BERESFORD	56	(38)	23	(37)	0	(0)	-	0	0	-
9. BIRD LAKE	33	(31)	42	(44)	4	(4)	-	5	0	-
10. BLACK LAKE	29	(29)	25	(25)	2	(2)	-	-	2	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	15	(17)	46	(42)	0	(0)	-	-	0	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0	-	25	-	0	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	29	(37)	18	(20)	1	(0)	0	-	1	-
14. MAX LAKE *	40	(36)	27	(32)	7	(12)	-	11	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	32	(29)	24	(21)	3	(1)	0	2	2	0
16. BRERETON	27	(28)	29	(32)	2	(2)	0	2	0	4
17. CADDY LAKE	32	(34)	21	(22)	4	(3)	-	2	6	0
18. FALCON LAKE	27	(25)	28	(26)	1	(1)	0	2	1	2
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	36	(36)	33	(29)	5	(5)	0	7	0	4
20. OPAPISKAW *	34	(26)	28	(36)	0	(0)	-	-	0	0
21. OTTER FALLS	19	(15)	44	(43)	2	(2)	-	0	3	-
22. WEST HAWK	27	(27)	30	(27)	4	(4)	0	3	4	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	26	(26)	21	(15)	4	(3)	0	0	0	7
24. ASSESSIPPI	37	(36)	20	(17)	2	(3)	3	0	1	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	34	(24)	25	(42)	3	(2)	-	3	3	-
26. BIRDS HILL	33	(27)	12	(22)	1	(0)	0	-	1	-
27. CLEARWATER	28	(26)	32	(37)	8	(9)	5	9	0	13
28. GRAND BEACH	20	(13)	30	(20)	14	(6)	2	21	3	0
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	36	(34)	21	(29)	3	(4)	0	7	0	-
30. PAINT LAKE	31	(26)	40	(33)	4	(2)	0	8	4	3
31. RAINBOW BEACH	25	(20)	29	(57)	7	(1)	0	-	3	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	20	(5)	18	(5)	0	(0)	-	-	0	-
33. ST. MALO	22	(17)	19	(15)	1	(1)	1	-	0	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	25	(28)	21	(19)	1	(0)	0	-	0	6
35. SPRUCE WOODS	31	(29)	19	(15)	1	(1)	0	-	1	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	31	(80)	18	(6)	3	(1)	-	5	0	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	47	(79)	32	(13)	0	(0)	-	-	0	-
38. OTHER *	37	-	24	-	13	-	-	-	-	17
TOTAL	30	(25)	25	(24)	3	(3)	1	6	1	5

* Returns from these sites were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses in a category.
(2) Weighting formula makes this result unreliable.

12. A) In your opinion, is printed information about this Park readily available to you? (%) Comparison to 1984 results. (Weighted results in brackets.)

	1986	1984
Yes	62 (50)	(48)
No	21 (29)	(29)
No Opinion	17 (21)	(23)

Non-respondents = 212

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Visitor Services (% Not Readily Available) 86/87 Forecast . . . 25%

12. B) Rating of the quality of the existing Park brochures/maps about this Park (%) with comparison to previous years. (Weighted results in brackets.)

	1986	1984	1982 (wording)	
Very good	31 (24)	(28)		
			"Adequate"	50
Satisfactory	37 (33)	(30)		
Needs Improvement	14 (14)	(14)	"Not Adequate"	11
No Opinion	18 (29)	(28)		29

Non-respondents = 264

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Visitor Services (% Needs Improvement) 86/87 Forecast . . . 12%

12. A) Rating of Printed Information as "Not Readily Available" (%) With Comparison to 1984 Results (Weighted Results in Brackets) and 1986 Results by Visitor Category.

	1986		1984	1986 Results by Visitor Category (1)			
				DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	15	(11)	(9)	-	-	17	0
2. CHILD'S LAKE	13	(5)	-	-	8	8	27
3. WELLMAN	24	(26)	(23)	-	26	13	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	25	(0)	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	48	(50)	(79)	-	-	48	-
6. ISKWASUM	21	(21)	-	-	-	20	-
7. REED LAKE *	21	(12)	-	-	-	24	-
8. BERESFORD	25	(31)	-	-	30	18	-
9. BIRD LAKE	30	(39)	-	-	30	18	-
10. BLACK LAKE	16	(6)	(8)	-	-	17	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	43	(46)	-	-	-	46	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	40	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	15	(18)	(33)	19	-	15	-
14. MAX LAKE *	11	(20)	-	-	-	0	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	16	(12)	(19)	0	11	17	20
16. BRERETON	15	(16)	-	25	12	14	18
17. CADDY LAKE	26	(29)	-	20	14	35	17
18. FALCON LAKE	15	(16)	(17)	24	13	14	15
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	15	(13)	(13)	0	19	14	15
20. OPAPISKAW *	16	(17)	-	-	-	11	13
21. OTTER FALLS	22	(22)	-	-	11	25	-
22. WEST HAWK	15	(16)	(14)	18	14	15	7
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	25	(24)	-	20	29	18	30
24. ASSESSIPPI	28	(47)	(38)	59	-	15	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	20	(16)	(26)	-	26	15	-
26. BIRDS HILL	10	(29)	(28)	36	-	7	-
27. CLEARWATER	31	(35)	-	33	34	14	33
28. GRAND BEACH	23	(38)	(36)	42	16	17	0
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	18	(25)	(19)	15	39	9	-
30. PAINT LAKE	30	(34)	(35)	36	33	25	19
31. RAINBOW BEACH	3	(64)	-	62	-	34	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	50	(72)	-	-	-	48	-
33. ST. MALO	24	(31)	(37)	34	-	16	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	28	(26)	(31)	22	-	31	13
35. SPRUCE WOODS	9	(12)	(20)	14	-	8	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	37	(46)	(39)	-	42	25	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	18	(64)	-	-	-	14	-
38. OTHER *	41	-	(0)	-	-	-	44
TOTAL	21	(29)	(29)	30	20	17	20

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses for a visitor category.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Visitor Services
(% Not Readily Available) 86/87 Forecast . . . 25%

12. B) Rating of the Quality of Existing Park Brochures/Maps (%) With Comparison to "Needs Improvement" Results for 1984 and 1986 "Needs Improvement" Results by Visitor Category. (Weighted Results in Brackets):

	Very Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement		1984 Needs Improvement	1986 "Needs Improvement" Results by Visitor Category (1) DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER
1. BLUE LAKE	55	31	3	(1)	(9)	-	-	2	-
2. CHILD'S LAKE	34	49	11	(5)	-	-	8	5	34
3. WELLMAN	22	33	18	(19)	(30)	-	16	17	-
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	25	25	25	(0)	-	-	-	-	-
5. GYLES PARK *	7	15	48	(48)	(11)	-	-	48	-
6. ISKWASUM	16	35	32	(67)	-	-	-	28	-
7. REED LAKE *	32	26	16	(9)	-	-	-	18	-
8. BERESFORD	40	33	20	(26)	-	-	27	11	-
9. BIRD LAKE	22	43	13	(7)	-	-	14	9	-
10. BLACK LAKE	57	26	15	(5)	(7)	-	-	15	-
11. TULABI FALLS *	21	29	36	(38)	-	-	-	38	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	20	0	20	-	-	-	-	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	44	38	9	(6)	(11)	3	-	10	-
14. MAX LAKE *	44	44	11	(7)	-	-	-	20	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	26	45	17	(18)	(13)	18	18	18	13
16. BRERETON	25	44	9	(10)	-	13	9	7	11
17. CADDY LAKE	24	45	18	(14)	-	-	14	19	33
18. FALCON LAKE	29	42	10	(10)	(11)	12	8	8	6
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	32	44	8	(6)	(12)	0	6	8	10
20. OPAPISKAW *	40	29	9	(5)	-	-	-	6	7
21. OTTER FALLS	25	53	7	(7)	-	-	0	9	-
22. WEST HAWK	29	38	13	(10)	(8)	0	16	10	4
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	29	38	16	(16)	-	15	0	18	16
24. ASSESSIPPI	41	26	20	(29)	(12)	34	-	12	-
25. BAKERS NARROWS	24	37	8	(3)	(5)	-	12	3	-
26. BIRDS HILL	49	35	6	(12)	(11)	14	-	5	-
27. CLEARWATER	16	31	27	(30)	-	26	30	18	27
28. GRAND BEACH	19	34	17	(19)	(15)	19	17	10	10
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	42	34	14	(19)	(8)	9	30	9	-
30. PAINT LAKE	17	39	19	(20)	(18)	17	28	17	16
31. RAINBOW BEACH	11	43	16	(2)	-	0	-	21	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	8	28	29	(22)	-	-	-	29	-
33. ST. MALO	24	33	14	(14)	(23)	14	-	13	-
34. STEPHENFIELD	26	42	12	(14)	(11)	14	-	11	0
35. SPRUCE WOODS	50	34	11	(7)	(12)	-	-	12	-
36. WALLACE LAKE	22	18	31	(12)	(30)	-	21	31	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	64	27	9	(4)	-	-	-	10	-
38. OTHER *	14	33	29	-	(0)	-	-	-	32
TOTAL	31	37	14	(14)	(14)	14	15	13	14

* Returns from these sites were inadequate to be reliable.
(1) No response is shown where there are fewer than 10 responses in a category.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Visitor Services
(% Needs Improvement) 86/87 Forecast . . . 12%

12. D) Type of Information It Is Important To Have Available At This Park (%) by Visitor Category, Listed In Order Of Overall Preference.

Type of Pointed Information	Total	Day User	Cottager	Tr. Camper	S. Camper	Guest in Comm. Accommodation
Map of Area	74	70	69	80	66	82
What To See/Do At This Park	56	59	42	64	49	79
Park Rules/Regulations	52	49	51	54	53	57
What To See/Do In The Area Surrounding This Park	45	42	36	51	46	60
Nature Information	45	37	45	48	39	54
Historical Information	32	28	34	33	28	39
Brochures for Children	25	24	20	27	25	42
Other	3	2	3	3	2	3

12. D) Type of information it is important to have available at this Park (%)

	MAP OF AREA	NATURE INFORMATION	PARK RULES/ REGULATIONS	BROCHURES FOR CHILDREN	HISTORICAL INFORMATION	WHAT TO SEE/ DO AT THIS PARK	WHAT TO SEE/DO IN THE AREA SURROUNDING THIS PARK	OTHER
1. BLUE LAKE	90	66	57	29	43	71	53	11
2. CHILD'S LAKE	80	37	64	29	30	40	37	8
3. WELLMAN	68	42	51	28	25	42	26	8
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	50	25	25	50	0	0	0	0
5. GYLES PARK *	68	25	43	14	18	25	32	4
6. ISKWASUM	70	32	27	10	22	22	17	2
7. REED LAKE *	60	25	40	15	20	40	30	0
8. BERESFORD	79	60	31	14	57	45	40	2
9. BIRD LAKE	66	38	48	18	39	30	30	2
10. BLACK LAKE	89	61	49	26	48	51	49	7
11. TULABI FALLS *	79	64	50	14	50	64	57	0
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	80	20	20	20	20	40	40	0
13. ADAM LAKE	81	46	54	32	35	71	60	2
14. MAX LAKE *	76	57	38	0	43	57	38	0
15. BIG WHITESHELL	82	48	52	19	38	58	42	3
16. BRERETON	75	53	51	24	39	56	40	3
17. CADDY LAKE	79	48	53	21	35	50	46	3
18. FALCON LAKE	74	41	57	25	25	58	49	3
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	71	44	42	28	36	60	44	4
20. OPAPISKAW *	86	54	49	30	41	51	59	0
21. OTTER FALLS	79	46	59	27	33	54	49	2
22. WEST HAWK	76	49	57	22	39	60	47	0
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	68	50	52	28	28	57	45	3
24. ASSESSIPPI	72	36	53	15	23	53	43	1
25. BAKERS NARROWS	66	28	45	14	27	41	41	5
26. BIRDS HILL	82	49	61	32	33	73	48	2
27. CLEARWATER	67	43	46	20	33	45	43	3
28. GRAND BEACH	68	40	54	26	31	59	43	4
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	82	62	53	26	49	68	45	3
30. PAINT LAKE	76	38	59	37	26	58	44	2
31. RAINBOW BEACH	52	20	44	19	15	30	22	2
32. ST. AMBROISE	42	41	46	23	23	41	40	1
33. ST. MALO	74	31	56	31	2	56	49	1
34. STEPHENFIELD	68	25	51	15	15	50	43	1
35. SPRUCE WOODS	89	66	55	32	45	81	62	4
36. WALLACE LAKE	73	43	32	14	25	32	30	2
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	64	59	50	32	36	36	41	0
38. OTHER *	52	28	48	13	15	18	33	3
TOTAL	74	45	52	25	32	56	45	3

* Returns for these sites were inadequate to be reliable.

13. Additional Facilities You Would Like To See Located In This Park/Campground. (%), (Weighted Results in Brackets.) Listed in Order of Weighted Preference in 1986, with Comparison to 1984 (Weighted) and 1982 Results.

	1986		1984	1982	1986 Results by Visitor Category				
					DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER	GUESTS IN COMM. ACCOM.
1. Shower Facilities	34	(26)	(30)	30	26	17	43	60	15
2. Bicycle Trails	19	(21)	-	-	20	22	17	22	19
3. More Electrical Campsites	22	(16)	(18)	19	14	3	30	50	5
4. Store	16	(14)	(12)	16	13	16	19	5	7
5. Nature/Hiking Trails	13	(13)	(11)	8	12	14	13	15	13
6. Picnic Shelters	8	(12)	(5)	2	15	7	6	7	8
7. Childrens Playground	14	(12)	(14)	10	15	11	14	21	17
8. Laundromat	16	(11)	(10)	14	10	15	18	22	14
9. Restaurant	8	(10)	(12)	10	9	14	5	4	10
10. Cabin Rentals	7	[illegible]	(17)	9	15	3	7	2	13
11. Lodge/Motel/Hotel	6	(9)	(11)	5	8	11	2	3	13
12. Boat Rentals	7	(9)	(14)	10	12	2	8	2	6
13. Tennis Courts	7	(9)	(11)	11	11	7	7	6	8
14. Roadside Park Information	6	(9)	(14)	-	11	7	4	4	14
15. Concession Stand	7	(9)	(11)	8	10	8	7	5	5
16. None of These	7	(8)	(8)	13	8	12	5	2	10
17. Washrooms	8	(8)	(11)	6	7	5	9	15	6
18. Riding Stable/Trails	7	(8)	(14)	12	11	5	7	5	14
19. Canoe Routes	7	(7)	-	-	10	9	5	5	6
20. Other	7	(6)	(10)	8	4	8	6	10	6
21. Marina	4	(6)	(4)	4	5	7	3	7	3
22. All Terrain Vehicle Trails	5	(6)	-	-	6	8	3	6	6
23. Service Station	6	(6)	(8)	7	6	5	6	3	1
24. Museum/Visitor Centre	6	(6)	-	-	7	7	5	4	9
25. Cross Country Ski Trails	5	(6)	-	-	6	9	3	4	4
26. Change Rooms	4	(5)	(5)	2	5	4	3	7	2
27. Trailer Dump Station	5	(3)	-	-	3	2	5	12	2
28. Snowmobile Trails	4	(3)	-	-	4	7	1	3	6

13. Additional Facilities You Would Like to See Located in This Park/Campground (%) (Weighted Results in Brackets): Facilities Listed In Order of Weighted Overall Preference.

	SHOWER FACILITIES		BICYCLE TRAILS		MORE ELECTRICAL CAMPSITES		STORE		NATURE/HIKING TRAILS		PICNIC SHELTERS	
1. BLUE LAKE	77	(77)	16	(16)	16	(16)	6	(6)	13	(12)	9	(9)
2. CHILD'S LAKE	63	(62)	15	(15)	34	(35)	42	(44)	19	(20)	4	(4)
3. WELLMAN	77	(76)	11	(12)	15	(14)	6	(6)	19	(18)	8	(8)
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	25	(50)	0	(0)	25	(50)	25	(50)	0	(0)	0	(0)
5. GYLES PARK *	71	(70)	18	(19)	25	(22)	18	(19)	29	(30)	7	(7)
6. ISKWASUM	41	(41)	2	(2)	22	(22)	15	(15)	17	(17)	5	(5)
7. REED LAKE *	30	(28)	0	(0)	45	(44)	5	(6)	15	(11)	5	(0)
8. BERESFORD	17	(17)	3	(3)	3	(3)	24	(24)	33	(33)	5	(5)
9. BIRD LAKE	16	(16)	14	(14)	9	(9)	4	(4)	29	(29)	7	(7)
10. BLACK LAKE	43	(43)	5	(5)	3	(3)	21	(21)	26	(26)	8	(8)
11. TULABI FALLS *	50	(46)	7	(8)	0	(0)	14	(15)	21	(23)	14	(15)
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	40	-	0	-	20	-	0	-	0	-	0	-
13. ADAM LAKE	79	(81)	17	(17)	35	(35)	35	(35)	5	(5)	5	(5)
14. MAX LAKE *	43	(37)	5	(5)	5	(5)	10	(5)	10	(11)	0	(0)
15. BIG WHITESHELL	54	(54)	21	(22)	29	(29)	5	(5)	18	(18)	6	(4)
16. BRERETON	29	(31)	23	(22)	8	(8)	5	(6)	12	(12)	2	(2)
17. CADDY LAKE	40	(43)	10	(11)	13	(15)	2	(1)	13	(13)	8	(4)
18. FALCON LAKE	18	(19)	24	(24)	21	(22)	2	(2)	7	(7)	4	(4)
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	25	(26)	22	(22)	22	(23)	0	(0)	13	(12)	12	(11)
20. OPAPISKAW *	81	(81)	16	(17)	30	(31)	16	(17)	22	(22)	14	(14)
21. OTTER FALLS	55	(57)	10	(10)	19	(21)	0	(0)	14	(16)	5	(5)
22. WEST HAWK	16	(17)	25	(24)	9	(10)	1	(1)	8	(8)	3	(3)
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	36	(41)	15	(16)	28	(51)	4	(3)	15	(16)	4	(3)
24. ASSESSIPPI	46	(47)	24	(24)	33	(33)	19	(19)	7	(6)	5	(5)
25. BAKERS NARROWS	12	(12)	24	(24)	23	(24)	9	(9)	20	(19)	6	(6)
26. BIRDS HILL	16	(17)	9	(8)	25	(25)	3	(3)	8	(7)	6	(6)
27. CLEARWATER	51	(52)	27	(27)	22	(22)	16	(16)	21	(22)	20	(20)
28. GRAND BEACH	38	(38)	22	(22)	9	(9)	45	(46)	10	(10)	16	(16)
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	8	(8)	21	(21)	17	(18)	26	(28)	18	(19)	11	(11)
30. PAINT LAKE	10	(10)	17	(17)	34	(35)	4	(4)	7	(7)	12	(13)
31. RAINBOW BEACH	19	(20)	15	(14)	46	(47)	33	(33)	11	(12)	15	(16)
32. ST. AMBROISE	60	(60)	15	(15)	24	(24)	20	(20)	15	(15)	12	(12)
33. ST. MALO	38	(38)	25	(26)	38	(39)	23	(23)	26	(26)	10	(9)
34. STEPHENFIELD	65	(66)	22	(22)	39	(39)	16	(16)	18	(18)	8	(7)
35. SPRUCE WOODS	16	(16)	21	(21)	25	(25)	41	(41)	4	(4)	6	(6)
36. WALLACE LAKE	30	(30)	7	(7)	9	(9)	7	(7)	21	(21)	2	(2)
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	77	(77)	23	(23)	23	(23)	27	(27)	18	(18)	5	(5)
38. OTHER *	45	-	18	-	32	-	7	-	28	-	5	-
TOTAL	34	(26)	19	(21)	22	(16)	16	(15)	13	(13)	8	(12)

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.

13. Continued

	CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND		LAUNDROMAT		RESTAURANT		CABIN RENTALS		LODGE/MOTEL/HOTEL		BOAT RENTALS		TENNIS COURTS	
1. BLUE LAKE	3	(3)	33	(33)	7	(7)	3	(3)	1	(1)	3	(3)	10	(10)
2. CHILD'S LAKE	1	(1)	23	(23)	12	(13)	7	(7)	7	(7)	5	(6)	11	(11)
3. WELLMAN	13	(12)	26	(24)	11	(12)	2	(2)	6	(6)	6	(6)	23	(22)
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)
5. GYLES PARK *	18	(19)	14	(15)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	11	(11)	7	(7)
6. ISKWASUM	0	(0)	5	(5)	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(2)	7	(7)	0	(0)
7. REED LAKE *	10	(11)	15	(11)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	5	(6)	0	(0)
8. BERESFORD	16	(16)	3	(3)	2	(2)	10	(10)	3	(3)	2	(2)	0	(0)
9. BIRD LAKE	13	(13)	4	(4)	11	(11)	4	(4)	2	(2)	5	(5)	7	(7)
10. BLACK LAKE	23	(23)	2	(2)	3	(3)	3	(3)	0	(0)	2	(2)	0	(0)
11. TULABI FALLS *	7	(8)	7	(8)	7	(8)	7	(8)	0	(0)	7	(8)	0	(0)
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	20	-	0	(0)	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	20	-
13. ADAM LAKE	7	(8)	24	(25)	3	(3)	3	(3)	0	(0)	10	(10)	8	(8)
14. MAX LAKE *	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	19	(16)	0	(0)
15. BIG WHITESHELL	18	(17)	27	(26)	14	(12)	1	(1)	5	(4)	3	(3)	5	(3)
16. BRERETON	17	(15)	4	(4)	13	(13)	2	(2)	4	(5)	1	(2)	3	(4)
17. CADDY LAKE	16	(17)	15	(15)	11	(10)	1	(0)	1	(0)	0	(0)	10	(9)
18. FALCON LAKE	9	(9)	3	(3)	6	(6)	9	(8)	12	(11)	2	(2)	1	(1)
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	23	(23)	24	(23)	1	(1)	3	(2)	1	(1)	6	(6)	1	(1)
20. OPAPISKAW *	35	(36)	14	(14)	3	(3)	0	(0)	0	(0)	3	(3)	8	(8)
21. OTTER FALLS	5	(3)	19	(19)	2	(0)	2	(2)	2	(0)	2	(2)	11	(10)
22. WEST HAWK	9	(9)	7	(8)	4	(5)	3	(2)	3	(2)	1	(0)	5	(5)
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	17	(16)	23	(25)	7	(5)	5	(3)	7	(10)	2	(2)	8	(5)
24. ASSESSIPPI	5	(4)	21	(21)	6	(5)	23	(23)	8	(6)	3	(3)	11	(12)
25. BAKERS NARROWS	9	(9)	32	(31)	7	(7)	2	(2)	5	(5)	13	(13)	12	(12)
26. BIRDS HILL	13	(13)	4	(4)	8	(8)	8	(7)	5	(5)	8	(8)	16	(16)
27. CLEARWATER	13	(12)	21	(21)	9	(8)	7	(6)	4	(3)	7	(7)	8	(8)
28. GRAND BEACH	14	(13)	24	(24)	30	(30)	12	(12)	26	(25)	13	(13)	4	(4)
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	15	(16)	23	(25)	6	(7)	5	(4)	3	(2)	14	(14)	5	(6)
30. PAINT LAKE	10	(11)	37	(37)	1	(1)	14	(14)	7	(7)	4	(4)	13	(14)
31. RAINBOW BEACH	22	(21)	13	(10)	6	(6)	2	(2)	2	(2)	7	(8)	4	(4)
32. ST. AMBROISE	11	(11)	11	(11)	0	(0)	9	(9)	0	(0)	6	(6)	1	(1)
33. ST. MALO	34	(34)	32	(32)	10	(9)	18	(17)	5	(4)	14	(14)	24	(24)
34. STEPHENFIELD	39	(39)	15	(16)	4	(4)	11	(11)	3	(3)	16	(16)	14	(14)
35. SPRUCE WOODS	9	(9)	2	(2)	9	(9)	7	(7)	3	(3)	7	(7)	9	(9)
36. WALLACE LAKE	14	(14)	4	(4)	2	(2)	2	(2)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0	(0)	9	(9)	0	(0)	9	(9)	0	(0)	9	(9)	14	(14)
38. OTHER *	17	-	15	-	0	-	0	-	2	-	3	-	8	-
TOTAL	14	(12)	16	(12)	8	(10)	7	(10)	6	(9)	7	(9)	7	(9)

13. Continued

	ROADSIDE PARK INFORMATION CENTRE		CONCESSION STAND		NONE OF THESE		WASHROOMS		RIDING STABLES/TRAILS		CANOE ROUTES		OTHER		MARINA	
1. BLUE LAKE	1	(1)	6	(6)	4	(4)	20	(20)	4	(4)	10	(10)	4	(4)	4	(4)
2. CHILD'S LAKE	8	(8)	15	(15)	5	(6)	8	(8)	4	(4)	10	(10)	5	(6)	5	(6)
3. WELLMAN	11	(12)	13	(14)	2	(2)	21	(22)	8	(8)	11	(10)	8	(8)	4	(4)
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	25	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)
5. GYLES PARK *	11	(11)	7	(7)	4	(4)	25	(26)	11	(11)	0	(0)	11	(11)	4	(4)
6. ISKWASUM	5	(5)	3	(2)	7	(7)	2	(2)	0	(0)	2	(2)	5	(5)	2	(2)
7. REED LAKE *	10	(11)	5	(0)	10	(11)	25	(17)	0	(0)	0	(0)	5	(6)	0	(0)
8. BERESFORD	2	(2)	0	(0)	12	(12)	3	(3)	2	(2)	16	(16)	10	(10)	0	(0)
9. BIRD LAKE	9	(9)	0	(0)	21	(21)	9	(9)	7	(7)	18	(18)	7	(7)	4	(4)
10. BLACK LAKE	5	(5)	2	(2)	18	(18)	7	(7)	0	(0)	8	(8)	7	(7)	2	(2)
11. TULABI FALLS *	7	(8)	7	(8)	14	(15)	14	(15)	7	(8)	0	(0)	7	(8)	0	(0)
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	20	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	20	-	0	-
13. ADAM LAKE	3	(3)	12	(11)	4	(3)	3	(3)	9	(9)	4	(4)	3	(3)	2	(2)
14. MAX LAKE *	5	(5)	0	(0)	5	(5)	10	(5)	5	(0)	5	(5)	14	(16)	0	(0)
15. BIG WHITESHELL	10	(8)	8	(7)	3	(3)	10	(8)	7	(7)	7	(6)	8	(7)	6	(6)
16. BRERETON	9	(9)	4	(4)	13	(14)	3	(3)	10	(10)	8	(9)	7	(7)	9	(10)
17. CADDY LAKE	6	(5)	8	(6)	12	(9)	24	(26)	5	(4)	6	(5)	3	(3)	4	(4)
18. FALCON LAKE	6	(5)	1	(1)	9	(9)	4	(4)	1	(1)	4	(4)	7	(7)	0	(0)
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	4	(3)	1	(1)	11	(11)	4	(5)	14	(14)	6	(6)	4	(5)	9	(9)
20. OPAPISKAW *	8	(8)	3	(3)	0	(0)	5	(6)	5	(6)	5	(6)	0	(0)	3	(2)
21. OTTER FALLS	14	(14)	3	(3)	10	(10)	2	(2)	10	(10)	3	(3)	3	(3)	5	(3)
22. WEST HAWK	4	(3)	1	(1)	14	(13)	7	(7)	6	(5)	7	(6)	6	(6)	2	(2)
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	7	(3)	4	(2)	4	(3)	11	(11)	6	(2)	11	(8)	8	(14)	3	(3)
24. ASSESSIPPI	2	(2)	4	(4)	3	(3)	4	(4)	16	(17)	8	(9)	9	(10)	10	(10)
25. BAKERS NARROWS	4	(4)	5	(5)	6	(6)	5	(5)	5	(6)	10	(10)	8	(7)	13	(13)
26. BIRDS HILL	3	(3)	2	(2)	9	(10)	4	(4)	1	(1)	4	(4)	7	(7)	2	(2)
27. CLEARWATER	14	(14)	10	(11)	5	(4)	17	(18)	9	(8)	9	(9)	6	(6)	8	(8)
28. GRAND BEACH	13	(12)	19	(19)	4	(3)	7	(7)	6	(6)	6	(6)	9	(9)	10	(10)
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	4	(3)	11	(11)	5	(5)	5	(5)	15	(13)	7	(7)	6	(6)	4	(4)
30. PAINT LAKE	8	(7)	6	(6)	5	(5)	8	(8)	14	(14)	15	(16)	10	(11)	2	(2)
31. RAINBOW BEACH	6	(6)	31	(31)	4	(4)	11	(12)	4	(4)	2	(2)	11	(12)	19	(20)
32. ST. AMBROISE	2	(2)	2	(2)	6	(6)	22	(22)	9	(9)	1	(1)	5	(5)	2	(2)
33. ST. MALO	4	(3)	3	(3)	3	(3)	12	(13)	19	(20)	9	(8)	2	(2)	5	(5)
34. STEPHENFIELD	3	(2)	12	(12)	6	(6)	2	(2)	13	(13)	6	(6)	5	(5)	3	(3)
35. SPRUCE WOODS	3	(3)	20	(20)	6	(6)	9	(9)	1	(1)	5	(5)	6	(6)	1	(1)
36. WALLACE LAKE	2	(2)	4	(4)	9	(9)	7	(7)	2	(2)	7	(7)	7	(7)	2	(2)
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0	(0)	9	(9)	5	(5)	14	(14)	0	(0)	0	(0)	9	(9)	5	(5)
38. OTHER *	7	-	10	-	7	-	18	-	3	-	7	-	12	-	3	-
TOTAL	6	(9)	7	(9)	7	(8)	8	(8)	7	(8)	7	(7)	7	(6)	4	(6)

13. Continued

	ALL TERRAIN VEHICLE TRAILS		SERVICE STATIONS		MUSEUM/ VISITOR CENTRE		CROSS COUNTRY SKI TRAILS		CHANGE ROOMS		TRAILER DUMP STATION		SNOWMOBILE TRAILS	
1. BLUE LAKE	3	(3)	7	(7)	16	(16)	14	(14)	6	(6)	3	(3)	3	(3)
2. CHILD'S LAKE	8	(8)	11	(11)	5	(6)	11	(11)	1	(1)	4	(4)	8	(8)
3. WELLMAN	6	(6)	8	(8)	2	(2)	11	(12)	2	(2)	19	(18)	9	(10)
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)
5. GYLES PARK *	18	(19)	7	(7)	4	(4)	0	(0)	29	(30)	50	(48)	4	(4)
6. ISKWASUM	0	(0)	5	(5)	0	(0)	0	(0)	2	(2)	32	(32)	0	(0)
7. REED LAKE *	0	(0)	10	(11)	5	(0)	0	(0)	5	(0)	30	(28)	0	(0)
8. BERESFORD	10	(10)	12	(12)	0	(0)	16	(16)	2	(2)	2	(2)	12	(12)
9. BIRD LAKE	13	(13)	9	(9)	9	(9)	18	(18)	5	(5)	2	(2)	13	(13)
10. BLACK LAKE	2	(2)	7	(7)	5	(5)	3	(3)	3	(3)	10	(10)	0	(0)
11. TULABI FALLS *	21	(15)	0	(0)	0	(0)	7	(8)	7	(8)	0	(0)	7	(0)
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	0	-	20	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	20	-	0	-
13. ADAM LAKE	2	(2)	5	(5)	5	(6)	2	(2)	4	(4)	1	(1)	3	(3)
14. MAX LAKE *	5	(5)	0	(0)	5	(5)	5	(5)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)
15. BIG WHITESHELL	6	(4)	4	(3)	7	(6)	6	(6)	7	(5)	14	(14)	5	(3)
16. BRERETON	6	(6)	6	(6)	6	(7)	7	(7)	2	(2)	1	(1)	5	(5)
17. CADDY LAKE	1	(0)	3	(3)	3	(3)	6	(5)	7	(7)	3	(3)	1	(0)
18. FALCON LAKE	3	(3)	1	(1)	6	(6)	2	(2)	1	(1)	2	(2)	1	(1)
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	6	(7)	1	(1)	0	(0)	4	(5)	10	(11)	4	(4)	4	(4)
20. OPAPISKAW *	0	(0)	0	(0)	5	(6)	8	(8)	11	(8)	16	(17)	0	(0)
21. OTTER FALLS	3	(3)	3	(3)	2	(2)	0	(0)	5	(5)	2	(2)	2	(2)
22. WEST HAWK	4	(4)	2	(2)	4	(4)	5	(5)	1	(1)	1	(1)	1	(1)
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	5	(6)	3	(3)	3	(3)	7	(2)	6	(6)	11	(19)	2	(2)
24. ASSESSIPPI	7	(7)	18	(18)	8	(8)	7	(7)	3	(3)	0	(0)	9	(9)
25. BAKERS NARROWS	6	(6)	4	(4)	7	(7)	5	(5)	5	(6)	1	(1)	7	(7)
26. BIRDS HILL	2	(1)	9	(9)	11	(11)	3	(3)	2	(2)	1	(1)	1	(1)
27. CLEARWATER	13	(13)	12	(12)	8	(8)	5	(6)	13	(13)	20	(20)	6	(6)
28. GRAND BEACH	4	(4)	6	(6)	9	(8)	2	(2)	4	(3)	1	(1)	3	(3)
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	6	(6)	12	(13)	7	(6)	11	(11)	4	(4)	1	(1)	6	(6)
30. PAINT LAKE	7	(7)	8	(8)	11	(10)	1	(1)	4	(4)	2	(1)	1	(1)
31. RAINBOW BEACH	9	(10)	6	(6)	4	(4)	6	(6)	9	(10)	11	(12)	2	(2)
32. ST. AMBROISE	9	(9)	2	(2)	4	(4)	1	(1)	1	(1)	15	(15)	1	(1)
33. ST. MALO	5	(5)	1	(1)	2	(2)	8	(7)	7	(6)	1	(1)	3	(2)
34. STEPHENFIELD	6	(6)	3	(3)	5	(5)	7	(7)	1	(1)	3	(3)	6	(6)
35. SPRUCE WOODS	5	(5)	7	(7)	3	(3)	1	(1)	2	(2)	0	(0)	2	(2)
36. WALLACE LAKE	7	(7)	5	(5)	0	(0)	4	(4)	5	(5)	4	(4)	4	(4)
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	0	(0)	5	(5)	0	(0)	14	(14)	5	(5)	0	(0)	5	(5)
38. OTHER *	8	-	3	-	7	-	2	-	7	-	17	-	3	-
TOTAL	5	(6)	6	(6)	6	(6)	5	(6)	4	(5)	5	(3)	4	(3)

14. If it is necessary to reduce the cost of operating Provincial Parks, which of the following options would you prefer? (%) Listed in order of overall weighted preference. (Weighted results in brackets.)

	First Choice		Second Choice		Total of First & Second Choice	
1. Closing smaller, less frequently used waysides, campgrounds and parks	28	(28)	14	(15)	42	(43)
2. Eliminating the provision of free firewood	14	(18)	14	(18)	28	(36)
3. Later opening of campgrounds (eg. June 15)	21	(22)	10	(13)	31	(35)
4. Earlier closing of campgrounds (eg. September 2)	11	(12)	24	(23)	35	(35)
5. Reducing the frequency of grass cutting	12	(10)	16	(14)	28	(24)
6. Reducing the frequency of beach grooming	3	(3)	11	(7)	14	(10)
7. Other	7	(5)	5	(5)	12	(10)
8. Reducing the frequency of garbage collection	4	(3)	6	(4)	10	(7)
9. Reducing the frequency of washroom cleaning	0	(0)	1	(1)	1	(1)

Note: Number of non-respondents to "First Choice" = 940

Number of non-respondents to "Second Choice" = 1555

15. Considering the range of services/facilities available at this Park/Campground, are the following 1985 fees reasonable? (%). (Weighted results in brackets.)

	Current Fee	Too High	Fair	Too Low	No Opinion	No. of Non-Respondents
Daily Entrance Fee	$2.00	5 (6)	77 (78)	5 (5)	13 (11)	922
Seasonal Entrance Fee	$10.00	3 (4)	74 (72)	9 (9)	14 (15)	983
Unserviced Campsite Fee	$6.00	12 (13)	68 (63)	3 (2)	17 (22)	844
Electrical Campsite Fee	$7.00	3 (4)	60 (57)	14 (12)	24 (27)	1100
Fully Serviced Campsite Fee	$9.00	3 (4)	51 (50)	15 (13)	31 (34)	1294
Seasonal Campsite - Unserviced	$180.00	9 (9)	40 (37)	6 (5)	45 (49)	1302
Seasonal Campsite - Electrical	$250.00	6 (7)	38 (36)	8 (7)	48 (50)	1354
Seasonal Campsite - Fully Serviced	$340.00	7 (8)	36 (33)	8 (8)	49 (51)	1386

16. A) Please estimate how many days, in total you spent at Manitoba's Provincial Parks last year. (%) (Weighted results in brackets.)

	All Visitors	Day Users	Cottager	Transient Camper	Seasonal Camper	Guest in Com. Accom.	Other
None	12 (13)	14	1	19	1	16	17
1 - 5	15 (22)	33	1	18	4	26	24
6 - 10	13 (15)	18	2	18	3	22	21
11 - 15	10 (10)	10	4	14	7	16	10
16 - 25	11 (11)	10	9	17	16	10	4
26 - 35	9 (8)	5	13	7	17	2	7
Over 35	28 (21)	8	69	7	52	6	16

Non-respondents = 104

16. B) Of the days referred to in Part A) of this question, how many were spent during the winter months of January, February, March and December? (Weighted results in brackets.)

	All Visitors		Day Users	Cottager	Transient Camper	Seasonal Camper	Guest in Com. Accom.	Other
None	72	(73)	75	50	84	74	83	61
1 - 5	16	(16)	17	22	11	17	10	26
6 - 10	5	(5)	5	10	3	6	2	5
11 - 15	2	(2)	1	5	1	1	1	5
16 - 25	2	(2)	1	5	0	1	0	0
26 - 35	1	(1)	1	3	0	0	2	0
Over 35	2	(1)	0	5	1	1	3	3

Non-respondents = 330

16. A) B) Average number of days spent at Provincial Parks, by Visitor Category (Weighted results in brackets.)*

	Last Year		Last Winter	
All Visitors	22.2	(18.4)	2.9	(2.1)
Day Users	11.3		1.5	
Cottagers	41.0		6.6	
Transient Campers	12.8		1.1	
Seasonal Campers	35.7		1.8	
Guest in Commercial Accommodation	10.4		2.7	
Other	14.6		3.4	

* Calculated by assuming the mid-point of each response category as the average stay for that category and by assuming 50 days for those in the "over 35" response category.

17. A) How would you rate your overall experience at this Park/Campground this visit? (%) Comparison to previous years results and 1986 results by Visitor Category. (Weighted results in brackets.)

				1986 Results by Visitor Category					
	1986	1984	1982	Day Users	Cottager	Transient Camper	Seasonal Camper	Guest in Com. Accom.	Other
Very Enjoyable	77.2 (77.2)	(65)	69	77	83	76	71	85	75
Somewhat Enjoyable	21.2 (21.5)	(33)	28	22	16	22	28	15	24
Not Enjoyable	1.6 (1.3)	(2)	2	1	1	2	1	0	1
No Opinion	(Not included in 1986)	(1)	1						

Non-respondents = 159

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Overall Parks Branch
(% Not Enjoyable)

17. A) Rating of Overall Experience At This Park/Campground This Visit (%) With Comparison To 1984 Not Enjoyable Rating: (Weighted results in Brackets:)

	1986 Results						1984
	Very Enjoyable		Somewhat Enjoyable		Not Enjoyable		Not Enjoyable
1. BLUE LAKE	74	(80)	24	(19)	1	(1)	(1)
2. CHILD'S LAKE	75	(75)	23	(24)	1	(0)	-
3. WELLMAN	69	(64)	31	(36)	0	(0)	(0)
4. OTHER DUCK MTN *	100	(100)	0	(0)	0	(0)	-
5. GYLES PARK *	68	(67)	32	(33)	0	(0)	(0)
6. ISKWASUM	88	(44)	10	(55)	2	(1)	-
7. REED LAKE *	65	(33)	30	(64)	5	(3)	-
8. BERESFORD	78	(85)	19	(14)	3	(1)	-
9. BIRD LAKE	80	(90)	20	(11)	0	(0)	-
10. BLACK LAKE	84	(94)	11	(4)	5	(2)	(0)
11. TULABI FALLS *	71	(69)	21	(23)	7	(8)	-
12. OTHER NOPIMING *	40	-	60	-	0	-	-
13. ADAM LAKE	79	(85)	21	(15)	0	(0)	(0)
14. MAX LAKE *	81	(68)	19	(32)	0	(0)	-
15. BIG WHITESHELL	79	(74)	19	(23)	3	(4)	(2)
16. BRERETON	84	(86)	16	(14)	0	(0)	-
17. CADDY LAKE	76	(89)	21	(9)	3	(2)	-
18. FALCON LAKE	80	(81)	18	(18)	2	(2)	(1)
19. NUTIMIK LAKE	77	(75)	22	(24)	1	(1)	(2)
20. OPAPISKAW *	73	(73)	24	(19)	3	(8)	-
21. OTTER FALLS	65	(63)	32	(33)	3	(4)	-
22. WEST HAWK	86	(85)	12	(13)	2	(2)	(1)
23. OTHER WHITESHELL	79	(85)	19	(13)	2	(2)	-
24. ASSESSIPPI	83	(81)	16	(19)	2	(0)	(3)
25. BAKERS NARROWS	75	(56)	24	(44)	1	(0)	(0)
26. BIRDS HILL	77	(83)	21	(16)	2	(1)	(1)
27. CLEARWATER	67	(68)	33	(32)	1	(1)	(2)
28. GRAND BEACH	73	(76)	25	(23)	2	(2)	-
29. HECLA/GRINDSTONE	82	(76)	16	(20)	2	(4)	(2)
30. PAINT LAKE	69	(73)	29	(25)	2	(2)	(0)
31. RAINBOW BEACH	76	(75)	24	(25)	0	(0)	-
32. ST. AMBROISE	63	(75)	34	(24)	2	(1)	-
33. ST. MALO	74	(74)	24	(26)	2	(1)	(4)
34. STEPHENFIELD	73	(73)	27	(27)	0	(0)	(4)
35. SPRUCE WOODS	83	(91)	16	(9)	1	(0)	(0)
36. WALLACE LAKE	87	(94)	13	(6)	0	(0)	-
37. WILLIAM LAKE *	77	(90)	23	(10)	0	(0)	(3)
38. OTHER *	58	-	42	-	0	-	(0)
TOTAL	77.2	(77.2)	21.2	(21.5)	1.6	(1.3)	(2)

* Returns for these locations were inadequate to be reliable.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR, Overall Parks Branch
(% Not Enjoyable)

18. A) Where do you live? (%) (Weighted Results in Brackets:)

1.	Winnipeg	52	(52)
2.	Manitoba Location Other than Winnipeg	36	(35)
3.	Saskatchewan	3	(3)
4.	Ontario	2	(2)
5.	Province other than Manitoba, Saskatchewan or Ontario	2	(3)
6.	Minnesota	1	(1)
7.	North Dakota	1	(1)
8.	Other Location Outside of Canada	3	(4)

Non-respondents = 60

18. B) How Far Is It From Your Home To This Park/Campground? (%) Results By Visitor Category. (%) (Weighted Results in Brackets:)

	ALL VISITORS		DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER	GUESTS IN COM. ACCOM.	OTHER
Less Than 40 Km.	14	(20)	27	9	13	18	1	17
40 - 80 Km.	11	(14)	19	5	12	11	2	3
81 - 160 Km.	38	(37)	28	55	28	52	44	28
161 - 320 Km.	22	(14)	11	22	24	17	33	22
Over 320 Km.	14	(14)	12	6	22	0	17	15
Average Distance Travelled*	183 Km.	(162 Km.)	137 Km.	159 Km.	213 Km.	116 Km.	226 Km.	196 Km.

Non-respondents = 106

* Assumes mid-points for each distance range and 500 Km. for those travelling over 320 Km.

19. What Is Your Age Category? (%) Results By Visitor Category. (Weighted Results in Brackets:)

	ALL VISITORS		DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER	GUESTS IN COM. ACCOM.	OTHER
Under 15	0	(0)	1	0	0	0	1	1
15 - 19	2	(5)	8	0	1	1	1	3
20 - 24	6	(10)	12	2	7	2	4	11
25 - 34	26	(28)	33	10	31	26	36	26
35 - 44	26	(24)	23	19	28	33	32	24
45 - 54	16	(13)	9	24	12	20	15	7
55 - 64	14	(12)	8	24	11	12	7	11
Over 64	10	(8)	5	20	7	5	2	13
Average Age (Years)*	42.9 Yrs.	(40.0) Yrs.	36.0 Yrs.	51.6 Yrs.	40.5 Yrs.	42.3 Yrs.	38.2 Yrs.	41.0 Yrs.

Non-respondents = 74

* Assumes mid-point of each category and age 12 for those under 15 and age 70 for those over age 64.

20. What Was Your Total Household Income In 1985 (Before Taxes)? (%) Results By Visitor Category. (Weighted Results In Brackets:)

	ALL VISITORS		DAY USER	COTTAGER	TR. CAMPER	S. CAMPER	GUESTS IN COM. ACCOM.	OTHER
Under $10,000	5	(5)	7	3	4	2	3	7
$10,000 - $19,999	13	(11)	10	9	12	8	6	13
$20,000 - $29,999	23	(23)	20	15	21	18	17	13
$30,000 - $39,999	22	(22)	16	17	19	20	22	19
$40,000 - $49,999	16	(16)	11	14	13	16	17	15
$50,000 - $59,999	10	(11)	7	10	7	9	11	7
$60,000 - $69,999	5	(6)	5	6	3	5	6	1
$70,000 and over	6	(6)	5	10	4	2	5	7
Average Income*	$36,400	$37,300	$35,200	$41,500	$34,600	$37,300	$39,800	$35,400

Non-respondents - 866

* Assumes mid-points for each income category and $80,000 average income for those in the over $70,000 category.

21. How Many People Are There In Your Party? (%)

		ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	FIVE	SIX	OVER SIX
A) Adults	i) Age 18 to 24	9	9	2	1	0	1	1
	ii) Age 25 to 44	13	42	2	3	1	1	1
	iii) Age 45 to 64	10	22	1	1	0	0	0
	iv) Age 65 and over	6	7	0	0	0	0	0
B) Children	i) Age 4 and under	14	6	1	0	0	0	0
	ii) Age 5 to 10	16	10	2	1	0	0	0
	iii) Age 11 to 14	14	6	1	0	0	0	0
	iv) Age 15 to 17	9	3	0	0	0	0	0

SECTION III
WRITTEN COMMENTS SUMMARY

SECTION III WRITTEN COMMENTS

Comments written in by respondents have been summarized for each Park/Campground visited, and are presented in order of question number, with all the comments for each site shown together.

In order to keep to a manageable number the number of comments listed, comments are listed only where there are at least 5 respondents who have written in the same (or a very similar) comment. The number of respondents making the comment is indicated to the right of each comment.

1. **BLUE LAKE**

QUESTION		COMMENT	
VI.	ROADS B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	9
	SHOWERS:	REQUIRED	19
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	ELECTRICAL SITES REQUIRED	6
		DRIER WOOD NEEDED	5

2. **CHILDS LAKE**

VI.	NATURE/HIKING TRAILS:	BETTER TRAILS NEEDED	6
	ROADS A) CAMP./COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	4
	SHOWERS:	NEEDED	9
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	BETTER FIREWOOD SUPPLY NEEDED	7

3. **WELLMAN LAKE**

VI.	ROADS A) CAMP./COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	12
	SHOWERS:	REQUIRED	16
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	BETTER FIREWOOD NEEDED	5

5. **GYLES PARK**

VI.	SHOWERS:	REQUIRED	6
XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NONE AVAILABLE	10
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	ELECTRICAL SITES NEEDED	5

6. **ISKWASUM LANDING**

XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	INFORMATION UNAVAILABLE	6

8. BERESFORD LAKE

QUESTION		COMMENT	
VI.	NATURE/HIKING TRAILS:	TRAILS REQUIRED	5
	ROADS A) CAMP./COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	14
	B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	16
XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NOT ENOUGH DETAIL	6
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	REPLANT TREES IN BURNT AREAS	5
		FRIENDLY STAFF	5

9. BIRD LAKE

VI.	PARK MAINTENANCE/ CLEANLINESS:	CUT WEEDS ALONG ROADS	6
	ROADS A) CAMP./COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	20
	B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	15

10. BLACK LAKE

VI.	ROADS A) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	15
XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE	5
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	LEAVE PARK AS IS (WILDERNESS STATE)	5

11. TULABI FALLS

XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	MORE "NATURALIST" INFORMATION NEEDED	5

13. ADAM LAKE

QUESTION		COMMENT	
VI.	NATURE/HIKING TRAILS:	BETTER ROUTE SIGNS NEEDED	5
	CAMPSITES:	MOW GRASS	7
	AMPHITHEATRE:	NO PROGRAMS SHOWN	5
	ROADS: A) CAMP./COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	5
	CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	NEEDS SWINGS	5
	XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	MORE INFORMATION NEEDED	15
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	SHOWERS REQUIRED	79
		MORE ELECTRICAL SITES NEEDED	14
		GRAVEL PATHS NEED INPROVEMENT	6

15. BIG WHITESHELL

VI.	CAMPSITES:	TOO CROWDED	6
	RESTAURANTS:	NOT ENOUGH	5
	AMPHITHEATRE:	NO PROGRAMS AVAILABLE	11
	ROADS A) CAMP./COTTAGES:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	28
	B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	59
	PARK SIGNS:	INSUFFICIENT SIGNS	5
	CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT NEEDED	7
	XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	MAKE MORE READILY AVAILABLE	17
		HIKING TRAILS NOT OUTLINED WELL	7
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	SHOWERS REQUIRED	7

16. BRERETON LAKE

	QUESTION	COMMENT	
VI.	CAMPSITES:	TOO CROWDED	8
	STORES:	LACK OF SELECTION OF GOODS	10
	ROADS: A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	44
	B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	51
	PARK SIGNS:	SPEED SIGNS NEEDED	8
	CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	16
	SHOWERS:	REQUIRED	28
XII.	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NOT ENOUGH DETAIL	6
XXII:	OTHER COMMENTS:	NEED A BOAT DOCK	11
		WATER TOO LOW	8
		WELL REQUIRED FOR BETTER DRINKING WATER	7
		SHOULD BE NO EXTRA BILLING FOR SNOWPLOWING	5

17. CADDY LAKE

VI.	PARK MAINTENANCE/ CLEANLINESS:	DIRTY WASHROOMS	6
	ROADS A)CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE	ROAD REPAIR REQUIRED	18
	B)HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIR REQUIRED	20
	CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	NEED MORE EQUIPMENT	9
	SHOWERS:	REQUIRED	8
XI.B)	PARK GATE STAFF:	RUDE/INSULTING	5
XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NO BROCHURES AVAILABLE	6
		MORE DETAIL NEEDED	5

17. **CADDY LAKE** cont'd

	QUESTION	COMMENT	
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	FLUSH TOILETS REQUIRED	6
		RUNNING WATER	5

18. **FALCON LAKE**

VI.	PARK MAINTENANCE/ CLEANLINESS:	MORE FREQUENT CLEANING NEEDED	24
	NATURE/HIKING TRAILS:	TRAIL SIGNS NEED REPAIR	5
	PICNIC FACILITIES:	MORE REQUIRED	6
	GARBAGE COLLECTION:	UNTIDY CAGES	9
	CAMPSITES:	MORE ELECTRICAL SITES NEEDED	39
		TOO SMALL	20
		UNLEVEL SITES	10
		DIRTY	6
	COMM. ACCOMM. FACILITIES:	INADEQUATE	9
	RESTAURANTS:	BETTER QUALITY OF FOOD AND SERVICE:	17
	AMPHITHEATRE:	BETTER PROGRAMS NEEDED	10
	ROADS A) CAMP./COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	133
	B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	30
	PARK SIGNS:	REDUCE SPEED SIGNS	17
		BETTER SIGNS NEEDED	7
	RIDING STABLES:	TOO EXPENSIVE	7
	CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	18
		SWINGS NEED REPAIR	15
	SHOWERS:	MORE REQUIRED	59
		MORE HOT WATER REQUIRED	36

18. **FALCON LAKE** cont'd

QUESTION	COMMENT	
	SHOULD BE CLEANED BETTER	15
	REPAIRS NEEDED	7
XI.B)CAMPGROUND OFFICE STAFF:	UNFRIENDLY	8
	COULD HAVE PATROLLED MORE OFTEN	5
XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	MORE DETAILED BROCHURES	22
	NEVER SAW ANY BROCHURES	19
XXII. OTHER COMMENTS:	DOWNHILL SKI FACILITY SHOULD CONTINUE TO BE OPEN	11
	ROWDINESS NOT CONTROLLED	7
	MOSQUITO SPRAYING REQUIRED	7

19. **NUTIMIK LAKE**

VI. NATURE/HIKING TRAILS:	VERY WET/MUDDY	5
CAMPSITES:	MOW GRASS MORE OFTEN	11
	OVERCROWDED	5
	MORE NEEDED GRAVEL IN SITES	4
AMPHITHEATRE:	MORE CHILDRENS SHOWS NEEDED	6
ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	29
B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	43
	TOO NARROW	5
PARK SIGNS:	LOCATION OF BLOCK SIGNS CONFUSING	7
	MORE YIELD SIGNS REQUIRED	6
CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	10
SHOWERS:	COULD BE CLEANER	9

19. **NUTIMIK LAKE** con't

QUESTION	COMMENT	
	MORE REQUIRED	8
	BETTER CONTROL OF WATER TEMPERATURE NEEDED	6
FISH CLEANING STATIONS:	WATER TAPS REQUIRED	11
XI.B)CAMPGROUND OFFICE STAFF:	MORE REQUIRED	6
BEACH PATROL:	NEVER SAY ANY	6
XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	MORE DETAIL NEEDED	5
XXII. OTHER COMMENTS:	ELECTRICAL SITES REQUIRED	11
	BETTER FIREWOOD	7
	DOGS ARE NUISANCE	5

20. **OPAPISKAW**

VI. ROADS B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	9
PARK SIGNS:	NEED SPEED BUMPS	6
CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	7
SHOWERS:	REQUIRED	8
XXII. OTHER COMMENTS:	ELECTRICAL SITES NEEDED	8

21. **OTTER FALLS**

VI. PARK MAINTENANCE/ CLEANLINESS:	CLEANER WASHROOMS NEEDED	6
ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	7
B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	17
CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	5
SHOWERS:	REQUIRED	12

22. WEST HAWK

VI.	GARBAGE COLLECTION:	REQUIRED MORE OFTEN	8
	CAMPSITES:	OVERCROWDED	12
	COMM. ACCOM. FACILITIES:	NEWER AND BETTER FACILITIES NEEDED	6
	STORES:	POORLY STOCKED	6
		EXPENSIVE	6
	RESTAURANTS:	NOT ENOUGH	7
	ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	19
	B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	27
	PARK SIGNS:	SPEED CONTROL	6
		HIDDEN BY TREES	5
	CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	6
	SHOWERS:	REQUIRED IN TRAILER VILLAGE	10
		SHOULD BE OPENED AFTER 9 P.M.	7
		NEEDS REPAIRS	5
		SHOWERS REQUIRED IN SEASONAL CAMPGROUND	5
	FISH CLEANING STATIONS:	REQUIRED	5
XI.B)	PARK PATROL:	UNPROFESSIONAL	12
		MORE PATROLS REQUIRED	5
XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	MORE DETAILED INFORMATION	14
		NOT READILY AVAILABLE	6
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	DOGS NEED CONTROL	8
		DOCK NEEDS REPAIR	6

23. OTHER WHITESHELL LOCATIONS

QUESTION		COMMENT	
VI.	PARK MAINTENANCE/ CLEANLINESS:	CUT GRASS MORE OFTEN	7
	CAMPSITES:	TOO CLOSE TOGETHER	8
		NEED LEVELLING	6
	STORES:	NO SELECTION	5
	ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIR REQUIRED	14
	B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIR REQUIRED	26
		TOO NARROW	5
	CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT NEEDED	14
	SHOWERS:	MORE REQUIRED	11
		REQUIRED AT WHITE LAKE	8
		DIRTY	6
	FISH CLEANING STATIONS:	BAD SMELL	6
XI.B)	PARK PATROL:	TOO ARROGANT	6
		MORE PARK PATROL NEEDED	5
	BEACH PATROL:	NONE AROUND	7
XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	MORE INFORMATION NEEDED	10
		NONE AVAILABLE	7
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	MORE BOAT LAUNCHES REQUIRED	6

24. ASESSIPPI

VI.	ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	27
	B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	25
	SHOWERS:	NOT OPENED YET	14

24. **ASESSIPPI** con't

QUESTION		COMMENT	
XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NEVER SAW ANY	13
		MORE TRAILS SHOULD BE MARKED ON MAP	5
XII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	BEACH TOO FAR FROM CAMPGROUND	9
		MORE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES REQUIRED	7
		BETTER DOCKS REQUIRED	6

25. **BAKERS NARROWS**

VI.	PARK MAINTENANCE/ CLEANLINESS:	TOO MUCH LITTER AROUND SITES	6
	GARBAGE COLLECTION:	MORE FREQUENT PICK UPS NEEDED	10
	CAMPSITES:	MORE ELECTRICAL SITES NEEDED	10
	STORES:	MORE SELECTION NEEDED	15
		TOO EXPENSIVE	6
	RESTAURANTS:	UNCLEAN	7
		POOR SERVICE	5
	ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	14
	B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	5
	SHOWERS:	MORE REQUIRED	9
XI.B)	PARK PATROL:	MORE NEEDED	6
XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NEVER SAW ANY	8
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	DRIER WOOD NEEDED	13
		SHOULD CUT BACK TREES AT BEACH	5

26. BIRDS HILL

QUESTION	COMMENT	
VI. PARK MAINTENANCE/ CLEANLINESS:	CLEAN LITTER IN PARK	11
	WASHROOM NEEDS MAINTENANCE	8
MATURE/HIKING TRAILS:	NEED MORE TRAILS	5
CAMPSITES:	MOW GRASS MORE OFTEN	8
	MORE SHELTERED AREAS REQUIRED	5
	MUDDY AREA - MORE GRAVEL REQUIRED	5
AMPHITHEATRE:	MORE PROGRAMS NEEDED DURING WEEKDAYS	8
PARK SIGNS:	CAMPGROUND SIGN SHOULD BE LARGER & MORE VISIBLE (HIDDEN BY TREES)	20
	BETTER SIGNING NEEDED ENTERING & EXITING PARK	8
	"NO BICYCLISTS" SIGNS NEEDED ON PATH	5
CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED FOR YOUNGER CHILDREN	20
SHOWERS:	MORE REQUIRED	12
	DIRTY	11
	FLOORS VERY SLIPPERY - BETTER DRAINAGE REQUIRED	8
	MORE PRIVATE	6
	HOOKS REQUIRED	5
XI.B)BEACH PATROL:	MORE REQUIRED	5
XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	MORE DETAILED INFORMATION NEEDED	7
	SHOULD BE MORE READILY AVAILABLE	5

26. **BIRDS HILL** con't

QUESTION		COMMENT	
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	FIREWOOD LENGTHS COULD BE SHORTER	11
		NOISE BETTER CONTROLLED	10
		SINKS REQUIRED IN SHOWER AREA	6

27. **CLEARWATER LAKE**

VI.	NATURE/HIKING TRAILS:	LITTERED	6
		MORE NEEDED	5
	PICNIC FACILITIES:	MORE FIREPLACES NEEDED	5
	GARBAGE COLLECTION:	MORE FREQUENT COLLECTION NEEDED	13
		BETTER GARBAGE BINS NEEDED	10
	CAMPSITES:	ELECTRICAL SITES REQUIRED	12
	CONCESSION STANDS:	MORE REQUIRED	7
	STORES:	BETTER SELECTION REQUIRED	5
		MORE REQUIRED	5
		EXPENSIVE	5
	ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIR REQUIRED	55
	B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIR REQUIRED	11
	CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	SHOULD BE EXPANDED	9
	SHOWERS:	REQUIRED	39
XI.B)	PARK PATROL:	MORE REQUIRED	5
	BEACH PATROL:	MORE REQUIRED	5
XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NONE AVAILABLE	29
		MORE INFORMATION REQUIRED	15

27. CLEARWATER LAKE con't

QUESTION		COMMENT	
XXII.	OTHER COMMENTS:	MORE ACCESSIBLE SEWAGE DISPOSAL REQUIRED	9
		DOGS SHOULD BE CONTROLLED FROM RUNNING LOOSE	8

28. GRAND BEACH

VI.	PARK MAINTENANCE/ CLEANLINESS:	NOT MAINTAINED WELL ENOUGH	18
	NATURE/HIKING TRAILS:	POORLY MARKED	6
	PICNIC FACILITIES:	MORE PICNIC TABLES REQUIRED	9
		SHELTERED AREAS REQUIRED	6
	GARBAGE COLLECTION:	MORE GARBAGE CANS NEEDED NEAR BEACH	11
		SHOULD BE MORE FREQUENTLY PICKED UP	9
	CAMPSITES:	MORE ELECTRICAL SITES NEEDED	7
		TREES NEED TRIMMING	6
	COMM. ACCOMM. FACILITIES:	MOTEL/HOTEL FACILITIES REQUIRED	14
	CONCESSION:	MORE NEEDED FOR WEEKENDS	16
		EXPENSIVE	10
		POOR SERVICE	8
		REPAIR NEEDED	5
	STORES:	NEEDED	50
	RESTAURANTS:	NEEDED AT BEACH AREA	10
	ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	31
	B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	5

28. **GRAND BEACH** con't

QUESTION	COMMENT	
PARK SIGNS:	NOT ENOUGH DIRECTIONS	10
CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE PLAYGROUNDS REQUIRED	6
SHOWERS:	MORE REQUIRED	42
	DIRTY	17
	MORE REQUIRED AT OTHER BEACHES	10
	WATER NOT HOT ENOUGH	8
VIII.B)BEACH NOT ACCEPT.-OTHER:	SHOULD BE KEPT CLEANER	13
XI.B)PARK PATROL:	MORE REQUIRED AT NIGHT	18
	MORE REQUIRED	17
BEACH PATROL:	NOT ATTENTIVE ENOUGH	20
	NEVER SAW ANY	12
	MORE REQUIRED	5
XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE	34
	UPDATE INFORMATION	11
XXII. OTHER COMMENTS:	BETTER CONTROL OF LIQUOR ON BEACH	12
	BETTER CONTROL OF DOGS RUNNING LOOSE	11
	LAUNDROMAT REQUIRED	5

29. **HECLA/GRINDSTONE**

VI. PARK MAINTENANCE/ CLEANLINESS:	BEACH AREA NEEDS CLEANING	5
NATURE/HIKING TRAILS:	TRAILS OVERGROWN	10
	MORE TRAILS REQUIRED	8

29. **HECLA/GRINDSTONE** con't

QUESTION	COMMENT	
PICNIC FACILITIES:	MORE SHELTERS REQUIRED	5
CAMPSITES:	MORE TREES SHOULD BE CLEARED	9
	MORE ELECTRICAL SITES REQUIRED	8
	SHOULD BE MORE PRIVATE	5
	MORE LEVELLED SITES NEEDED	5
CONCESSION:	POOR SERVICE	8
	POOR SELECTION	7
	EXPENSIVE	5
STORES:	TOO FAR AWAY	10
	POOR SELECTION	10
	EXPENSIVE	8
RESTAURANTS:	EXPENSIVE	7
	POOR SERVICE	6
	BETTER VARIETY REQUIRED	6
AMPHITHEATRE:	MORE PROGRAMS DURING WEEKDAYS	5
ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	72
B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	55
PARK SIGNS:	MORE REQUIRED	8
	MORE PERTINENT CAMPGROUND SIGN NEEDED	7
CHILDRENS PLAYGROUNDS:	MORE REQUIRED	8
	MORE EQUIPMENT NEEDED	6
SHOWERS:	MORE REQUIRED	8
	HOTTER WATER NEEDED	5

29. **HECLA/GRINDSTONE** cont'd

QUESTION	COMMENT	
XI.B)PARK PATROL:	MORE REQUIRED AFTER 11:00 P.M.	10
	NOT AVAILABLE	8
XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NOT READILY AVAILABLE	18
	SHOULD BE MORE DETAILED	16
XXII. OTHER COMMENTS:	MORE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES NEEDED	6
	MORE HANDICAP FACILITIES REQUIRED	5
	SPRAY MOSQUITOES & BLACK FLIES	5
	LAUNDROMAT REQUIRED	5

30. **PAINT LAKE**

VI. PICNIC FACILITIES:	MORE TABLES REQUIRED	6
CAMPSITES:	TOO CLOSE TOGETHER	7
ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	19
B) HIGHWAYS	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	5
SHOWERS:	MORE REQUIRED	10
XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NOT READILY AVAILABLE	22
	SHOULD BE MORE DETAILED	11
XXII. OTHER COMMENTS:	MORE BOAT LAUNCHES NEEDED	8
	NEED STORAGE FOR WOOD TO KEEP IT DRY	6

31. RAINBOW BEACH

QUESTION		COMMENT	
VI.	CAMPSITES:	ELECTRICAL SITES REQUIRED	9
	CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	5
	SHOWERS:	MORE REQUIRED	5
XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NEVER SAW ANY	5

32. ST. AMBROISE

VI.	GARBAGE COLLECTION:	SHOULD BE COLLECTED MORE OFTEN	6
	CAMPSITES:	MORE SITES FURTHER SOUTH ALONG BEACH REQUIRED	6
		ELECTRICAL SITES REQUIRED	5
	ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	11
	CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	5
	SHOWERS:	REQUIRED	9
XII.C)	PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NO BROCHURES AVAILABLE	18
		MORE DETAILED INFORMATION REQUIRED	5

33. ST. MALO

VI.	PARK MAINTENANCE/ CLEANLINESS:	CLEAR BRANCHES ALONG ROAD	7
	CAMPSITES:	MORE ELECTRICAL SITES REQUIRED	14
		TOO SMALL	8
	ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	10
	PARK SIGNS:	NOT MARKED FOR BEACH DIRECTION	5

33. **ST. MALO** con't

QUESTION	COMMENT	
CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	28
	LARGER AREA REQUIRED	8
SHOWERS:	MORE REQUIRED	41
	MORE HOT WATER REQUIRED	14
	LACK OF PRIVACY	12
XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NOT READILY AVAILABLE	23
	NOT DETAILED ENOUGH	10
XXII. OTHER COMMENTS:	NEED SHELTER FOR WOOD TO KEEP IT DRY	8
	TOO MANY DOGS RUNNING LOOSE	5
	BILINGUALISM SHOULD BE ENFORCED MORE	5

34. **STEPHENFIELD**

VI. NATURE/HIKING TRAILS:	TOO MUCH POISON IVY	7
CAMPSITES:	ELECTRICAL SITES REQUIRED	13
	CLEAR SOME BRUSH	8
	TOO MANY ANT HILLS	6
ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	7
PARK SIGNS:	NEED SIGN ON HIGHWAY STATING NAME OF PARK	10
CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	28
SHOWERS:	REQUIRED	43
FISH CLEANING STATIONS:	NEED WATER	5
XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENTS	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	11

34. STEPHENFIELD con't

QUESTION	COMMENT	
XXII. OTHER COMMENTS:	TOO MUCH ALGAE IN WATER	14
	LARGER BOAT DOCKS	6
	MORE FIREWOOD REQUIRED	6

35. SPRUCE WOODS

VI. CAMPSITES:	MORE ELECTRICAL SITES REQUIRED	16
AMPHITHEATRE:	NEEDS MORE PROGRAMS DURING WEEK	5
ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	23
PARK SIGNS:	MORE YIELD & STOP SIGNS	5
CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	5
SHOWERS:	MORE REQUIRED	25
XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	MORE DETAILED BROCHURES NEEDED	22
	UPDATE BROCHURE	7
XXII. OTHER COMMENTS:	DOGS RUNNING LOOSE SHOULD BE BETTER CONTROLLED	18
	POISON IVY SHOULD BE CONTROLLED	9
	BICYCLE PATH REQUIRED	6

36. WALLACE LAKE

VI. GARBAGE COLLECTION:	COLLECTION FOR COTTAGERS NEEDED	7
	NEED CANS AT BEACH AREA	5
CAMPSITES:	ELECTRICAL SITES REQUIRED	6

36. **WALLACE LAKE** con't

QUESTION	COMMENT	
STORES:	MORE VARIETY OF GOODS REQUIRED	5
ROADS A) CAMPGROUND/COTTAGE:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	8
B) HIGHWAYS:	ROAD REPAIRS REQUIRED	7
CHILDRENS PLAYGROUND:	MORE EQUIPMENT REQUIRED	6
XII.C)PRINTED INFORMATION NEEDS IMPROVEMENT:	NOT READILY AVAILABLE	7

37. **WILLIAM LAKE**

VI. SHOWERS:	REQUIRED	7

SECTION IV
SURVEY PROPOSAL

PARK VISITOR SURVEY PROPOSAL (1986)

I. Background of Survey

(A) Purpose of Survey

The purpose of the proposed survey is to provide park planners and managers with public feed-back regarding the demand for park services and facilities, and the degree of visitor satisfaction with existing services and facilities. The survey will also provide information regarding problems areas (rowdyism and traffic speed), park visitations, motivating factors that affect park visits, fee levels, cost reduction options, and visitor profiles (place of residence, age, etc.).

The information obtained from the survey will be used in the process of planning new park services and facilities and in developing strategies to improve or expand existing services and facilities.

(B) Title of Survey

Manitoba Provincial Parks
Visitor Survey (1986)

(C) Sponsoring Department

Department of Natural Resources

(D) Contact Person

Ray Delbaere, Program Evaluator
Economics & Program Review Section
Department of Natural Resources
500 - 191 Broadway
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3C 4B2

Telephone: 945-2796

II. Survey Objectives

(A) Visitor Satisfaction

To determine the degree of visitor satisfaction with existing park facilities, programs and services in order to determine any problem areas where corrective action may be required.

II. Surveys Objectives (Continued)

(B) Visitor Demand

To determine what park facilities, programs and services are most in demand by park visitors. This demand will be considered when establishing priorities for new park facilities, programs and services.

(C) Attraction Factors

To determine what factors most affect a park visitor's decision to visit Provincial Parks. This information will be used when planning for new parks, in planning for changes to existing parks, and in developing market strategies.

(D) Facility Use

To determine use levels for various existing park facilities/programs. This information will be considered when planning for new park facilities/programs.

(E) Problem Areas

To determine the degree to which rowdyism and traffic speed are a problem in Provincial Parks. This information will be considered in developing enforcement programs.

(F) Visitor Use

To determine park use levels by those persons visiting Provincial Parks. This information will be considered when planning new parks or park expansions and in developing marketing strategies.

(G) Visitor Profile Information

To obtain visitor profile information such as place of residence, distance travelled, age, income, and group size. Such information will be considered when developing marketing strategies.

III. Target Population

The target population is all park visitors at selected Provincial Park locations. Categories of park visitors include day users, campers (transient and seasonal), cottagers, those using commercial accommodation and others.

1985 park attendance at the sites to be surveyed is included in the attached Schedule "1986 Survey Distribution Plan" which shows the number of surveys to be distributed by site and the number of returns expected.

IV. Survey Costs and Time Frame

(A) Timetable

1.	Determine survey objectives	- November 1 - 15, 1985
2.	Develop survey proposal	- November 15/85 - February 14/86
3.	Develop draft survey questionnaire	- November 15/85 - January 15/86
4.	Review and revise survey questionnaire and survey proposal	- January 15 - January 30/86
5.	Pre-test questionnaire and revise if necessary	- February 3 - February 10/86
6.	Obtain survey approval from A.D.M., D.M., and Man. Bureau of Statistics	- February 11 - February 28/86
7.	Arrange for and obtain printed questionnaires and envelopes (including postal stamps)	- March 3 - May 15/86
8.	Arrange for survey distribution	- June 2 - 9, 1986
9.	Distribute questionnaires and instructions	- July 2 - 11, 1986
10.	Survey period	- July 16 - 22 inclusive (extended to August 11/86 at lower use Park)
11.	Arrange for Programming of Data	- July 15 - July 31/86
12.	Return Deadline	- September 8, 1986
13.	Data Entry	- September 8 - 30/86
14.	Computer Output	- October 6, 1986
15.	Data Analysis	- October 8 - November 7/86
16.	Report Preparation	- November 10 - 28/86

(B) Survey Costs

(i) Dollars ($) - direct expenditures

- printing of questionnaires and envelopes	- $4,000
- key punching (Man. Data Services)	- $2,600
- computer time (Man. Data Services)	- $ 400
- postage (Government Services) (4,000 mailed @ 34¢, est. 4,000 returned @ 39¢)	- $2,920
	$9,920

IV. Survey Costs and Time Frame (Continued)

(B) Survey Costs

(ii) Staff

- Economics & Program Review Section
  - prepare survey proposal, develop survey questionnaire, coordinate survey, analyze data and prepare report - 1½ months
- Regional Services Branch
  - distribute and pickup questionnaires - during normal duties
- Parks Branch
  - assist in developing survey objectives and survey questionnaire, in coordinating survey distribution and in reviewing surveys results - 2 weeks
- Systems Section
  - programming and data output - 1 week
- Manitoba Bureau of Statistics
  - proposal review - 1 day (est.)
- Public Information Section
  - arrange for printing of questionnaire and envelopes - 1 day (est.)

V. Survey Design

(i) Questionnaire - draft questionnaire attached (Appendix I)

(ii) Sampling Scheme - see attached Appendix II for list of parks to be surveyed; use data, by Park, for 1985; proposed allocation of questionnaires; distribution schedule and distribution points

Estimated total distribution - 30,000
Estimated total return @ 20% - 6,000
(includes questionnaires returned by mail plus those picked up or returned to staff at Parks)

Since weekends accounts for approximately one-half of all use, an effort will be made to distribute 50% of questionnaires on weekdays with the remaining 50% being distributed on weekends (some Park specific variations to this rule may be required). At parks with

V. Survey Design (Continued)

low use, an extended distribution period of up to 2 weeks or longer will be used. All cottagers and seasonal campers at the selected parks will be mailed a questionnaire.

(iii) Estimated time to complete questionnaire - 10 minutes

VI. Survey Operation

(i) Pre-test -- to be conducted with departmental staff. Results will be used to determine problem areas with questionnaire design and wording. Revisions will be made as deemed necessary.

(ii) Regional Services staff will distribute questionnaires at selected parks. Distribution instructions to staff will be drafted. Questionnaires will be all mailed direct to all cottagers and most seasonal campers. Visitor Services staff will distribute copies to commercial operators.

(iii) Public will return surveys by mail or can return them to staff at the Parks. However, the response rate in campgrounds will be improved by having staff collect completed questionnaires during the course of their regular duties.

VII. Survey Quality Control

(i) Verification Techniques
(a) all questionnaires will be manually checked by secretarial staff for problems related to coding;
(b) Systems Section staff will review and verify output to ensure that it meets management needs.

(ii) Outline of Data Output - see attached Appendix III

(iii) Data Availability — Survey findings will be prepared by the Economics and Program Review Section. Conclusions will be drawn by concensus of the Director of Parks, Chief of Planning (Parks), Chief of Program Management (Parks), Chief of Visitor Services (Parks) and Chief of Economics and Program Review Section.

Conclusions of the survey may be made public. Basic survey results, cross-tabulations, findings and conclusions will be circulated internally to staff involved with Park management or planning. "Park specific" findings will also be circulated to appropriate staff involved. This information "could" also be made available to others "on request".

(iv) Weighting Procedures -- to be discussed with staff of Manitoba Bureau of Statistics at time of data analysis. Survey results, where appropriate, will be weighted to reflect actual visitations by Park and/or by visitor category.

APPENDIX III

OUTLINE OF DATA OUTPUT

1. Basic Results - all questions

2. Cross-Tabulations - by site (#1)

   2A, 2B, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8A, 8B, 9, 10A, 10B, 11A, 11B, 12A, 12B, 12D, 13, 15, 17A, 18A, 18B, 19, 20, 22

3. Cross-Tabulations - by visitor category (#2A)

   3, 4, 5, 6, 14, 15, 16A, 16B, 17A, 18A, 18B, 19, 20, 21A, 21B, 22

4. Cross-Tabulations - by place of residence (#18A)

   12A, 16A, 16B, 20B

5. Cross-Tabulations - by age category (#21)

   3, 10A, 10B

6. Cross-Tabulations - by household income (#20)

   2B, 15, 16A, 16B

7. Cross-Tabulations - by site and visitor category (#1 by #2A)

   2B (for 2A, 3, 2A, 4 only), 6, 7A.3 & 7B, 8A.3, 9.1, 10A.1 & 2, 11A.3, 11B ("needs improvement"), 12A.2, 12B.3, 12D, 13, 17A.3

8. Cross-Tabulations - by time of week visiting (#4)

   6 ("needs improvement" only), 7A.3, 8A.3, 9.1, 10A.1, 11A, 11B ("needs improvement" only), 17A

1986 SURVEY DISTRIBUTION PLAN

APPENDIX II

Park and Location G = has gate	1985 Statistics		No. of Surveys to be Distributed (V.S. - Visitor Services to Distribute)					No. of Returns Expected (using '84 Return Rates)					
	Vehicle Entries	Camping Unit Days	Seasonal Campsites	Cottagers	Campers	Day Users	Total	Campers 27%	Day Users 10%	Seasonal 51%	Cottagers 25%	Total	*Estimated Accuracy ±
Duck Mtn. Prov. Park													
1. Blue Lake		4,024	45	11	250	350		68	35	23	3	129	7%
2. Childs Lake	27,560	2,687	57	46	250	350		68	35	29	12	144	7%
3. Watson Lake		1,217	16	87	250	350		68	35	8	22	133	7%
4. Other		121											
Grass River Prov. Park													
5. Gyles	6,997	910			250	350		68	35			103	8%
6. Iskwasum	6,179	2,195			250	350		68	35			103	8%
7. Reed	20,222	2,280			250	350		68	35			103	9%
Kopiming Prov. Park													
8. Beresford		298		79	250	350		68	35		20	123	7%
9. Bird Lake		819		119	250	350		68	35		30	133	7%
10. Black Lake	27,824	2,309			250	350		68	35			103	8%
11. Tulabi Falls		1,080			250	350		68	35			103	8%
12. Other													
Turtle Mtn. Prov. Park													
13. Adam Lake	10,385	2,883	2		250	350		68	35	1		104	8%
14. Max Lake	4,664 Est.	209	11	17	250	350		68	35	6	4	113	8%
Whiteshell Prov. Park			old &										
15. Big Whiteshell	24,000 Est.	4,673	112 new	178	250			68	24	57	45	194	6%
						(Rennie)							
16. Brereton Lake	7,000 Est.	1,419	57	548	250	500 Gate 200 V.S.		68	23	29	88	208	6%
17. Caddy Lake	9,000 Est.	1,040	50	150	250			68	23	15	38	144	7%
18.G Falcon Lake (excl. overflow)	120,070	24,548	154	785	500	700		136	70	79	197	482	4%
			old &			(Seven Sisters)							
19. Nutimik Lake	15,000 Est.	2,806	115 new	157	250	500 Gate 200 V.S.		68	24	59	40	191	6%
20. Opapiskow	9,000 Est.	1,604	39		250			68	23	20		111	8%
21. Otter Falls	21,000	4,092		81	250			68	23		20	111	8%
22.G West Hawk	61,887	8,426	145	375	500	500 200 V.S.		136	70	74	95	375	5%
23. Other	23,672 Est.					500 Comm. Op.			125			125	7%
Other Parks/Campgrounds													
24. Asessippi	18,594	4,770			250	350		68	35			103	8%
25. Bakers Narrows	38,360	4,025	12	180	250	350		68	35	6	45	154	7%
26.G Birds Hill	97,640	20,758			500	700		136	70			206	6%
27. Clearwater Lake	29,567	1,588	29	268	250	350		68	35	15	67	185	6%
28.G Grand Beach	183,478	15,214	16	520	500	700		136	70	8	130	344	5%
29.G Hecla/Grindstone	28,309	9,049	7	295	500	700		136	70	4	74	284	5%
30.G Paint Lake	43,373	2,052	94	147	250	700		68	70	48	57	223	6%
31. Rainbow Beach	10,490	1,144	23		250	350		68	35	12		115	8%
32. St. Ambroise	46,887	5,982			250	350		68	35			103	8%
33.G St. Malo	58,240	10,150			500	700		136	70			206	6%
34.G Stephenfield	18,383	2,580	17		250	700		68	70	9		147	7%
35. Spruce Woods	36,445	12,216			500	350		136	35			171	6%
36. Wallace Lake	2,839 Est.	1,400	8	45	250	350		68	35	4	11	118	7%
37. William Lake	6,790	1,612	1		250	350		68	33	1		104	8%
38. Other													
TOTAL	1,009,856	160,248	990	3,888	10,250	14,500	29,628	2,788	1,525	507	918	5,798	

*(At 90% Confidence)

SECTION V
WEIGHTING SYSTEM

WEIGHTING SYSTEM

The distribution plan outlined in detail in Section IV (Survey Proposal) resulted in a number of biases in the survey data. These biases resulted from:

1. all seasonal campers and cottagers at surveyed sites receiving questionnaires.

2. the disproportionally large number of campers who received questionnaires, combined with the extra effort to collect completed questionnaires from campers.

3. the disproportionately low number of day users who received questionnaires which was due, in part, to the absence of suitable distribution points at some locations.

4. the disproportionately large number of questionnaires handed out at lower use parks/campgrounds.

In an effort to overcome the aforementioned problems, a weighting system was devised to more accurately reflect overall park visitor opinions at the parks/campgrounds that were surveyed. Since there was no quantifiable data on day users, guests in commercial accommodation or "others", a decision was made to first, derive day use data from other available data (vehicle entries, campground use, number of cottages and seasonal campers) and second, to ignore use by guests in commercial accommodation and "others". Since these latter groups (guests in commercial accommodation and "others") are relatively small user groups and accounted for only 5% of the returned questionnaires, it is thought that their exclusion would not have dramatically altered the weighted results. Also provided a zero weighting in the weighting process were results from "other" parks.

In deriving day use at each park/campground, the total vehicle entries in 1985 at each location was used as a starting point. (Note: Since the reliability of vehicle entry data is somewhat suspect, particularly at some locations, this could result in inappropriate weighting). From the total vehicle entries at each location was subtracted: a) transient camping unit days in 1985 (including a factor of 1.2 to account for re-entries), b) seasonal camping unit days (number of sites sold in 1985 times average days spent at Provincial Parks in 1983 by seasonal campers as determined from the 1984 survey - including a factor of 1.2 to account for re-entries), c) cottager unit days (number of cottage sites in 1986 times average days spent at Provincial Parks in 1983 by cottagers as determined from the 1984 survey - including a factor of 1.2 to account for re-entries).

By subtracting the foregoing use from total vehicle entries results in vehicle entries which can be attributed to day users and other miscellaneous categories of users. By allowing for a re-entry factor (.83) similar to that used for transient campers, seasonal campers and cottagers, it was possible to estimate the total days of use by day users.

Adding this calculated day use to camping unit days, seasonal camping unit days and cottager days resulted in a total use figure at each location.

Having proceeded with the foregoing calculations at all locations resulted in an estimated total use for all the sites surveyed (with break-downs by the four major visitor categories at each location). It was a simple matter to then calculate what percentage of total responses should have been received by each visitor category at each location. By comparing this percentage with the actual percentage received from each visitor category at each location, it was possible to arrive at an adjustment factor to provide the appropriate weight to the actual responses from each visitor category at each location.

The actual use information and weighting factors are shown in the attached tables.

A problem was encountered in the calculation of weights for sites with significant day use, but very few surveys returned by day users. In order to avoid the distortion in weighted results which could be caused by assigning a very high weight to the small number (as low as 1 or 2) day user surveys returned at these sites a decision was made to calculate an average weight for nine sites with less than 20 day users. Although this would not affect the accuracy of the total weighted results it did have the unfortunate effect of over or underrepresenting the weight of day users in the individual site results for these sites:

SITES	WEIGHTED % OF TOTAL DAY USE	ACTUAL % OF TOTAL DAY USE
Childs Lake	2.0%	.3%
Gyles Lake	.0%	.6%
Reed Lake	.5%	1.9%
Bird Lake	1.0%	.2%
Black Lake	1.0%	.1%
Max Lake	.0%	.4%
Rainbow Beach	6.5%	.9%
St. Ambroise	2.5%	4.5%
Wallace Lake	1.0%	-

1986 Park Visitor Survey - Weighting System Based on 1985 Use Statistics
Table 1: Calculations of Vehicle Entry Estimates for Day Users.

Main Category	Site	(1) 1985 Vehicle Entries	(2) 1985 Transient Camping Unit Days	(3) Transient Camping Vehicle Entries (120% Days)	(4) Seasonal Camping Sites	(5) Seasonal Camping Unit Days (25.4 Per Site)	(6) Seasonal Camping Vehicle Entries (120% Days)	(7) Number Of Cottages	(8) Cottager Unit Days (36.5 Per Cottage)	(9) Cottage Vehicle Entries (120% Days)	(10) Total Tr. & Seas. Camping & Cottager Vehicle Entries (3) & (6) & (9)	(11) Day User Vehicle Entries (1) - (10)
DUCK MOUNTAIN (20,560)	BLUE LAKE	9,615	4,024	4,829	45	1,143	1,372	11	402	482	6,683	2,732
	CHILD'S LAKE	9,829	2,687	3,224	57	1,448	1,738	46	1,679	2,016	6,977	2,852
	WELLMAN	8,112	1,217	1,460	16	406	487	87	3,176	3,811	5,758	2,354
	OTHER	204	121	145	-	-	-	-	-	-	145	59
GRASS R.	GYLES PARK	6,997	910	1,092	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,092	5,905
	ISKWASUM	6,179	2,195	2,634	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,634	3,545
	REED LAKE	20,222	2,280	2,736	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,736	17,486
	BERESFORD	3,023	298	358	-	-	-	79	2,665	2,666 (2)	3,023	-
HOPIMING (16,491)	BIRD LAKE	8,124	819	983	-	-	-	119	4,344	5,213	6,196	1,928
	BLACK LAKE	3,641	2,309	2,771	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,771	870
	TULABI FALLS	1,703	1,080	1,296	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,296	407
	OTHER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TURTLE MOUNTAIN	ADAM LAKE	10,385	2,883	3,460	2	51	61	-	-	-	3,521	6,864
	MAY LAKE	4,664	209	251	11	279	335	17	621	745	1,331	3,333
WHITESHELL(1)	BIG WHITESHELL	21,571 Est.	4,673	5,608	112	2,845	3,414	178	6,497	7,796	16,818	4,753
	BRERETON	23,963 Est.	1,419	1,703	57	1,448	1,738	348	12,702	15,242	18,683	5,280
	CADDY LAKE	11,200 Est.	1,040	1,248	30	762	914	150	5,475	6,570	8,732	2,468
	FALCON LAKE	87,907 Est.	24,548	29,458	154	3,912	4,694	785	28,653	34,384	68,536	19,371
	MUTINEX LAKE	17,636 Est.	2,806	3,367	115	2,921	3,505	157	5,731	6,877	13,749	3,886
	OPAPISKAN	4,132 Est.	1,694	2,033	39	991	1,189	-	-	-	3,222	910
	OTTER FALLS	10,849 Est.	4,092	4,910	-	-	-	81	2,957	3,548	8,458	2,391
	WEST HAWK	39,707 Est.	8,426	10,111	145	3,683	4,420	375	13,688	16,426	30,957	8,750
	OTHER	15,351 Est.	-	-	165	4,199	5,029	-	-	-	5,029	10,322
OTHER	ASSESSIPPI	18,594	4,770	5,724	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,724	12,870
	BAKERS NARROWS	38,360	4,023	4,828	12	305	366	180	6,570	7,884	13,078	25,282
	BIRDS HILL	97,640	20,758	24,910	-	-	-	-	-	-	24,910	72,730
	CLEARWATER	29,567	1,588	1,906	29	737	884	268	9,782	11,738	14,528	15,038
	GRAND BEACH	183,479	15,214	18,257	16	487	584	520	18,980	22,776	41,617	141,862
	HECLA/GRINDSTONE	28,309	9,049	10,859	7	178	214	296	10,768	12,921	23,994	4,315
	PAINT LAKE	43,373	2,052	2,462	94	2,388	2,866	147	5,366	6,439	11,767	31,606
	RAINBOW BEACH	10,490	1,144	1,373	23	584	701	-	-	-	2,074	8,416
	ST. AMBROISE	46,887	3,982	4,778	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,788	42,109
	ST. MALO	58,240	10,130	12,156	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,156	46,084
	STEPHENFIELD	18,383	2,580	3,096	17	432	518	-	-	-	3,614	14,769
	SPRUCE WOODS	36,445	12,216	14,659	-	-	-	-	-	-	14,659	21,786
	WALLACE LAKE	2,839	1,400	1,680	8	203	244	45	-	915 (2)	2,839	0
	WILLIAM LAKE	6,790	1,612	1,934	1	25	30	-	-	-	1,964	4,826
	OTHER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	TOTAL	944,209	160,248	192,309	1,155 (1)	29,419	35,303	3,888	140,056	168,447	396,059	548,150
	% of Vehicle Entries			20.4%			3.7%			17.8%		58.1%

(1) Assumes all seasonal campers and day users in Whiteshell are surveyed, including 165 seasonal campers to whom surveys were not mailed. Includes cottagers at sites not surveyed.

(2) Reduced from calculated amount to balance total vehicle entries for site.

1986 Park Visitor Survey - Weighting System
Table 2: Calculation of Weight Based Upon Table 1 Use Data.

	Transient Campers			Seasonal Campers			Cottagers			Day Users			All Users		
	% Of Use	% Of Returns	Adj. Factor	% Of Use	% Of Returns	Adj. Factor	% Of Use	% Of Returns	Adj. Factor	% Of Use	% Of Returns	Adj.* Factor	% Of Use	% Of Returns	Adj. Factor
BLUE LAKE	.51	1.11	.46	.15	.25	.60	.05	.04	1.27	.29	.02	13.70 *	1.00	1.45	.69
CHILD'S LAKE	.34	.83	.41	.18	.31	.58	.21	.25	.85	.30	.08	13.70 *	1.04	1.51	.69
WELLMAN	.15	.50	.31	.05	.14	.36	.40	.39	1.03	.25	-	0	.86	1.09	.79
OTHER	.02	.04	.50		.04	0		-	-	.01	-	0	.02	.08	.25
GYLES PARK	.12	.56	.21		-	-		-	-	.63	-	0	.74	.58	1.28
ISKWASUM	.28	.83	.34		-	-		-	-	.38	.02	13.70 *	.65	.85	.76
REED LAKE	.29	.35	.83		-	-	-	.02	0	1.85	.02	13.70 *	2.14	.41	5.22
BERESFORD	.03	.58	.05		-	-	.28	.62	.45	-	-	0	.32	1.20	.27
BIRD LAKE	.10	.23	.43		-	-	.55	.89	.62	.20	.04	13.70 *	.86	1.16	.74
BLACK LAKE	.29	1.22	.24		-	-		-		.09	.04	13.70 *	.39	1.26	.31
TULABI FALLS	.14	.27	.52		-	-		-		.04	-	0	.18	.29	.62
OTHER		.02	0	-	.02	0	-	.04	0	-	-	0	-	.10	0
ADAM LAKE	.37	4.19	.09	.01	.02	.50	-	.02	0	.73	.66	1.11	1.10	5.02	.22
MAX LAKE	.03	.21	.14	.04	-	-	.08	.19	.42	.35	-	0	.49	.43	1.14
BIG WHITESHELL	.59	1.36	.43	.36	1.14	.32	.83	1.16	.72	.50	.23	2.17	2.28	4.09	.56
BRERETON	.18	.29	.62	.18	.58	.31	1.61	2.83	.57	.56	.33	1.70	2.54	4.40	.58
CADDY LAKE	.13	.64	.20	.10	.25	.40	.70	1.01	.69	.26	.04	6.50	1.19	2.15	.55
FALCON LAKE	3.12	4.19	.74	.50	1.07	.47	3.64	5.22	.70	2.05	.85	2.41	9.31	12.12	.77
NUTIMIK LAKE	.36	.76	.47	.37	.99	.37	.73	1.11	.66	.41	.25	1.64	1.87	3.22	.58
OPAPISKAW	.22	.37	.59	.13	.31	.42		-		.10	.06	1.67	.44	.76	.58
OTTER FALLS	.52	.66	.79		.02	0	.38	.37	1.03	.25	.17	1.47	1.15	1.30	.88
WEST HAWK	1.07	1.07	1.00	.47	.58	.81	1.74	2.39	.73	.93	.35	2.66	4.21	4.87	.86
OTHER		.23	0	.53	.89	.60		.35	0	1.09	.41	2.66	1.63	2.50	.65
ASSESSIPPI	.61	1.51	.40		-	0		-		1.36	.60	2.27	1.97	2.19	.90
BAKERS NARROWS	.51	1.24	.41	.04	.01	.40	.83	.70	1.19	2.68	.19	13.70 *	4.06	2.29	1.77
BIRDS HILL	2.64	3.80	.69		-	-		.06	0	7.70	.45	17.11	10.34	4.36	2.37
CLEARWATER	.20	1.05	.19	.09	.31	.29	1.24	1.53	.81	1.59	.81	1.96	3.13	3.86	.81
GRAND BEACH	1.93	1.30	1.48	.06	.21	.29	2.41	3.32	.72	15.02	1.75	8.58	19.43	6.71	2.90
HECLA/GRINDSTONE	1.15	3.55	.32	.02	.17	.12	1.37	1.57	.87	.46	1.09	.42	3.00	7.06	.42
PAINT LAKE	.26	.99	.26	.30	.64	.47	.68	.83	.82	3.35	.87	3.85	4.59	3.49	1.32
RAINBOW BEACH	.15	.60	.25	.07	.19	.37		.02	0	.89	.27	13.70 *	1.11	1.11	1.00
ST. AMBROISE	.51	1.59	.32		-	-		-		4.46	.10	13.70 *	4.97	1.69	2.94
ST. MALO	1.29	2.46	.52	-	.02	0		.04	0	4.88	1.75	2.79	6.17	4.34	1.42
STEPHENFIELD	.33	1.65	.20	.05	.33	.15		-		1.56	1.20	1.30	1.95	3.20	.61
SPRUCE WOODS	1.55	4.44	.35		-	-		-		2.31	.58	3.98	3.86	5.02	.77
WALLACE LAKE	.18	.66	.27	.03	.06	.50	.10	.39	.26	-	.04	13.70 *	.30	1.16	.26
WILLIAM LAKE	.20	.43	.47		-	0		-		.51	.02	13.70 *	.72	.45	.89
OTHER		.34	0		.93	0		.33	0	-	.26	0	-	2.25	0
TOTAL	20.37%	46.12%	.44	3.73%	9.57%	.39	17.83%	25.69%	.69	58.04%	13.55%	4.28	100.01%	100.02%	0

* All sites outside Whiteshell < 20 Day Users combined to calculate an average adjustment factor.

SECTION VI
QUESTIONNAIRE

Manitoba Provincial Parks Visitor Survey 1986

Manitoba
Natural Resources
Parks

Welcome to Manitoba's provincial parks. We hope you enjoy your visit.

While you are here, we would like to find out what you like and don't like about this park. We would also like to know what you hope to see in Manitoba parks in the future. Please take a moment to tell us by answering this questionnaire. Although the questions will take only 10 minutes of your time, your answers are very important to us in planning the kinds of parks that Manitobans want.

Thank you for helping us make Manitoba's parks more enjoyable to visit.

Relax and enjoy your stay.

James E. Potton, Director of Parks

How to Complete this Questionnaire:

A. This questionnaire should be completed by the head of your household or group **JUST PRIOR TO YOUR DEPARTURE FROM THE PARK.**

B. A reference to **THIS** park or campground means the one you are visiting.

C. **IMPORTANT: FOR EACH QUESTION, PLEASE INSERT THE NUMBER(S) OF YOUR ANSWER(S) IN THE BOX(ES) PROVIDED — UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED.** For example, if you are visiting Birds Hill Provincial Park, then you should place the number 26 in the box provided beside question #I. [2 | 6]

D. After you have completed the questionnaire, return it to any park attendant or park office or mail it in the envelope provided. No postage stamp is necessary if mailed within Canada.

E. There is nothing in this questionnaire which identifies the respondent. Therefore all responses are strictly confidential.

F. Please ignore the numbers beside the answer boxes. They are for office use only.

G. **NOTE:** Riding Mountain National Park and Lower Fort Garry National Historic Park are NOT provincial parks. Therefore please disregard them when answering the survey questions.

I. Name of Park/Campground you are visiting. (Sites are listed in **alphabetical** order by Park) [|] 1

Duck Mountain Provincial Park
1. Blue Lake
2. Childs Lake
3. Wellman Lake
4. Other Duck Mtn. locations

Grass River Provincial Park
5. Gyles Park
6. Iskwasum Landing
7. Reed Lake

Nopiming Provincial Park
8. Beresford Lake
9. Bird Lake
10. Black Lake
11. Tulabi Falls
12. Other Nopiming locations

Turtle Mountain Provincial Park
13. Adam Lake
14. Max Lake

Whiteshell Provincial Park
15. Big Whiteshell
16. Brereton Lake
17. Caddy Lake
18. Falcon Lake
19. Nutimik Lake
20. Opapiskaw
21. Otter Falls
22. West Hawk
23. Other Whiteshell locations

Other Parks/Campgrounds
24. Asessippi
25. Bakers Narrows
26. Birds Hill
27. Clearwater Lake
28. Grand Beach
29. Hecla/Grindstone
30. Paint Lake
31. Rainbow Beach
32. St. Ambroise
33. St. Malo
34. Stephenfield
35. Spruce Woods
36. Wallace Lake
37. William Lake
38. Other locations

II. A) Category of Park Visitor: [|] 3

1. Day User
2. Cottager
3. Guest in Commercial Accommodation
4. Campground User
5. Seasonal Campground User (camping site obtained through a public draw)
6. Other (Specify: _____)

B) If you are a campground user, what type of campsite do you prefer to use? [|] 4

1. Unserviced Site 2. Electrical Site 3. Fully Serviced Site

III. What main factors attracted you to **THIS PARK/CAMPGROUND? (In order of priority, please write the numbers of your first three choices in the boxes provided).**

1. Wilderness Atmosphere
2. Fishing Opportunity
3. Picnic Facilities
4. Beach
5. Hiking Trails
6. Campground
7. Sports Facilities
8. Close to Home
9. Close to Travel Route
10. Scenic Area/Unique Natural Feature
11. Availability of Commercial Accommodation
12. Boating Opportunities or Facilities
13. Variety of Things to Do Here
14. Cottage
15. Visiting Friends/Relatives
16. Other (Specify _____)
17. No Particular Reason

[|] 5 First Choice
[|] 7 Second Choice
[|] 9 Third Choice

IV. What time of the week did **this** visit take place? [|] 11

1. Week-day 2. Week-end 3. Both

V. Which of the following Park facilities/programs/areas did you or others in your group use during **this** visit? **(Place an "x" in the appropriate boxes).**

Nature/Hiking Trails	☐12	Canoe Routes	☐18	Picnic Facilities	☐24
Amphitheatre Program	☐13	Games Area/Tennis Courts	☐19	Beach	☐25
Golf Course	☐14	Museum/Visitor Centre	☐20	Showers	☐26
Change Rooms	☐15	Laundromat	☐21	Store(s)	☐27
Restaurant(s)	☐16	Service Station(s)	☐22	Boat Launch/Marina	☐28
Concession Stand	☐17	Childrens Playground	☐23	All Terrain Vehicle Trails	☐29

VI. How would you rate the following **existing** park services and facilities offered at **this** Park/Campground? **(Place an "x" in the appropriate boxes).**

	Very Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	No Opinion or Not Available Here	On the lines below, please indicate the reasons for any "needs improvement" ratings
Park Maintenance/Cleanliness	☐	☐	☐	☐30	
Nature/Hiking Trails	☐	☐	☐	☐31	
Picnic Facilities	☐	☐	☐	☐32	
Garbage Collection	☐	☐	☐	☐33	
Campsites	☐	☐	☐	☐34	
Commercial Accommodation Facilities	☐	☐	☐	☐35	
Concession Stands	☐	☐	☐	☐36	
Stores	☐	☐	☐	☐37	
Restaurants	☐	☐	☐	☐38	
Amphitheatre Programs	☐	☐	☐	☐39	
Museum/Visitor Centre	☐	☐	☐	☐40	
Roads A) Campground and/or Cottage Subdivision Roads	☐	☐	☐	☐41	
B) Highways in Park	☐	☐		☐42	
Park Signs (Road & Pedestrian)	☐	☐	☐	☐43	
Riding Stables	☐	☐	☐	☐44	
Childrens Playground	☐	☐	☐	☐45	
Shower Facilities	☐	☐	☐	☐46	
Fish Cleaning Stations	☐	☐	☐	☐47	

VII A) How do you rate washroom cleanliness **this** visit?

1 Very Good 2 Acceptable 3 Not Acceptable 4 No Opinion ☐48

B) If your answer to Part A) was **not acceptable**, then why, in your opinion, is there a cleanliness problem? ☐49

1 Not Cleaned Often Enough
2 Not Cleaned Well Enough
3 Vandalism
4 Insects
5 Odour
6 State of Repair of Building/Facilities
7 Other (Specify _____)

VIII A) How do you rate the condition of the beach at **this** Park/Campground? ☐50

1 Very Good 2 Acceptable 3 Not Acceptable 4 No Opinion

B) If your answer to Part A) was "**not acceptable,**" what is your reason for this rating? **(Place an "x" in the appropriate box(es)).**

Dogs	☐51	Sailboards, Sailboats Near Swimmers	☐57
Liquor	☐52	Quality of Sand	☐58
Loud Radios	☐53	General Cleanliness of Beach	☐59
Boats/Water Skiers Near Swimmers	☐54	Beach Activities (Football, Frisbees, etc.)	☐60
Lake Bottom (Rocks, Mud, Weeds)	☐55	Water Quality	☐61
Quality of Beach Supervision	☐56	Other (Specify _____)	☐62

IX. Is traffic speed a significant problem at **this** Park/Campground?

1. Very Significant 2. Somewhat Significant 3. Not Significant 4. No Opinion ☐63

X. A) Has rowdiness been a significant problem for you **this** year at **this** Park/Campground?

1. Very Significant 2. Somewhat Significant 3. Not Significant 4. No Opinion ☐64

B) In dealing with those persons causing any problems, how should Park staff behave?

1. Be More Lenient 2. About the Same 3. Be Stricter 4. No Opinion ☐65

XI. A) How would you rate the overall helpfulness and courtesy of Park staff at **this** Park/Campground? ☐ 66

1. Very Good 2. Satisfactory 3. Needs Improvement 4. No Opinion

B) How would you rate the services provided by the following categories of Park staff which are available at **this** Park/Campground? **(Place an "x" in the appropriate boxes).**

	Very Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	No Opinion or Not Available Here	On the lines below, please indicate the reasons for any "needs improvement" ratings
Park Gate Staff	☐	☐	☐	☐67	
Campground Office Staff	☐	☐	☐	☐68	
Park Patrol	☐	☐	☐	☐69	
Beach Patrol	☐	☐	☐	☐70	
Visitor Services Attendants/Naturalists	☐	☐	☐	☐71	
Maintenance Staff	☐	☐	☐	☐72	
Natural Resource Officer/Park Ranger	☐	☐	☐	☐73	

XII. A) In your opinion, is printed information about **this** Park readily available to you? ☐ 74

1. Yes 2. No 3. No Opinion

B) How do you rate the quality of the **existing** Park brochures/maps about **this** Park? ☐ 75

1. Very Good 2. Satisfactory 3. Needs Improvement 4. No Opinion

C) If your answer to Part B) was "**needs improvement,**" what is your reason for this rating?

_____

_____

D) What type of printed information is it important for you to have available at **this** Park? **(Place an "x" in the appropriate boxes).**

Map of area	☐76	Brochures for children	☐79	What to see/do in the area surrounding this Park	☐82
Nature Information	☐77	Historical Information	☐80		
Park rules/regulations	☐78	What to see/do at this Park	☐81	Other (Specify: _____)	☐83

XIII. What **additional** facilities would you like to see located at **this** Park/Campground? **(Place an "x" in the appropriate boxes).**

Childrens Playground	☐84	Bicycle Trails	☐93	Cross Country Ski Trails	☐103
Museum/Visitor Centre	☐85	Washrooms	☐94	All Terrain Vehicle Trails	☐104
Roadside Park Information Centre	☐86	Picnic Shelters	☐95	More Electrical Campsites	☐105
		Change Rooms	☐96	Trailer Dump Station	☐106
Nature/Hiking Trails	☐87	Riding Stable/Trails	☐97	Lodge/Motel/Hotel	☐107
Shower Facilities	☐88	Tennis Courts	☐98	Cabin Rentals	☐108
Store	☐89	Restaurant	☐99	Service Station	☐109
Concession Stand	☐90	Marina	☐100	Other (Specify: _____)	☐110
Laundromat	☐91	Boat Rentals	☐101	None of These	☐111
Canoe Routes	☐92	Snowmobile Trails	☐102		

XIV. If it is necessary to reduce the costs of operating Provincial Parks, which of the following options would you prefer? **(In order of priority, please write the number of your first two choices in the boxes provided).**

☐ 112 First Choice

☐ 113 Second Choice

1. Later opening of campgrounds (e.g. June 15)
2. Earlier closing of campgrounds (e.g. Sept. 2)
3. Closing smaller, less frequently used waysides, campgrounds and parks
4. Reducing the frequency of garbage collection
5. Reducing the frequency of grass cutting
6. Reducing the frequency of beach grooming
7. Reducing the frequency of washroom cleaning
8. Eliminating the provision of free firewood
9. Other (Specify: _____)

XV. Considering the range of services/facilities available at **this** Park/Campground, are the following 1986 fees reasonable? **(Place an "x" in the appropriate boxes).**

	Current Fee	Too High	Fair	Too Low	No Opinion
Daily Entrance Fee	$ 2.00	☐	☐	☐	☐114
Seasonal Entrance Permit	$ 10.00	☐	☐	☐	☐115
Unserviced Campsite Fee	$ 6.00	☐	☐	☐	☐116
Electrical Campsite Fee	$ 7.00	☐	☐	☐	☐117
Fully Serviced Campsite Fee	$ 9.00	☐	☐	☐	☐118
Seasonal Campsite-Unserviced	$180.00	☐	☐	☐	☐119
Seasonal Campsite-Electrical	$250.00	☐	☐	☐	☐120
Seasonal Campsite-Fully Serviced	$340.00	☐	☐	☐	☐121

XVI. A) Please estimate how many days, in total, you spent at Manitoba's provincial parks **last** year. 122

1. None
2. 1 - 5
3. 6 - 10
4. 11 - 15
5. 16 - 25
6. 26 - 35
7. Over 35

B) Of the days referred to in Part A) of this question, how many were spent during the winter months of January, February, March and December? 123

1. None
2. 1 - 5
3. 6 - 10
4. 11 - 15
5. 16 - 25
6. 26 - 35
7. Over 35

XVII. A) How would you rate your overall experience at **this** Park/Campground **this** visit? 124

1. Very Enjoyable
2. Somewhat Enjoyable
3. Not Enjoyable

B) If your answer to Part A) was "**not enjoyable**" or "**somewhat enjoyable,**" what is your reason for this rating?

_____

_____

_____

XVIII. A) Where do you live? 125

1. Winnipeg
2. Manitoba Location Other than Winnipeg
3. Saskatchewan
4. Ontario
5. Province Other than Manitoba, Saskatchewan or Ontario
6. Minnesota
7. North Dakota
8. Other Location Outside of Canada

B) How far is it from your home to **this** Park/Campground? 126

1. Less than 40 kilometres (less than 25 miles)
2. 40 - 80 kilometres (25-50 miles)
3. 81 - 160 kilometres (51-100 miles)
4. 161 - 320 kilometres (101-200 miles)
5. Over 320 kilometres (over 200 miles)

XIX. What is your age category? 127

1. Under 15
2. 15 - 19
3. 20 - 24
4. 25 - 34
5. 35 - 44
6. 45 - 54
7. 55 - 64
8. Over 64

XX. What was your total **household** income in 1985 (before taxes)? 128

1. Under $10,000
2. $10,000-19,999
3. $20,000-29,999
4. $30,000-39,999
5. $40,000-49,999
6. $50,000-59,999
7. $60,000-69,999
8. $70,000 and over

XXI. How many people are there in your party? **(Please write the number of adults and number of children in the boxes provided).**

A) Adults (persons age 18 & Over): i) age 18 to 24 129

ii) age 25 to 44 131

iii) age 45 to 64 133

iv) age 65 and over 135

B) Children: i) age 4 and under 137

ii) age 5 to 10 139

iii) age 11 to 14 141

iv) age 15 to 17 143

XXII. Please provide any other comments, concerns or suggestions you have about **this** Park/Campground

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____